

Solve the problem with Log Utility first

$$(a) \quad L = \alpha \log(c_1) + (1-\alpha) \log(c_2) + \beta [\alpha \log(l_1) + (1-\alpha) \log(l_2)]$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ -q - \frac{c_1}{1+r} + w_1(1-l_1) + \frac{w_2(1-l_2)}{1+r} \right]$$

$$(l_1) : \frac{\alpha}{l_1} = \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1-\alpha}{l_1} = \lambda \omega_1$$

$$(l_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{l_2} = \frac{\lambda w_2}{1+r} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{l_2} = \frac{\lambda w_2}{1+r}$$

Insert  $c_1, c_2, l_1, l_2$  from FOC's into the lifetime budget constraint

$$\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{\beta\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda} + \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\lambda} = w_1 + \frac{w_2}{1+r} = I(r)$$

$$\frac{1+\beta}{\lambda} = I(r) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{I(r)}{1+\beta}$$

Insert  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  into FOC's

$$c_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} = \frac{\alpha}{1+\beta} I(r) ; \quad c_2 = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta\alpha}{\lambda} = \frac{\alpha\beta(1+r)}{1+\beta} I(r).$$

$$l_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{w_1} = \frac{I(r)(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)w_1} = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+r)}{1+\beta} \left[ 1 + \frac{w_2}{w_1} \frac{1}{1+r} \right]$$

$$l_2 = \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{\lambda w_2} = \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)(1+r)}{(1+\beta)w_2} \left[ w_1 + \frac{w_2}{1+r} \right]$$

$$l_2 = \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\beta} \left( \frac{(1+r)}{w_2} \frac{w_1}{w_2} + 1 \right)$$

(b) Now solve the problem with ~~Log Utility~~ ~~Log Utility~~ ~~Log Utility~~

$$U(c, l) = \left( C^\alpha l^{1-\alpha} \right)^{1-\sigma}$$

$$1-\sigma$$

Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{(C_1^\alpha l_1^{1-\alpha})^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \cdot \left( C_2^\alpha l_2^{1-\alpha} \right)^{1-\sigma}$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ -C_1 \frac{c_2}{1+r} + w_1(1-l_1) + \frac{w_2(1-l_2)}{1+r} \right]$$

FOC's

$$(C_1) : \frac{\alpha(1-\sigma)C_1^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1}}{l_1} \cdot \frac{l_1^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{1-\sigma} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha C_1^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot l_1^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)} = \lambda W_1$$

$$(C_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\sigma)C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1}}{l_2} \cdot \frac{l_2^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)-1}}{1-\sigma} = \lambda W_2$$

$$(l_1) : \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda} C_1 = W_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_1 l_1 = \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda} C_1 = \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda} C_1 \Rightarrow l_1 = \frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda} C_1$$

$$(l_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\sigma)}{\lambda} C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot \frac{l_2^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{1-\sigma} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r} \quad \text{Take the ratio of these FOC's}$$

$$(l_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\sigma)}{\lambda} C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot \frac{l_2^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{1-\sigma} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r} \quad \text{Take the ratio of these FOC's}$$

$$(l_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\sigma)}{\lambda} C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot \frac{l_2^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{1-\sigma} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r} \quad \text{To obtain}$$

$$(l_2) : \frac{\beta(1-\sigma)}{\lambda} C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot \frac{l_2^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{1-\sigma} = \frac{\lambda w_2}{1+r} \quad \text{To obtain}$$

use the facts for  $C_1$  &  $C_2$  to obtain the following easier equation (take the ratio of the two focus):

$$\frac{C_1^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \beta^{(1-\sigma)(1-\sigma)}}{C_2} = \beta(1+r) \frac{C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)+(-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}}{C_1}$$

Insert  $b_1$  as a func. of  $C_1$  &  $b_2$  as a func of  $C_2$  obtained in the previous page to the later equation above.

