

On to real programming  
languages...

# Ascription

---

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$   
     $t \text{ as } T$

terms  
ascription

New evaluation rules

$t \rightarrow t'$

$v_1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow v_1$  (E-ASCRIBE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow t'_1 \text{ as } T} \quad (\text{E-ASCRIBE1})$$

New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \text{ as } T : T} \quad (\text{T-ASCRIBE})$$

## Ascription as a derived form

---

$$t \text{ as } T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x:T. \ x) \ t$$

# Let-bindings

---

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

terms

$\text{let } x=t \text{ in } t$

let binding

New evaluation rules

$t \rightarrow t'$

$$\text{let } x=v_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_1]t_2 \quad (\text{E-LETV})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow \text{let } x=t'_1 \text{ in } t_2} \quad (\text{E-LET})$$

New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma, x:T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2} \quad (\text{T-LET})$$

# Pairs, tuples, and records

# Pairs

---

$t ::= \dots$	<i>terms</i>
$\{t, t\}$	<i>pair</i>
$t.1$	<i>first projection</i>
$t.2$	<i>second projection</i>
$v ::= \dots$	<i>values</i>
$\{v, v\}$	<i>pair value</i>
$T ::= \dots$	<i>types</i>
$T_1 \times T_2$	<i>product type</i>

## Evaluation rules for pairs

---

$$\{v_1, v_2\}.1 \longrightarrow v_1 \quad (\text{E-PAIRBETA1})$$

$$\{v_1, v_2\}.2 \longrightarrow v_2 \quad (\text{E-PAIRBETA2})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1.1 \longrightarrow t'_1.1} \quad (\text{E-PROJ1})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1.2 \longrightarrow t'_1.2} \quad (\text{E-PROJ2})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\{t_1, t_2\} \longrightarrow \{t'_1, t_2\}} \quad (\text{E-PAIR1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{\{v_1, t_2\} \longrightarrow \{v_1, t'_2\}} \quad (\text{E-PAIR2})$$

## Typing rules for pairs

---

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_1, t_2\} : T_1 \times T_2} \quad (\text{T-PAIR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \times T_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.1 : T_{11}} \quad (\text{T-PROJ1})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \times T_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.2 : T_{12}} \quad (\text{T-PROJ2})$$

# Tuples

---

$t ::= \dots$	<i>terms</i>
$\{t_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>tuple</i>
$t.i$	<i>projection</i>
$v ::= \dots$	<i>values</i>
$\{v_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>tuple value</i>
$T ::= \dots$	<i>types</i>
$\{T_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>tuple type</i>

## Evaluation rules for tuples

---

$$\{v_i \ i \in 1..n\} . j \longrightarrow v_j \quad (\text{E-PROJ TUPLE})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1.i \longrightarrow t'_1.i} \quad (\text{E-PROJ})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} t_j \longrightarrow t'_j \\ \hline \{v_i \ i \in 1..j-1, t_j, t_k \ k \in j+1..n\} \\ \longrightarrow \{v_i \ i \in 1..j-1, t'_j, t_k \ k \in j+1..n\} \end{array}}{(\text{E-TUPLE})}$$

## Typing rules for tuples

---

$$\frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_i\}_{i \in 1..n} : \{T_i\}_{i \in 1..n}} \quad (\text{T-TUPLE})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \{T_i\}_{i \in 1..n}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.j : T_j} \quad (\text{T-PROJ})$$

# Records

---

$t ::= \dots$	<i>terms</i>
$\{l_i=t_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>record</i>
$t.l$	<i>projection</i>
$v ::= \dots$	<i>values</i>
$\{l_i=v_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>record value</i>
$T ::= \dots$	<i>types</i>
$\{l_i:T_i\}_{i \in 1..n}$	<i>type of records</i>

## Evaluation rules for records

---

$$\{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..n\} . l_j \longrightarrow v_j \quad (\text{E-PROJRCD})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 . l \longrightarrow t'_1 . l} \quad (\text{E-PROJ})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} t_j \longrightarrow t'_j \\ \hline \{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..j-1, l_j = t_j, l_k = t_k \mid k \in j+1..n\} \\ \longrightarrow \{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..j-1, l_j = t'_j, l_k = t_k \mid k \in j+1..n\} \end{array}}{(\text{E-RCD})}$$

## Typing rules for records

---

$$\frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{l_i = t_i \mid i \in 1..n\} : \{l_i : T_i \mid i \in 1..n\}} \quad (\text{T-RCD})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \{l_i : T_i \mid i \in 1..n\}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.l_j : T_j} \quad (\text{T-PROJ})$$

# Sums and variants

## Sums – motivating example

---

```
PhysicalAddr = {firstlast:String, addr:String}
VirtualAddr   = {name:String, email:String}
Addr          = PhysicalAddr + VirtualAddr
inl  : "PhysicalAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr"
inr  : "VirtualAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr"
```

```
getName =  $\lambda a:Addr.$ 
  case a of
    inl x  $\Rightarrow$  x.firstlast
  | inr y  $\Rightarrow$  y.name;
```

## New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$	<i>terms</i>
$\text{inl } t$	<i>tagging (left)</i>
$\text{inr } t$	<i>tagging (right)</i>
$\text{case } t \text{ of } \text{inl } x \Rightarrow t \mid \text{inr } x \Rightarrow t$	<i>case</i>
$v ::= \dots$	<i>values</i>
$\text{inl } v$	<i>tagged value (left)</i>
$\text{inr } v$	<i>tagged value (right)</i>
$T ::= \dots$	<i>types</i>
$T+T$	<i>sum type</i>

