

# Fast Delayed Signal Cancellation Method for Component Sequences Separation

Roberto Cárdenas, *IEEE S. Member*, Matías Díaz, Felix Rojas and Jon Clare, *IEEE S. Member*

**Abstract**— Delayed Signal Cancellation (DSC) is one of the methods used to separate the negative and positive sequence components in unbalanced 3 $\phi$  systems. In this paper a fast DSC methodology is proposed and is shown that fast separation of the positive and negative sequences is feasible. Experimental results are presented to demonstrate the performance of the proposed methodology.

**Index Terms**—Delayed Signal Cancellation, Symmetrical Components.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In several applications it is necessary to obtain the negative and positive sequence components of voltage and current signals. Typical examples are control systems for Low Voltage Ride Through (LVRT) [1], flicker mitigation [2], phase locked loop implementations [3][4], etc.

Conventional DSC methods reported in the literature separate the positive and negative sequence components using [1][2] :

$$\hat{v}_{1\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \underline{v}_T(t) - j \underline{v}_T(t - \frac{T}{4}) \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{v}_{2\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \underline{v}_T(t) + j \underline{v}_T(t - \frac{T}{4}) \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{v}_{1\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\hat{v}_{2\alpha\beta}$  are estimations of the positive and negative sequence signals respectively,  $\underline{v}_T$  is the total voltage vector and  $T$  is the signal period. The main disadvantage of using (1-2) is that a significant delay (5ms for 50Hz) is created in the result. Additionally in digital implementations the ratio  $T/(4T_s)$  (where  $T_s$  is the sampling period) has to be an integer, which is not always feasible [2]. Moreover, another disadvantage of (1-2) is that a relatively large number of memory positions could be required to store the vector  $\underline{v}_T(t - T/4)$ . In this work a methodology for fast calculation of the sequence components is discussed.

Conventional DSC methods, as well as the fast method presented in this paper, are affected by harmonic distortion in the signals [2]. Filtering has to be applied before using (1-2) [3] or a complex generalised DSC (which has a high computational burden) has to be implemented [4]. The filtering or pre-processing stage is considered outside the scope of this paper. Therefore in this work it is assumed that the signals at the DSC input have reduced harmonic distortion. Hence the voltage vector  $\underline{v}_T$  is composed of positive and negative sequence components as shown below:

$$\underline{v}_T = v_1 e^{j\omega t + \phi_1} + v_2 e^{-j\omega t + \phi_2} \quad (3)$$

Then, using (3) it can be shown that the positive and negative sequence signals can be obtained as:

$$v_{1\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \underline{v}_T(t) - \frac{j}{\omega} \frac{\partial \underline{v}_T(t)}{\partial t} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$v_{2\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \underline{v}_T(t) + \frac{j}{\omega} \frac{\partial \underline{v}_T(t)}{\partial t} \right] \quad (5)$$

It is well known that in a digital implementation direct differentiation of the voltage amplifies the noise in  $\underline{v}_T$ . Therefore an alternative is to use the following expression:

$$\underline{v}_s = \underline{v}_T(\omega t) - e^{-j\theta_d} \underline{v}_T(\omega t - \theta_d) \quad (6)$$

where  $\theta_d$  is the delay angle. In an experimental implementation this angle is calculated as  $\theta_d = 2\pi T/(NT_s)$  where  $N$  is an integer. Using (3) in (6) (assuming  $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ ) the vector  $\underline{v}_s$  is obtained as:

$$\underline{v}_s = v_1 e^{j\omega t} + v_2 e^{-j\omega t} - e^{-j\theta_d} [v_1 e^{j(\omega t - \theta_d)} + v_2 e^{-j(\omega t - \theta_d)}] \quad (7)$$

By simple inspection of (6)-(7) it is concluded that the negative sequence component is cancelled, yielding:

$$\hat{v}_{1\alpha\beta} = \frac{\underline{v}_s}{[1 - e^{-j2\theta_d}]} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{[\underline{v}_T(\omega t) - e^{-j\theta_d} \underline{v}_T(\omega t - \theta_d)](1 - e^{j2\theta_d})}{[1 - 2\cos(2\theta_d)]} \quad (8)$$

where  $\hat{v}_{1\alpha\beta}$  is an estimation of the positive sequence signal. The negative sequence component of the signal is estimated using:

$$\hat{v}_{2\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{[\underline{v}_T(\omega t) - e^{j\theta_d} \underline{v}_T(\omega t - \theta_d)](1 - e^{-j2\theta_d})}{[1 - 2\cos(2\theta_d)]} \quad (9)$$

It can be shown that (8)-(9) are equivalent to (4)-(5) when  $\theta_d \rightarrow 0$ . Using (8-9) the implementation of the proposed fast DSC is shown in Fig. 1. The time delay of  $NT_s$  seconds corresponds to a delay angle of  $\theta_d$  rads. Using  $\theta_d = \pi/2$  the conventional DSC of (1-2) is obtained. Notice that the use of  $\theta_d > \pi/2$  is also possible, which could be an alternative for sequence separation with very noisy signals (i.e. delays  $> 5ms$ ).

Using Fig. 1 and (8)-(9), the estimation (in the  $\alpha\beta$  frame) of the sequence components can be obtained using the




Fig.1. Proposed fast DSC

<sup>\*</sup> This work was partially funded by Fondecyt Chile, grant Nr. 1110984, CONICYT/FONDAP/15110019 and Conicyt REDENERG-0002.