$$C_1^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \frac{C_1}{W_1} \right)^{(1-\lambda)} = \beta(1+r) C_2^{\alpha(1-\sigma)-1} \cdot \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \frac{C_2}{W_2} \right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}$$

$$C_1^{-\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{W_1^{(1-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}} = \beta(1+r) C_2^{-\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{W_2^{(1-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}}$$

$$\left( \frac{C_2}{C_1} \right)^\sigma = \beta(1+r) \left( \frac{W_1}{W_2} \right)^{(1-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}$$

$$C_2 = (\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \left( \frac{W_1}{W_2} \right)^{\frac{(1-\lambda)(1-\sigma)}{\sigma}} \cdot C_1$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\alpha(\beta(1+r))^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1 + \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}(1+r)} \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}} \mathbb{I}(r)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \frac{C_1}{W_1} = \frac{(1-\lambda)}{1 + \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}(1+r)} \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(1+r)} \right)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \frac{C_2}{W_2} = \frac{(1-\lambda)\beta(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}{1 + \beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}(1+r)} \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}} \left( \frac{1}{\beta^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}} + \frac{1}{1+r} \right)$$

Note that  $b_1, b_2$  reads

$$C_1 + \frac{C_2}{1+r} + W_1 b_1 + \frac{W_2 b_2}{1+r} = W_1 + \frac{W_2}{1+r} = \mathbb{I}(r)$$

$$C_1 + \frac{C_2}{1+r} + \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} C_1 + \frac{1}{1+r} \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} C_2 = \mathbb{I}(r)$$

Q2 Feb 2012

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} \frac{c_1^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c_2^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$\text{s.t.: } c_1 + c_2 = \omega_1$$

$$(1+r)$$

$$\frac{\omega_1 - c_1}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\omega_2 - c_2}{1-\sigma} +$$

$$d = \frac{c_1^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c_2^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \Delta \left[ \omega_1 - c_1 - \frac{c_2}{1+r} \right] - \text{margin}$$

$$c_1: c_1^{1-\sigma} = \lambda \quad c_2: c_2^{1-\sigma} = \frac{\Delta}{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{c_1}{1-\sigma}$$

$$\text{Then } c_1^{1-\sigma} = (1+r) c_2^{1-\sigma} \Rightarrow \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^{\sigma} = 1+r \Rightarrow \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^{\sigma} = \frac{1}{1+r} \Rightarrow \left( \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{\sigma} = 1+r$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{c_2}{c_1} = (1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$

$$\text{BBC Budget: } c_1 + c_1 (1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1} = \omega_1$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\omega_1}{1+(1+r)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}}$$

$\sigma < 1$	$r \uparrow$	$c_1 \downarrow$	$c_1$	$c_2$
$\sigma > 1$	$r \uparrow$	$c_1 \downarrow$	$-$	$+$
$1/\sigma$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$0$
$+r$	$\frac{c_1}{c_1 - \frac{c_1}{1+r}}$	$+$	$+$	$+$

2. Consider a three period economy. The household's preference is given by  $u(c_1) + u(c_2) + u(c_3)$ , where  $c_t$  is consumption in period  $t$ . The real interest is  $r = 0$ . Before tax incomes are given by  $e_1 = 200$ ,  $e_2 = 1000$ , and  $e_3 = 200$ . The consumer is not allowed to borrow, i.e. there is a constraint on borrowing. The government uses lump sum taxes to finance government expenditures.

(a) [15] Assuming that lump-sum taxes are given by  $T_1 = 100$ ,  $T_2 = 100$ , and  $T_3 = 100$ , solve the consumer's optimal consumption and end-of-period net asset position in each period.

(b) [15] Assume that the government reduces current tax  $T_1$  to zero with out changing its expenditures.  $T_3$  also remains at 100. Solve the consumer's optimal consumption and end-of-period net asset position in each period.

(c) [5] Explain why Ricardian Equivalence fails when the taxes are changed from the levels in part (a) to the levels in part (b) (in doing so, focus on which assumption of Ricardian Equivalence is not satisfied in this environment).

