



# Trade in Transforming Our World:

Options for Follow-up and Review of the Trade-related Elements of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

By Alice Tipping, ICTSD Robert Wolfe, Queen's University and IISD



International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development



**Issue Paper 1** 

## Trade in Transforming Our World

Options for Follow-up and Review of the Traderelated Elements of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

By Alice Tipping, ICTSD Robert Wolfe, Queen's University and IISD



International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development



**Issue Paper 1** 

#### Published by

International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) International Environment House 2 7 Chemin de Balexert, 1219 Geneva, Switzerland

Tel: +41 22 917 8492	Fax: +41 22 917 8093
E-mail: ictsd@ictsd.ch	Internet: www.ictsd.org
Publisher and Director:	Ricardo Meléndez-Ortiz
rubusher and Director.	Rical do Melendez-Ortiz
Programme lead:	Alice Tipping

#### Acknowledgments

ICTSD wishes to gratefully acknowledge the support of its core and thematic donors, including the UK Department for International Development (DFID); the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA); the Netherlands Directorate General of International Cooperation (DGIS); the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark, Danida; the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland; and, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Norway.

This paper builds on a working draft published in 2015. This version is substantially revised in light of the outcomes of the third international Financing for Development conference of July 2015 and the UN Summit in September 2015; and of the work of the UN Statistical Commission on indicators, and the Secretary-General's January 2016 report on follow-up and review. The authors are grateful for the helpful comments of many colleagues and officials.

For further information on ICTSD and other work in this theme see www.ictsd.org

Comments and feedback on this publication can be sent to Alice Tipping (atipping@ictsd.ch) or to ICTSD's Managing Director for Communications and Strategy at acrosby@ictsd.ch

Citation: Tipping, Alice and Robert Wolfe; (2016); *Trade in Transforming Our World: Options for Follow-up and Review of the Trade-related Elements of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*; International Institute for Sustainable Development and International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, Geneva, Switzerland, www.ictsd.org.

Copyright ©ICTSD and IISD, 2016. Readers are encouraged to quote this material for educational and nonprofit purposes, provided the source is acknowledged. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of ICTSD or the funding institutions.

ISSN 1817 356X

## CONTENTS

ABB	REVIA	TIONS	iv
FOR	EWOR	RD	vi
EXEC	UTIV	'E SUMMARY	vii
INTR	ODU	CTION	1
1.	PRIN	CIPLES FOR THINKING ABOUT THE REVIEW MECHANISM	4
	1.1	Who Is to be Reviewed?	4
	1.2	By Whom?	4
	1.3	About What?	4
	1.4	Through What Process?	5
	1.5	Criteria/Standard of Assessment?	5
	1.6	With What Effects on Agents?	6
	1.7	Feasibility And Practicality	6
2.	DESI	GN OPTIONS FOR A THREE-LEVEL REVIEW PROCESS	7
3.	MAPPING THE TRADE–RELATED ELEMENTS OF THE 2030 AGENDA		9
4.	4. MAPPING TRADE-RELATED REVIEW MECHANISMS		14
	4.1	World Trade Organization	14
	4.2	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development	17
	4.3	World Bank	18
	4.4	Regional Review	18
5.	CON	CLUSION: BRINGING ALL THE REPORTS TOGETHER	20
END	ΝΟΤΙ	ES	21
REFE	RENC	CES	23
		REVIEW MECHANISMS FOR TRADE-RELATED S OF THE 2030 AGENDA	27

## ABBREVIATIONS

Addis Ababa Action Agenda
Asian Development Bank
African Development Bank
WTO Agriculture Information Management System
Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
Association of Southeast Asian Nations
Bank for International Settlements
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
Country Policy and Institutional Assessment
WTO Committee on Regional Trade Agreements
WTO Committee on Trade and Development
WTO Committee on Trade and Environment
WTO Doha Development Agenda
Duty-Free Quota-Free
Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean
Enhanced Integrated Framework
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Group of 20
global value chains
High-Level Political Forum on sustainable development
International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development
Inter-Agency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators
International Energy Agency
international financial institution
International investment agreements
International Institute for Sustainable Development
International Monetary Fund
intellectual property
Istanbul Plan of Action
International Trade Centre
International Trade Centre International Union for Conservation of Nature
International Union for Conservation of Nature
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing)
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) least developed countries
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) least developed countries landlocked developing countries
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) least developed countries landlocked developing countries multilateral development bank
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) least developed countries landlocked developing countries multilateral development bank most favoured nation
International Union for Conservation of Nature illegal, unreported and unregulated (fishing) least developed countries landlocked developing countries multilateral development bank most favoured nation means of implementation

