

PROGRAMA DE CURSO

Código	Nombre			
CI5307	Modelos de localización			
Nombre en Inglés				
Location models				
SCT	Unidades Docentes	Horas de Cátedra	Horas Docencia Auxiliar	Horas de Trabajo Personal
3	5	2,0	3,0	5,0
Requisitos			Carácter del Curso	
CI5306-S			Obligatorio de la Carrera de Ingeniería Civil Transporte (Magíster).	
Resultados de Aprendizaje				
El estudiante al término del curso demuestra que:				
• Utiliza modelos para analizar mercados de uso del suelo urbano, probar políticas y escenarios.				

Metodología Docente	Evaluación General
La estrategia metodológica que se desarrollará en este curso son: 1. Clases expositivas. 2. Clases auxiliares. 3. Taller de modelamiento.	La propuesta de evaluación es de proceso, en donde el estudiante deberá demostrar sus competencias mediante: • 1 Control • 1 Examen • Informe Taller

Unidades Temáticas

Número	Nombre de la Unidad	Duración en Semanas
1	Equilibrio del Uso de Suelo	2 semanas
Contenidos	Resultados de Aprendizajes de la Unidad	Referencias a la Bibliografía
1. Introducción 2. Equilibrio de corto plazo 3. Equilibrio de largo plazo 4. Equilibrio con externalidades 5. Maximización de excedentes 6. Modealmiento de restricciones	El estudiante: • Entiende y analiza el problema de equilibrio del mercado del suelo en ciudades desde su formulación matemática y económica, así como sus propiedades. • Aplica un modelo de equilibrio estocástico de UST, simula proyectos de uso de suelo y analiza sus resultados.	Martínez (2018), Capítulo 5. Bibliografía del capítulo

Número	Nombre de la Unidad	Duración en Semanas
2	Sistema de Uso del suelo y Transporte (UST)	1 semanas
Contenidos	Resultados de Aprendizajes de la Unidad	Referencias a la Bibliografía
1. Introducción 2. El equilibrio intergrado de UST 3. El problema de optimización equivalente: costo plazo 4. El problema de optimización equivalente: largo plazo, sin y con externalidades	El estudiante: • Entiende y analiza la formulación del problema d equilibrio de un sistema intregado de uso del suelo y transporte •Aplica un el equilibrio de UST en un modelo estocástico, simula proyectos urbanos y analiza sus resultados.	Martínez (2018), Capítulo 6. Bibliografía del capítulo

Número	Nombre de la Unidad	Duración en Semanas
3	Sistemas de ciudades	2 semanas
Contenidos	Resultados de Aprendizajes de la Unidad	Referencias a la Bibliografía
1. Introducción 2. Equilibrio de un sistema de ciudades 3. Leyes de escala 4. Teoría unificada	El estudiante: • Conoce y entiende un modelo para un sistema ciudades que integra varios sistemas de UST. • Conoce y entiende la ley universal de escalamiento urbano y la evolución de las ciudades • Simula la evolución de ciudades desde un tamaño pequeño a grande (ej. entre 1 y 30 millones de habitantes).	Martínez (2018), Capítulo 8. Bibliografía del capítulo

Número	Nombre de la Unidad	Duración en Semanas
4	Aplicación y planificación	2 semanas
Contenidos	Resultados de Aprendizajes de la Unidad	Referencias a la Bibliografía
1. Introducción 2. Implementación de un modelo de uso del suelo 3. Estimación de parámetros 4. Planificación óptima de ciudades 5. Análisis de preguntas frecuentes en sistemas urbanos	El estudiante: • Conoce y analiza cómo se aplica un modelo. • Conoce y analiza métodos de planificación basado en objetivos sociales utilizando subsidios y regulaciones. • Analiza preguntas frecuentes y analiza sus respuestas • Simula proyectos urbanos.	Martínez (2018), Capítulo 9 y 10 Bibliografía del capítulo

Bibliografía General

Libro de referencia:

Martinez, F.J. (2108) Microeconomic Modeling in Urban Science, Elsevier

BIBLIOGRAFÍA

Capítulo 5

Alonso, W., 1964. Location and Land-use. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Anas, A., 1983. Discrete choice theory, information theory and the multinomial logit and gravity models. *Transportation Research B* (17B), 13-23.

Ben-Akiva, M.E., Boccara, B., 1995. Discrete choice models with latent choice sets. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 12 (1), 9–24.