$T_1+T_2$  is a *disjoint union* of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  (the tags `inl` and `inr` ensure disjointness)

## New evaluation rules

$t \longrightarrow t'$

$$\frac{\text{case } (\text{inl } v_0) \rightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1 \quad \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\text{case } (\text{inr } v_0) \rightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2 \quad \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2} \quad (\text{E-CASEINL})$$

$$\frac{\text{case } (\text{inr } v_0) \rightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2 \quad \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 \quad (\text{E-CASEINR})}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0 \\ \hline \text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 \\ \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 \end{array}}{(\text{E-CASE})}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inl } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{inl } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-INL})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inr } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{inr } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-INR})$$

## New typing rules

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : T_1 + T_2 \quad \Gamma, x_1:T_1 \vdash t_1 : T \quad \Gamma, x_2:T_2 \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 : T} \quad (\text{T-CASE})$$

# Sums and Uniqueness of Types

---

Problem:

*If  $t$  has type  $T$ , then  $\text{inl } t$  has type  $T+U$  for every  $U$ .*

I.e., we've lost uniqueness of types.

Possible solutions:

- ▶ “Infer”  $U$  as needed during typechecking
- ▶ Give constructors different names and only allow each name to appear in one sum type (requires generalization to “variants,” which we’ll see next) — OCaml’s solution
- ▶ Annotate each `inl` and `inr` with the intended sum type.

For simplicity, let’s choose the third.

## New syntactic forms

<code>t ::= ...</code>	<i>terms</i>
<code>inl t as T</code>	<i>tagging (left)</i>
<code>inr t as T</code>	<i>tagging (right)</i>
<code>v ::= ...</code>	<i>values</i>
<code>inl v as T</code>	<i>tagged value (left)</i>
<code>inr v as T</code>	<i>tagged value (right)</i>

Note that `as T` here is not the ascription operator that we saw before — i.e., not a separate syntactic form: in essence, there is an ascription “built into” every use of `inl` or `inr`.

## New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INR})$$

*Evaluation rules ignore annotations:*

$t \longrightarrow t'$

$$\frac{\text{case (inl } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \\ \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\longrightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1} \quad (\text{E-CASEINL})$$

$$\frac{\text{case (inr } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \\ \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\longrightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2} \quad (\text{E-CASEINR})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \longrightarrow \text{inl } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2} \quad (\text{E-INTL})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \longrightarrow \text{inr } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2} \quad (\text{E-INR})$$

## Variants

---

Just as we generalized binary products to labeled records, we can generalize binary sums to labeled *variants*.

## Example

---

```
Addr = <physical:PhysicalAddr, virtual:VirtualAddr>;  
  
a = <physical=pa> as Addr;  
  
getName =  $\lambda$ a:Addr.  
  case a of  
    <physical=x>  $\Rightarrow$  x.firstlast  
  | <virtual=y>  $\Rightarrow$  y.name;
```

## New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$	<i>terms</i>
$\langle l=t \rangle \text{ as } T$	<i>tagging</i>
$\text{case } t \text{ of } \langle l_i=x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \quad i \in 1..n$	<i>case</i>
$T ::= \dots$	<i>types</i>
$\langle l_i:T_i \quad i \in 1..n \rangle$	<i>type of variants</i>

## New evaluation rules

$t \longrightarrow t'$

$$\frac{\text{case } \langle l_j = v_j \rangle \text{ as } T \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i^{i \in 1..n} \\ \longrightarrow [x_j \mapsto v_j] t_j}{\text{E-CASEVARIANT}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0 \\ \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i^{i \in 1..n} \\ \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i^{i \in 1..n} \end{array}}{\text{E-CASE}}$$

$$\frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{\langle l_i = t_i \rangle \text{ as } T \longrightarrow \langle l_i = t'_i \rangle \text{ as } T} \quad (\text{E-VARIANT})$$

## New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_j : T_j}{\Gamma \vdash \langle l_j = t_j \rangle \text{ as } \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in 1..n} : \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in 1..n}} \text{ (T-VARIANT)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash t_0 : \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in 1..n} \\ \text{for each } i \quad \Gamma, x_i : T_i \vdash t_i : T \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i : T_{i \in 1..n} : T} \text{ (T-CASE)}$$

# Options

---

Just like in OCaml...

```
OptionalNat = <none:Unit, some:Nat>;  
  
Table = Nat → OptionalNat;  
  
emptyTable = λn:Nat. <none=unit> as OptionalNat;  
  
extendTable =  
  λt:Table. λm:Nat. λv:Nat.  
    λn:Nat.  
      if equal n m then <some=v> as OptionalNat  
      else t n;  
  
x = case t(5) of  
  <none=u> ⇒ 999  
  | <some=v> ⇒ v;
```

## Enumerations

---

```
Weekday = <monday:Unit, tuesday:Unit, wednesday:Unit,  
          thursday:Unit, friday:Unit>;  
  
nextBusinessDay = λw:Weekday.  
  case w of <monday=x>    ⇒ <tuesday=unit> as Weekday  
            | <tuesday=x>    ⇒ <wednesday=unit> as Weekday  
            | <wednesday=x>  ⇒ <thursday=unit> as Weekday  
            | <thursday=x>   ⇒ <friday=unit> as Weekday  
            | <friday=x>     ⇒ <monday=unit> as Weekday;
```