RTA	regional trade agreement
S&D	special and differential treatment
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SIDS	small island developing states
SME	small and medium-sized enterprise
TFA	WTO Agreement on Trade Facilitation
TiVA	OECD-WTO Trade in Value Added
TPP	Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement
TPR	WTO Trade Policy Review
TPRB	WTO Trade Policy Review Body
TPRM	WTO Trade Policy Review Mechanism
TRIPS	WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
UN	United Nations
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNFCCC	UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
VNR	Voluntary National Review
WHO	World Health Organization
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization

#### FOREWORD

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development adopted in September 2015 commits all United Nations Member States to an ambitious and wide-ranging set of objectives requiring an integrated approach to environmental, social, and economic development concerns. Its vision is nothing less than "transforming our world" and its structure and breadth send an important political signal about the interrelated nature of global challenges. This universal and integrated framework for public policy will only succeed with the active engagement of the private sector and civil society.

Trade and trade policy tools are referenced throughout the Sustainable Development Goals and even more fulsomely in the Addis Ababa Action Agenda on financing for development. The many references reflect the important contribution trade is expected to make to objectives as diverse as ending hunger, the sustainable use of marine and terrestrial ecosystems, and ensuring healthy lives. How trade is managed and trade policy crafted will be crucial to the achievement of this new agenda for sustainable development.

The 2030 Agenda is not legally binding. Instead, implementation is the responsibility of all stakeholders. For this reason the follow-up and review process, allowing all to track progress towards full implementation of the 2030 Agenda commitments, including those on trade, will be essential to the framework's success. Collecting the necessary information, interpreting and aggregating it at national, regional, and global levels will be a real challenge and must involve all major stakeholders. It follows that we must make optimal use of existing policy monitoring and review systems wherever possible, including those used in the trade-related institutions.

This paper draws on the experience of our two organisations in trade, sustainable development, and international governance to provide an overview of how and where the trade elements of the 2030 Agenda might best be reviewed. The paper maps where trade and trade policy are referenced, explicitly or implicitly, in the 2030 Agenda, identifying six clusters of commitments. It then suggests a range of indicators that could be used to measure progress against these commitments and shows how existing policy review mechanisms could be used to review and galvanise progress. The discussion in the text is supported by a detailed annex that provides a range of options for both measurement and review of the relevant elements. In identifying these options, the paper aims to inform discussions defining the follow-up and review mechanisms for the 2030 Agenda. It also aims to help the trade policy community identify and fulfil its important role in securing the vision of the 2030 Agenda and transforming our world. Lastly, the paper aims to provide a foundation for, and to prompt, further work in this area, including more detailed work on options for review mechanisms outside the World Trade Organization. We would particularly welcome reactions to this paper and further work by governments and experts in developing countries, whose perspectives we feel have been under-represented in the debate so far.

This paper was written by Alice Tipping, Senior Programme Officer at ICTSD, and Robert Wolfe, Professor of Policy Studies at Queen's University and Senior Associate at IISD. Alice manages ICTSD's work on the 2030 Agenda, while Robert works on follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda at IISD.

We hope that you will find this study a useful contribution to your work.

Ricardo Meléndez-Ortiz Chief Executive, ICTSD Mark Halle Executive Director, IISD-Europe

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Trade and trade policy are central to transforming our world, the objective of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Trade can make a crucial contribution to sustainable development objectives, including economic growth and poverty reduction, but requires a coherent policy framework that links helping businesses harness trading opportunities with managing the social, economic, and environmental impacts of trade. Ensuring policy learning about how trade can contribute to the 2030 Agenda requires robust follow-up and review of the new global framework.

The first aim of this paper is to map where trade-related elements are found in the 2030 Agenda. The second aim is to describe the architecture for follow-up and review that could support these commitments, and to map where it exists or could be built. The 2030 Agenda in itself will not cause anything to change, let alone ensure policy coherence, but the review process might.

The contribution of trade to the 2030 Agenda is diffuse, which means follow-up and review will be a challenge, but it need not be overly burdensome, and it will be useful. This paper presents options for how progress towards these trade-related commitments could be reviewed over the next 15 years. The process would provide information on progress based on inputs from governments, civil society, and international organisations. This information would be reviewed through self-assessment by states themselves, through peer learning by other governments at the regional level (for example in United Nations regional commissions), and at the global level in multilateral agencies and the High-Level Political Forum, the apex of the follow-up and review process. The point of these review processes is not "evaluation," but the sharing of experiences as a way to facilitate learning and policy improvement.