Bravo, M., Briceño, L., Cominetti, R., Cortés, C., Martínez, F., 2010. An integrated behavioral model of the land-use and transportation systems with network congestion and location externalities. *Transportation Research Part B* (44), 584-596.

Cascetta, E., Papola, A., 2001. Random utility models with implicit availability/perception of choice alternatives for the simulation of travel demand. *Transportation Research Part C* 9(4), 249–263.

Castro, M., Martínez, F., Munizaga, M., 2013. Estimation of a constrained multinomial logit model. *Transportation* 40, 563-581.

Hurtubia, R., Martínez, F., Flötteröd, G., Bierlaire, M., 2010. Comparative analysis of hedonic rents and maximum bids in a land-use simulation context. *Proceedings Swiss Transport Research Conference*.

Lancaster, K., 1966. A new approach to consumer theory. *Journal of Political Economy* 74(2), 132-157.

Macgill, S.M., 1977. Theoretical properties of biproportional matrix adjustments. *Environment and Plannin*, A(9), 687–701.

Manski, C.F., 1977. The structure of random utility models. *Theory and Decision* 8, 229–254.

Martínez, F.J., Aguila, F., Hurtubia, R., 2009. The constrained multinomial logit: A semi-compensatory choice model. *Transportation Research Part B*(43), 365-377.

Martínez, F.J., Araya, C., 2000. A note on trip benefits in spatial interaction models. *Journal of Regional Science* 40(4), 789-796.

Martínez, F.J., Henríquez, R., 2007. A random bidding and supply land use equilibrium model. *Transportation Research Part B* 41, 632-651.

Rosen, S., 1974. Hedonic pricing and implicit markets: Product differentiation in pure competition. *Journal of Political Economy* 82(1), 34-55.

Shelling, T.C., 1978. *Micromotives and Macrobehavior*. W.W. Norton & Co., New York, NY.

Swait, J.D., 2001. A non-compensatory choice model incorporating attribute cutoffs. *Transportation Research Part B* 35 (7), 903–928.

Swait, J.D., Ben-Akiva, M.E., 1987. Incorporating random constraints in discrete models of choice set generation. *Transportation Research Part B* 21 (2), 91– 102.

Capítulo 6

Alonso, W., 1964. *Location and Land-use*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.

Anas, A., 1983. Discrete choice theory, information theory and the multinomial logit and gravity models. *Transportation Research B* 17(B), 13-23.

Anas, A., Liu Y., 2007. A regional economy, land-use, and transportation model (RELU-TRAN): formulation, algorithm, and testing. *Journal of Regional Science* 47(3), 415-455.

Baillon, J.B., Cominetti, R., 2008. Markovian traffic equilibrium. *Mathematical Programming Series B* 111(1–2), 35–36

Beckmann, M., McGuire, C.B., Winsten, C.B., 1956. *Studies in the Economics of Transportation*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.

Ben-Akiva, M., Lerman, S.R., 1985. *Discrete Choice Analysis: Theory and Application to travel Demand*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Boyce, D., 1986. Integration of supply and demand models in transportation and location: problem formulations and research questions. *Environment and Planning A* 18(4), 485-489.

Boyce, D., 2007. Forecasting travel and congested urban transportation networks: review and prospects for network equilibrium models. *Network and Spatial Economics* 7, 99-128.

Bravo, M., Briceño, L., Cominetti, R., Cortés, C., Martínez, F., 2010. An integrated behavioral model of the land-use and transportation systems with network congestion and location externalities. *Transportation Research Part B* (44), 584-596.

Briceño, L., Cominetti, R., Cortes, C., Martinez, F.J., 2008. An integrated behavioral model of land-use and transportation system: an extended network equilibrium approach. *Networks and Spatial Economics* 8 (2–3), 201–224.

Daganzo, C., Sheffi, Y., 1977. On stochastic models of traffic assignment. *Transportation Science* 11, 253–274.

De Cea, J., Fernández, 1993. Transit assignment for congested public transport systems: an equilibrium model. *Transport Science* 27 (2), 133-147.

Dial, R.B., 1971. A probabilistic multipath traffic assignment model which obviates path enumeration. *Transportation Research* 5, 83–111.

Elliasson, E.A., Mattsson, L.G., 2000. A model for integrated analysis of household location and travel choices. *Transportation Research Part A* (34-5), 375-394.

