

# Inductive Proofs about the Lambda Calculus

## Two induction principles

---

Like before, we have two ways to prove that properties are true of the untyped lambda calculus.

- ▶ Structural induction on terms
- ▶ Induction on a derivation of  $t \longrightarrow t'$ .

Let's look at an example of each.

## Structural induction on terms

---

To show that a property  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all lambda-terms  $t$ , it suffices to show that

- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is a variable;
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is a lambda-abstraction  $\lambda x. t_1$ , assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for the immediate subterm  $t_1$ ; and
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is an application  $t_1 t_2$ , assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for the immediate subterms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

## Structural induction on terms

---

To show that a property  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all lambda-terms  $t$ , it suffices to show that

- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is a variable;
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is a lambda-abstraction  $\lambda x. t_1$ , assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for the immediate subterm  $t_1$ ; and
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds when  $t$  is an application  $t_1 t_2$ , assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for the immediate subterms  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ .

N.b.: The variant of this principle where “immediate subterm” is replaced by “arbitrary subterm” is also valid. (Cf. *ordinary induction vs. complete induction* on the natural numbers.)

## An example of structural induction on terms

---

Define the set of *free variables* in a lambda-term as follows:

$$FV(x) = \{x\}$$

$$FV(\lambda x. t_1) = FV(t_1) \setminus \{x\}$$

$$FV(t_1 t_2) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)$$

Define the *size* of a lambda-term as follows:

$$size(x) = 1$$

$$size(\lambda x. t_1) = size(t_1) + 1$$

$$size(t_1 t_2) = size(t_1) + size(t_2) + 1$$

*Theorem:*  $|FV(t)| \leq size(t)$ .

## An example of structural induction on terms

---

*Theorem:*  $|FV(t)| \leq size(t)$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the structure of  $t$ .

▶ If  $t$  is a variable, then  $|FV(t)| = 1 = size(t)$ .

▶ If  $t$  is an abstraction  $\lambda x. t_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |FV(t)| \\ = & |FV(t_1) \setminus \{x\}| && \text{by defn} \\ \leq & |FV(t_1)| && \text{by arithmetic} \\ \leq & size(t_1) && \text{by induction hypothesis} \\ \leq & size(t_1) + 1 && \text{by arithmetic} \\ = & size(t) && \text{by defn.} \end{aligned}$$

## An example of structural induction on terms

---

*Theorem:*  $|FV(t)| \leq size(t)$ .

*Proof:* By induction on the structure of  $t$ .

- ▶ If  $t$  is an application  $t_1 t_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |FV(t)| \\ = & |FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)| && \text{by defn} \\ \leq & \max(|FV(t_1)|, |FV(t_2)|) && \text{by arithmetic} \\ \leq & \max(|size(t_1)|, |size(t_2)|) && \text{by IH and arithmetic} \\ \leq & |size(t_1)| + |size(t_2)| && \text{by arithmetic} \\ \leq & |size(t_1)| + |size(t_2)| + 1 && \text{by arithmetic} \\ = & size(t) && \text{by defn.} \end{aligned}$$

## Induction on derivations

---

Recall that the reduction relation is defined as the smallest binary relation on terms satisfying the following rules:

$$(\lambda x. t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12} \quad (\text{E-APPABS})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2} \quad (\text{E-APP1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2} \quad (\text{E-APP2})$$

## Induction on derivations

---

Induction principle for the small-step evaluation relation.

To show that a property  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all derivations of  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , it suffices to show that

- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all derivations that use the rule E-AppAbs;
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all derivations that end with a use of E-App1 assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all subderivations; and
- ▶  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all derivations that end with a use of E-App2 assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for all subderivations.

## Example

---

Theorem: if  $t \longrightarrow t'$  then  $FV(t) \supseteq FV(t')$ .

## Induction on derivations

---

We must prove, for all derivations of  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , that  $FV(t) \supseteq FV(t')$ .

There are three cases.

## Induction on derivations

---

We must prove, for all derivations of  $t \longrightarrow t'$ , that  $FV(t) \supseteq FV(t')$ .

There are three cases.

- ▶ If the derivation of  $t \longrightarrow t'$  is just a use of E-AppAbs, then  $t$  is  $(\lambda x. t_1)v$  and  $t'$  is  $[x \mapsto v]t_1$ . Reason as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} FV(t) &= FV((\lambda x. t_1)v) \\ &= FV(t_1)/\{x\} \cup FV(v) \\ &\supseteq FV([x \mapsto v]t_1) \\ &= FV(t') \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If the derivation ends with a use of E-App1, then  $t$  has the form  $t_1 t_2$  and  $t'$  has the form  $t'_1 t_2$ , and we have a subderivation of  $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$

By the induction hypothesis,  $FV(t_1) \supseteq FV(t'_1)$ . Now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} FV(t) &= FV(t_1 t_2) \\ &= FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2) \\ &\supseteq FV(t'_1) \cup FV(t_2) \\ &= FV(t'_1 t_2) \\ &= FV(t') \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If the derivation ends with a use of E-App1, then  $t$  has the form  $t_1 t_2$  and  $t'$  has the form  $t'_1 t_2$ , and we have a subderivation of  $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$

By the induction hypothesis,  $FV(t_1) \supseteq FV(t'_1)$ . Now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} FV(t) &= FV(t_1 t_2) \\ &= FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2) \\ &\supseteq FV(t'_1) \cup FV(t_2) \\ &= FV(t'_1 t_2) \\ &= FV(t') \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ If the derivation ends with a use of E-App2, the argument is similar to the previous case.