(a) First solve the unconstrained problem. Note  $S_3$  should be  $\geq 200$  since it does not deliver any utility.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + S_1 &= e_1 - T_1, & c_2 + S_2 &= e_2 - T_2 + \lambda r S_2 \\ c_3 + S_3 &= e_3 - T_3 + \lambda r S_3 \end{aligned}$$

Liftp time B.C. is

$$(UBC)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 200 - 100 + 1000 - 100 + 200 - 100 \\ &\equiv 1100. \end{aligned}$$

$$J = u(c_1) + u(c_2) + u(c_3) + \lambda [-c_1 - c_2 - c_3 + 1100]$$

$$\Rightarrow u'(c_1) = \lambda; u'(c_2) = \lambda; u'(c_3) = \lambda \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = c_3$$

Substituting into LBC, we obtain  $c_1 = \frac{1000}{3} + t$

Check whether unconstrained solution violates borrowing constraints.

$$c_1 + S_1 = e_1 - T_1 = 100 \Rightarrow \frac{1000}{3} + S_1 = 100 \Rightarrow S_1 = \frac{-800}{3} < 0$$

So  $S_1 > 0$  is violated.

The consumer then chooses  $S_1 = 0$  &  $c_1 = e_1 - T_1 = 100$ .  
The consumer still wants to set  $c_2 = c_3$ .

From lifetime B.C.  $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = e_1 - T_1 + e_2 - T_2 + e_3 - T_3$  Page 6 of 11  
& from  $c_1 = e_1 - T_1$  (from the last result) we have

$$(a) c_2 + c_3 = e_2 - T_2 + e_3 - T_3 = 1000 - 100 + 200 - 100$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 + c_3 = 1000$$

Together with the optimality condition  $c_2 = c_3$   
we have  $c_2 = c_3 = 500$

Now check whether borrowing constraint is violated.

$$c_1 + S_2 = e_1 - T_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 500 + S_2 = 1000 \Rightarrow S_2 = 500 > 0$$

Since this solution does not violate the borrowing constraint, it is optimal. Thus, we have  $c_1 = 100$ ,  $c_2 = 500$ ,  $c_3 = 500$ ,  $S_1 = 0$ ,  $S_2 = 500$ ,  $S_3 = 0$ .

$$(b) \frac{D}{T_1} + T_2 + 100 = 100 + 100 + 100 \Rightarrow T_2 = 200.$$

Note that PV taxes does not A since G's are fixed.  
as a result, unconstrained solution will be the same as in part (a). since lifetime income is the same.

Since the unconstrained solution  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = \frac{1000}{3}$  violates the 1st period B.C. since  $c_1 + S_1 = 100 \Rightarrow S_1 = -\frac{500}{3} < 0$ .

Thus,  $c_1 = e_1 - T_1 = 200$  &  $S_1 = 0$ .

Using  $C_1 + C_2 + C_3 = C_1 - T_1 + C_2 - T_2 + C_3 - T_3$

$$C_1 = C_1 - T_1$$

$$\text{we have } C_2 + C_3 = C_2 - T_2 + C_3 - T_3$$

$$= 1000 - 200 + 200 - 100$$

$$C_2 + C_3 = 900$$

Using the optimality condition  $C_2 = C_3$  we obtain

$$C_2 = C_3 = 450.$$

Using 2nd period B.C.  $C_2 + S_2 = C_2 - T_2 \Leftrightarrow$

$$S_2 = 1000 - 200 - 450 = \underline{350} > 0 \Rightarrow S_2 \text{ is optimal}$$

since borrowing  
constraint is not  
violated.

Thus, the optimal solution is.

$$C_1 = 200, C_2 = 450, C_3 = 450$$

$$S_1 = 0, S_2 = 350, S_3 = 0.$$

- (c). The consumer is borrowing constrained in the 1st period, he wants to consume more but he can't. As a result, when the govt reduces taxes in the 1st period, he has more income (spend his 1st period consumption because this is what he wanted to do anyways. Thus, consumption allocations change with this tax change.