- Hartwick, P.G., Hartwick, J.M., 1974. Efficient Resource Allocation in a Multinucleated City with Intermediate Goods. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 88, 340-352.
- Herbert, J.D., Stevens, B. H., 1960. A Model for the Distribution of Residential Activity in Urban Areas. *Journal of Regional Science* 2, 21-36.
- Kim, T.J., 1979. Alternative Transportation Modes in a Land Use Model: A General Equilibrium Approach. *Journal of Urban Economics* 6(2), 197-215.
- Lowry, I.S., 1964. A Model of Metropolis, Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, CA.
- Martínez, F.J., 1999. MUSSA: A land-use model for Santiago City. *Transportation Research Record* 1552, 126-134.
- Mills, E.S., 1972. Markets and Efficient Resource Allocation in Urban Areas. *Swedish Journal of Economics* 74, 100-113.
- Ortúzar, J.D., Willumsen, L.G., 1994. *Modelling Transport*. John Wiley & Sons. Second Edition, Chichester, UK.
- Pagliara, F., Preston, J., Simmonds, D., 2010. *Residential Location Choice: Models and Applications*. Springer, New York, NY.
- Putman, S.H., 1983. *Integrated Urban Models*. London: Pion Press.
- Simmonds, D.C., 1999. The design of the DELTA land-use modelling package. *Environment and Planning B* 26, 665-684.
- Waddell, P., 2002. UrbanSim: Modeling urban development for land-use, transportation and environmental planning. *Journal of American Planning Association* 68(3), 297-314.
- Williams, H. C. W. L., 1976. Travel demand models, duality relations and user benefit analysis. *Journal of Regional Science* 16, 147-166.
- Williams, H. C. W. L., 1977. On the formation of travel demand models and economic evaluation measures of user benefit. *Environment and Planning A* 9, 285-344.
- Williams, H. C. W. L., Senior, M. L., 1978. Accessibility, spatial interaction and the evaluation of land-use transportation plans. *Spatial Interaction Theory and Planning Models* (Karlovist A., Lundovist L., Snickars F. and Weibull J. W. Eds), 253-287. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Wardrop, J., 1952. Some theoretical aspects of road traffic research. *Proceedings Institute of Civil Engineering* 1, 325-378
- Wilson, A. G., 1967. A statistical theory of spatial distribution models. *Transportation Research* 1, 253-269.
- Wilson, A.G., 1970. *Entropy in Urban and Regional Modeling*. Pion, London.
- Wilson, A.G., 1971. A family of spatial interaction models and associated developments. *Environment and Planning* 3(1), 1-32.
- Wilson, A.G., Bennett, R.J., 1985. *Mathematical Methods in Human Geography and Planning*.

John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.

Capítulo 8

Arcaute, E., Hatna, E., Ferguson, P., Youn, H., Johansson, A., Batty, M., 2014. Constructing cities, deconstructing scaling laws. *Journal of the Royal Society Interfaces* 12: 20140745. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0745>.

Barthelemy, M., 2016. *The Structure and Dynamics of Cities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Batty, M., 2013a. *The New Science of Cities*. MIT Press.

Batty, M., 2013b. A theory of cities. *Science* 340: 1418–1419.

Batty, M., 2005. *Cities and Complexity*. MIT Press.

Bettencourt, L.M.A, Lobo, J., Helbing, D., Kühnert, C., West, G.B., 2007. Growth, innovation, scaling, and the pace of life in cities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104: 7301–7306.

Bettencourt, L.M.A, Lobo, J., and West, G.B., 2008. Why are large cities faster? Universal scaling and self-similarity in urban organization and dynamics. *The European Physical Journal B* 63: 285–293.

Bettencourt, L.M.A., 2013. The origins of Scaling in Cities. *Science* 340: 1438–1441.

Bouchaud, J-P., Mézard, M., 2000. Wealth condensation in a simple model of economy. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 282(3), 535-545.

Depersin, J., Barthelemy, M., 2017. From global scaling to the dynamics of individual cities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09559 [physics.soc-ph]*.

Fujita, M., Krugman, P., Venables, A.J., 1999. *The Spatial Economy: Cities, Regions and the International Trade*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.

Gabaix, X., 1999. Zipf's law for cities: an explanation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114, 739–767.

Gibrat, R., 1930. Une loi des répartitions économiques: l'effet proportionnel. *Bull. Statist. gén Fr.* 19, 469.