The paper identifies six clusters of trade-related elements in the 2030 Agenda. These elements range from improving access to trade finance to strengthening the multilateral trading system. They include commitments to the reform of perverse subsidies to agriculture, fisheries, and fossil fuels, and to ensuring that regional trade and investment agreements are coherent with sustainable development. For each cluster, the paper identifies options for indicators, where the necessary data are already collected (if they are) and where progress against these political commitments could be reviewed. The analysis does not pretend to be exhaustive, but to provide a starting point for further discussion.

The paper then presents the information from another perspective, focusing on the potential roles of the various peer review mechanisms, summarised in Box 2. These mechanisms range from multilateral reviews, like the Trade Policy Review Mechanism of the World Trade Organization and UNCTAD's voluntary policy peer reviews, to regional mechanisms that could review groups of states, like the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development or regional economic integration organisations like Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation.

The last part of the paper explains how the various reports could be brought together. Given the profusion of options for review mechanisms, an inter-agency task force on trade could provide an analytical synthesis of reporting and reviews useful for discussions at national, regional, and global levels on the interrelated effects and trade-offs between goals.

#### Box 1: Selected examples of possible indicators, sources of information, and review mechanisms<sup>1</sup>

Trade-related elements	Potential indicators and sources	Potential review mechanisms			
Cluster 1: Subsidies and c	Cluster 1: Subsidies and commodities trade				
Agricultural distortions	Agricultural subsidy levels	WTO and OECD agriculture committees			
Fossil fuel subsidies	IEA, OECD, IMF data	OECD, IEA country reviews, G20, UNFCCC, TPRM			
Fisheries subsidies	WTO notifications, OECD data	WTO SCM committee and OECD Fisheries committee			
Cluster 2: Access to wate	r, energy, medicines				
TRIPS flexibilities	Use of TRIPS flexibilities for health	WTO TRIPS Council			
Water technology	Trade in related goods and services	WTO CTE, APEC Committee on Trade and Investment			
Clean energy technology	Trade in related goods and services	OECD, IEA Environment, Energy country reviews, WTO Committee on Trade and Environment			
Cluster 3: Economic diver	sification, global value chains,	trade finance, and facilitation			
Economic diversification	LDCs' export diversification	WTO TPRM			
Regional infrastructure, trade facilitation	WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement ratifications, implementation	WTO Trade Facilitation Committee			
Access to trade finance, participation in GVCs	Draw on work by WTO, regional development banks, BIS WTO-OECD TiVA database	WTO Working Group on Trade, Debt and Finance OECD Trade Committee			
Environmental technologies for	Trade, tariffs on environmentally sound	WTO CTE, TPRM APEC Committee on Trade and			
industry	technologies	Investment			
Transparent, sustainable government procurement	Membership of WTO Government Procurement Agreement	WTO Committee on Government Procurement, TPRM			

Trade-related elements	Potential indicators and sources	Potential review mechanisms		
Cluster 4: Illegal extracti	on and trade in natural resourd	ces, trade in hazardous		
chemicals and waste				
Environmentally sound	UNEP Global Chemicals	Basel Convention Implementation		
management of wastes	Outlook	and Compliance Committee, UNEP		
Access and benefit-	Permits submitted to Access	Convention on Biological Diversity		
sharing regarding	and Benefit-Sharing Clearing-			
genetic resources	House			
Poaching, trafficking of	Proportion of traded wildlife	IUCN World Conservation		
wildlife	that was poached, trafficked	Congress, CITES,		
		WTO Committee on Trade and		
		Environment		
Cluster 5: Multilateral trading system				
Increase Aid for Trade	WTO, OECD Aid for Trade data	Global Aid for Trade Review		
Implement S&D in WTO	WTO Annual Report	WTO S&D Monitoring Mechanism		
Strengthen multilateral	WTO Bali, Nairobi packages	WTO General Council, TPRM, Trade		
trading system	implementation	Negotiations Committee		
Increase developing	Developing country, LDC	WTO CTD Sub-Committee on LDCs		
country exports	exports	UNCTAD Trade and Development		
	Average tariffs faced by LDCs	Commission		
Cluster 6: Policy coherence	Cluster 6: Policy coherence for sustainable development			
Strengthen regional	ADB regional economic	UN Regional Commissions		
trade agreements	integration indicator	WTO Committee on Regional Trade		
		Agreements		
Policy space, investment	UNCTAD World Investment	UNCTAD Trade and Development		
agreements safeguards	Reports	Commission		