R. Cárdenas and M. Díaz are with the Electrical Engineering Department, University of Chile, Avda Tupper 2007, Santiago, Chile, email [rcd@ieec.org](mailto:rcd@ieec.org).

F. Rojas is with the Technical University of Munich, email [felix.rojas@tum.de](mailto:felix.rojas@tum.de). Jon Clare is with the Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, Nottingham UK., email [Jon.Clare@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:Jon.Clare@nottingham.ac.uk).

TABLE I. CALCULATIONS OF THE POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE SEQUENCE VOLTAGES USING (8) AND (9).

$\hat{v}_\alpha^p = \frac{(V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d - (V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \cos 2\theta_d + (V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \sin 2\theta_d)}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta_d)}$
$\hat{v}_\beta^p = \frac{(V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d - (V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \cos 2\theta_d - (V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \sin 2\theta_d)}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta_d)}$
$\hat{v}_\alpha^n = \frac{(V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d - (V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \cos 2\theta_d + (V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \sin 2\theta_d)}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta_d)}$
$\hat{v}_\beta^n = \frac{(V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d - (V_{\beta T} - V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \cos 2\theta_d - (V_{\alpha T} - V_{\alpha T}^{\theta_d} \cos \theta_d + V_{\beta T}^{\theta_d} \sin \theta_d) \sin 2\theta_d)}{2(1 - \cos 2\theta_d)}$

equations shown in Table 1. For compactness,  $v_{\alpha\beta T}^{\theta_d}$  stands for  $v_{\alpha\beta T}(\omega t - \theta_d)$  and the superscripts ‘p’, ‘n’ stand for positive and negative sequence respectively. Notice that  $N$  samples of the signal  $\underline{v}_T(t)$  have to be stored in the digital processor memory for the implementation of this fast DSC algorithm.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The fast DSC algorithm proposed in this work has been experimentally tested. A TMS320C6713 DSP augmented with an FPGA board has been used to estimate the sequence components using the equations depicted in Table I. A data acquisition system with a sampling frequency of 10kHz is used in this application. Three Hall-effect voltage transducers are used to measure the grid voltages. A programmable AMETEK power source is used to generate type *D* voltage dips. The output of this power source is regulated to 110-V<sub>rms</sub> at 50Hz.

In the DSP implementations the proposed fast DSC has been programmed with delays (in parallel) of  $T/4$  (conventional DSC [2]),  $T/8$ , and  $T/40$  ( $T=20ms$ ). Fig. 2 shows the experimental results for a voltage dip type *D*. As shown in Fig. 2a, at  $t \approx 20ms$  voltages  $v_{an}$  and  $v_{cn}$  decrease by 50% and  $v_{bn}$  decreases by 75% of its previous value. In Fig. 2b the estimated negative sequence voltages are shown. Notice the relatively high peak achieved by the  $T/40$  estimation. This is produced by the numerical implementation of  $(\partial \underline{v}(t)/\partial t)$  using a 500 $\mu s$  time delay (see (9)). Fig. 2c shows an amplified view of the dip. Notice that the conventional DSC takes 5ms




Fig. 2. Experimental results. a)  $v_{an}$ ,  $v_{bn}$  and  $v_{cn}$  for a dip D grid-fault. b)  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  negative sequence components. c) Amplified view of 2b) for  $\hat{v}_{2\alpha}$ .




Fig. 3. a)  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  positive sequence components corresponding to the test shown in Fig.2a. c) Amplified view of a) for  $\hat{v}_{1\beta}$

to converge to the correct negative sequence voltage. The  $T/40$  DSC converges very fast in almost 500 $\mu s$  (5 samples). Fig. 3 shows the estimation of the positive sequence component with Fig.3b showing an amplified view of  $\hat{v}_{1\beta}$ . Again the convergence is very fast for a delay time of  $T/40$ . The estimator with a delay time of  $T/8$  settles down in  $\approx 2.5ms$  with a reduced overshoot. Therefore, for a given application a compromise between the minimum value of  $\theta_d$ , the noise level and the maximum overshoot allowed can be reached.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

A fast DSC can be implemented using the equations displayed in Table I. The proposed DSC has been tested for  $\theta_d > 9^\circ$  (500 $\mu s$ ) with good performance. As anticipated from (4)-(5) for smaller values of  $\theta_d$ , more noise and overshoot are expected because the numerical implementation of  $\partial \underline{v}(t)/\partial t$  is realised in (8-9). Therefore, for a particular application a compromise between noise, overshoot and minimum  $\theta_d$  value has to be reached. Using simulation work the authors have concluded that for LVRT algorithm the effects of the overshoot can be easily addressed in the control system implementation, and a relatively low angle  $\theta_d$  is feasible.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Ramirez, S. Martinez, C. a. Platano, F. Blazquez, and R. M. de Castro, "Low-Voltage Ride-Through Capability for Wind Generators Based on Dynamic Voltage Restorers," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 195–203, Mar. 2011.
- [2] J. Svensson, M. Bongiorno, S. Member, and A. Sannino, "Practical Implementation of Delayed Signal Cancellation Method for Phase-Sequence Separation," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 18–26, 2007.
- [3] J. C. Alfonso-gil, J. J. Vague-cardona, S. Orts-grau, F. J. Gimeno-sales, and S. Seguí-chilet, "Enhanced Grid Fundamental Positive-Sequence Digital Synchronization Structure," *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 226–234, 2013.
- [4] Y. F. Wang and Y. W. Li, "Grid Synchronization PLL Based on Cascaded Delayed Signal Cancellation," *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 1987–1997, 2011.