Leitao, J.C., Miotto, J.M., Gerlach, M., Altman, E.G., 2016. Is this scaling nonlinear?. *Royal Society Open Science* 3:159649. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150649>.

Li, R., Dong, L., Zhang, J., Wang, X., Wang, W-X., Di, Z., Stanley, H.E., 2017. Simple spatial scaling rules behind complex cities. *Nature Communications* 8: 1841. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01882

Martínez, F., 2016. Cities' power law: the stochastic scaling factor. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 43(2), 257-275.

Martínez, F., Donoso, P., 2010. The MUSSA II land use auction equilibrium model. In: Pagliara F, Preston J and Simmonds D (eds.) Residential Location Choice: Models and Applications. Berlin: Springer, pp. 99–133.

Pumain, D., 2004. Scaling laws and urban systems. Santa Fe Institute Working Papers 2004–02–002.

Ribeiro, F.L., Meirelles, J., Ferreira, F., Neto, C.R., 2017. A model of urban scaling laws based on distance-dependent interactions. Royal Society Open Science 4:160926.

West, G.B., 1999. The origin of universal scaling law in biology. Physica A 263: 104–113.

West, G.B., Brown, J.H., Enquist, B.J., 1999. The fourth dimension of life: fractal geometry and allometric scaling of organism. Science 284, 1667–1679.

West, G.B., Brown, J.H., Enquist, B.J., 2001. A general model of ontogenetic growth. Nature 413, 628–631.

Zipf, G.K., 1949. Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort. Addison Wesley Press.

Capítulo 9

Anselin, L., 2013. Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models. Springer Science & Business Media.

Ben-Akiva, M. E., Lerman, S. R., 1985. Discrete choice analysis: theory and application to travel demand (Vol. 9). MIT press.

Briceño-Arias, L.M., Martínez, F., 2018. Land use planning and optimal subsidies. Working paper available upon request.

Castro, M., Martínez, F., Munizaga, M., 2013. Estimation of a constrained multinomial logit model. Transportation 40, 563–581.

Hunt, J.D., Kriger, D.S., and Miller, E.J., 2005. Current operational urban land-use-transport modelling frameworks: a review. Transport Reviews 25(3), 329–376.

Lerman, S.R., & Kern, C.R., 1983. Hedonic theory, bid rents, and willingness-to-pay: some extensions of Ellickson's results. Journal of Urban Economics, 13(3), 358–363.

Martínez, F.J., Aguila, F., Hurtubia, R., 2009. The constrained multinomial logit: A semi-compensatory choice model. Transportation Research Part B (43), 365–377.

Martínez, F.J., Henríquez, R., 2007. A random bidding and supply land-use equilibrium model. Transportation Research Part B 41, 632–651.

Pagliara, F., Preston, J., Simmonds, D., 2010. Residential Location Choice: Models and Applications. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

Timmermans, H.J.P., and Zhang, J., 2009. Modeling household activity travel behavior: Example of the state of the art modeling approaches and research agenda. Transportation Research Part

B: Methodological 43: 187-190.

Train, K. E., 2009. Discrete choice methods with simulation. Cambridge University Press.

Wegener, M., 1994. Operational urban models: State of the art. Journal of the American Planning Association 60(1), 17-29.

Wegener, M., 1998. Models of urban land use, transport and environment. In Network Infrastructure and the Urban Environment. Advances in Spatial Science, edited by L. Lundqvist, L.-G. Mattsson, and T.J. Kim. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

Capítulo 10

Anas, A., Xu, R., 1999. Congestion, land use, and job dispersion: a general equilibrium model. Journal of Urban Economics 45(3), 451-473.

Briceño-Arias, L.M., Martínez, F., 2018. Land use planning and optimal subsidies. Working paper available upon request. url

Jara-Díaz, S.R., 1986. On the relation between users' benefits and the economic effects on transportation activities. Journal of Regional Science 26, 379-391.

Ma, X., Lo, H.K., 2012. Modeling transport management and land use over time. Transportation Research Part B, 46(6), 687-709.

Ng, K.F., Lo, H.K., 2015. Optimal housing supply in a bid-rent equilibrium framework. Transportation Research Part B 74, 62-78.

Yin, J.Q., 2015. Optimization for multiclass residential location models with congestible transportation networks. Transportation Science 49(3), 452-471.

Vigencia desde:	Primavera 2020
Elaborado por:	Francisco Martínez
Aprobado por:	Jefe Docente - ADD, 2011