#### Box 1: Continued

#### INTRODUCTION

Trade and carefully designed trade policy can make a crucial contribution to supporting and integrating the three dimensions of sustainable development - economic, social, and environmental - that make up the United Nations' 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development ("the 2030 Agenda"). Traderelated elements perfuse both pillars of the 2030 Agenda, the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development (United Nations, 2015a), and the outcome document of the third Financing for Development (FfD) conference, the Addis Ababa Action Agenda (AAAA).<sup>2</sup> Trade-related targets are included in at least 12 of the SDGs. Some are goal-specific, but others see trade as a cross-cutting "means of implementation" (MoI) relevant to the achievement of every goal. In order to realise this potential, trade and other policies must reinforce each other and not work at crosspurposes.

This approach of integrating trade across the development agenda framework makes sense: it reflects the fact that trade has to be part of a coherent policy framework for sustainable development. But trade experts see imperfections in the 2030 Agenda. The SDGs focus on expanding exports, not obtaining high quality imports. Although they recognise, if only implicitly, the importance of maintaining an open trade regime that would allow domestic firms access to low-cost inputs, they do not explicitly address the centrality of services in accessing global value chains (GVCs). The SDGs also devote insufficient explicit attention to things like trade costs that are important for participation in GVCs (Hoekman, 2015). The 2030 Agenda does recognise that with a universal, rules-based, open, nondiscriminatory, and equitable multilateral trading system, as well as meaningful trade liberalisation (in the words of the AAAA, para. 79), trade can serve as an engine of economic growth, not least by encouraging long-term private and public investment in productive capacities. Trade can contribute to reducing poverty, the objective of SDG 1, and promoting sustainable development.<sup>3</sup> According to the AAAA, with appropriate supporting policies, infrastructure, and an educated workforce, trade can promote employment, decent work, and women's empowerment, reduce inequality, and contribute to the realisation of the SDGs. Trade can therefore contribute to the 2030 Agenda's overall objective of "transforming our world," advancing both human development and environmental protection.

These aspirations may be feasible, in theory, but ensuring that trade makes this contribution to sustainable development will take a concerted effort by many ministries within national governments, along with international organisations, civil society, and the private sector. It will require a coherent policy framework both to ensure businesses can take advantage of trade opportunities and also to manage the social and economic adjustments that can result from the competitive pressures of trade and the environmental impacts of changes in economic activity. Follow-up and review of the trade-related elements of the agenda is thus essential: the SDGs in themselves, and the trade-related elements, will not cause anything to change, let alone ensure policy coherence, but the review process might.

The contribution of trade to the 2030 Agenda is pervasive but diffuse, as shown in the Annex, which means follow-up and review will be a challenge. Unlike many aspects of the 2030 Agenda, international trade is covered by numerous bilateral, regional, and multilateral agreements, which have their own review mechanisms.<sup>4</sup> Our first aim with this paper is to map where trade-related elements are found in the SDGs and AAAA, and where it can be reviewed. The analysis does not pretend to be exhaustive, but to provide a starting point for further discussion. Even a brief overview of where trade fits, in Box 1 above, shows the scope of the challenge.

## Follow-up and review of trade in the 2030 Agenda

Mechanisms for reviewing progress will be essential to the interconnected challenges of achieving the 2030 Agenda commitments. Having articulated the goals, states have to decide on whose agenda they should be placed. Follow-up mechanisms will enable the world to understand how things are working so that programme adjustments can be made. Review will also allow states to learn from the experience of others; and shed light on whether states are individually and collectively on track to meet their objectives.

Achieving the 2030 Agenda will require coherent systemic support, but most of the needed action on sustainable development is national, even local. In this sense, the new accountability paradigm is bottom-up not top-down. The great achievement of the 2015 Paris Agreement on climate change is that governments agreed to provide information on what they are doing on climate change, and to allow public scrutiny of such action as well as collective review. The Paris Agreement requires governments to notify their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) to the UNFCCC Secretariat, along with the steps being taken to implement those NDCs. These notification processes provide an incentive for governments to act to fulfil the expectations created by the notification. Success will require robust surveillance of these notifications at the international level. This type of reporting is about effort not outcomes, but a review process should also ask if objectives are being met and how to identify necessary follow-up. Follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda requires comparing what we have achieved when measured against our initial aspirations and comparing ourselves to our peers. Review should ask if we are meeting our own goals? Are we doing as well as similarly situated communities or countries? Are we trying as hard as we can? What can we learn from the achievements of others, and what can we learn from how others see us? Are we collectively doing enough to support each other in these efforts? What systemic followup is needed and from whom? These are the

questions that countries, and the international community, should ask themselves.

The purpose of review mechanisms is, thus, to allow consideration of whether national law, policy, and implementation are consistent with each state's aspirations for achieving the SDGs. But the 2030 Agenda is also a universal agenda, in at least two senses: the goals address all countries, at all levels of development, and in many cases will require collective efforts to solve trans-boundary problems (like illegal trade). Regional and global as well as national review will be needed, therefore, because what happens in one part of the world has effects in others, and because of the interrelated effects and trade-offs among the goals. Global review is also needed because countries in different regions or at the same level of development may face similar challenges and, hence, have lessons to share.

The 2030 Agenda is complex with many moving parts - 17 SDGs, dozens of targets, hundreds of indicators monitored by dozens of international organisations, and hundreds of think tanks and NGOs. The most important place to review implementation of the SDGs will be at the national level, and it will already be a challenge to facilitate engagement by a range of actors at this level. Regional reviews will allow comparison of similar countries, taking advantage of existing review mechanisms. The task of the new UN (United Nations) High-Level Political Forum (HLPF) on sustainable development, therefore, is to identify obstacles to implementation of the 2030 Agenda and find ways to deal with them. Its role involves orchestration, (Abbott and Bernstein, 2015), providing incentives and a framework for everyone to participate, coordinating and encouraging the efforts of states, UN entities, business, NGOs - all the stakeholders who should be involved in the process.

Review should identify achievements and critical success factors, support countries in making informed policy choices, and mobilise the necessary means of implementation and partnerships. Mechanisms should build on existing platforms and processes, and be rigorous and evidence-based. We have looked for places where SDG-related data could be enhanced using existing reporting mechanisms, and where existing review mechanisms could continue to function as before, but with a stronger orientation to the 2030 Agenda. The essential objective is to ensure that the new system does not place an excessive burden on states. Our second aim in this paper, therefore, is to describe the architecture for follow-up and review, and to map where its elements exist or could be built. An overview of this part of the mapping, also based on the Annex, is found in Box 2 below.

#### Outline of the argument

In the first section of this paper, we discuss principles for thinking about review mechanisms, followed by a brief discussion in the second section of architectural options for review. The third section reports on a mapping exercise designed to show where trade figures in the SDGs and in the AAAA, global indicators endorsed in March 2016<sup>5</sup> and possible supplementary indicators, and where the necessary data are already collected, if it is. The fourth section describes where trade-related follow-up and review mechanisms already exist, assessing where trade-related reporting can be discussed as a way to learn from the experiences of others, and benefit from the suggestions of peers. While they overlap, the focus of section 3 is on the clusters of goals; the focus of section 4 is on the organisations. Sections 3 and 4 draw on the same detailed mapping in the Annex, but use it in distinct ways. The profusion of options for review mechanisms suggests that some kind of analytical synthesis of reporting at a global level would be helpful. Without such an analytical synthesis, the vital contribution of trade to sustainable development may be obscured. The conclusion, therefore, suggests that an inter-agency task force could be responsible for aggregation of all the trade-related reports for the purposes of discussion of the interrelated effects and trade-offs between goals.

#### 1. PRINCIPLES FOR THINKING ABOUT THE REVIEW MECHANISM

The ultimate objective of follow-up and review, according to UN members, is "accountability to our citizens." (United Nations, 2015a, para 74). The purpose is not a state giving an account of itself to foreigners, but a national process of learning; the government, business, civil society of a nation asking themselves whether as a country they are achieving their own objectives under the 2030 Agenda.

What principles and design considerations need to be taken into account in establishing mechanisms? This paper relies on an analytic framework developed by the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) (Halle and Wolfe, 2015; see also Halle, Najam and Wolfe, 2014; IISD, 2014). It is based on questions to be asked in any analysis of a review mechanism (Mashaw, 2005). Asking these questions helps us to be sure that we have systematically considered all the salient factors. The questions are:

- 1 Who is to be reviewed;
- 2 By whom;
- 3 About what are they being reviewed;
- 4 Through what processes is the review to be conducted;
- 5 By what standards are the relevant policies and practices to be assessed;
- 6 What the potential effects of the review are, or why review; and,
- 7 Is the review feasible and practical?

We take these questions in order.

#### 1.1 Who Is to be Reviewed?

Transforming our World, and the resolution creating the HLPF (United Nations, 2013), consistent with the universal nature of the SDGs, specifies that developed countries, developing countries, and relevant United Nations entities would be reviewed. In principle, all bodies with a trade-related mandate could be reviewed, from the World Trade Organization (WTO) to multilateral environmental agreements, like the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES).<sup>6</sup> In practice the Secretary-General simply calls on other bodies to review their own working methods to ensure that they are supportive of the 2030 Agenda, although quadrennial comprehensive policy reviews (QCPR) of operational activities for development of the United Nations system may well review the performance of UN entities.

#### 1.2 By Whom?

In this paper we look only at self-assessment by governments and international organisations, review by governments of the actions of other governments, and the role of international organisations in support of reviews. The HLPF will be the apex of a global network of review processes. It is an intergovernmental body, but civil society has access to all documents, has the right to submit documents, and can speak in plenary. Review at other levels is meant to be similarly open and participatory, although it can only happen in accordance with the usual practices of a country or international organisation.<sup>7</sup>

#### 1.3 About What?

The objective is to assess overall progress towards sustainable development, and to know what more needs doing. For the purposes of this paper we have limited ourselves to mapping the trade-related objectives articulated in the SDGs and AAAA, as discussed in the next section. Universality does not preclude both a different substantive emphasis in reviews by country or region, and alignment of reviews with a country's other international obligations. Countries will differ in which goals matter and where they put the emphasis in each cycle. In addition to national reports and reviews of entities, we see a need for review of progress towards aggregated goals expressed at the global level, and of the interrelated effects and trade-offs among goals.

#### 1.4 Through What Process?

The process for review includes three transparency mechanisms: generating information, then communicating and reviewing it. The effectiveness of each one depends on the others.

The first *transparency mechanism* is voluntary reporting of new or changed national measures, and objective indicators of achievement. Information will be more useful if it is generated using a standard set of questions, or template, and if it is made publicly available in a searchable online database. Standardised data are essential for analysts, of course, but countries cannot see how they are doing if they cannot compare themselves to similar countries, and civil society cannot participate without the data.

The second transparency mechanism groups together a set of practices on how governments and international organisations report on their work. Rather than producing information, then, this type of transparency is more about communicating information and listening to the views of stakeholders, including public dissemination of draft reports, opportunity in good time to submit comments, and deliberative These opportunities. two transparency mechanisms correspond to the material in column 3 of the Annex, and are the primary focus of section 3 below.

Information is useless if nothing is done with it. The discussion of reports can be the most valuable part of the process; hence, an essential aspect of follow-up and review will be how states talk about their individual and collective implementation of the goals, including in some cases through some form of peer learning. This third *transparency mechanism* corresponds to the material in column 4 of the Annex, and is the focus of section 4.<sup>8</sup> Such a review could be based solely on the data provided by the state being reviewed and perhaps supplemented with data provided by a third party (such as an international organisation or a non-governmental organisation - NGO). Alternatively, it could be based on a synthesis report drafted by an international organisation's secretariat or a third party, which would allow broader comparative analysis. Some of the most effective review bodies involve national officials from a given domain, such as the WTO Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, where searching questions are often asked about a Member's food safety practices by experts from food inspection agencies. Effective review mechanisms are expensive, time-consuming, and require specific expertise, and they also take time to establish. Making use of existing mechanisms as far as possible will be essential. We, therefore, suggest options for mechanisms for review of different trade-related elements, depending on where expertise on a particular issue appears to reside in the international system.

#### 1.5 Criteria / Standard of Assessment?

The 2030 Agenda sets out aspirational objectives, not legally "binding" obligations, hence choosing criteria for a review is delicate. It is one thing to ask if a government's actions are consistent with its commitments under the SDGs both at home and internationally, but it is something else to be able to make causal connections between an action and a desired outcome. For example, AAAA paragraph 82 says, "We will endeavour to significantly increase world trade in a manner consistent with the sustainable development goals, including exports from developing countries, in particular from least developed countries with a view towards doubling their share of global exports by 2020 as stated in the Istanbul Programme of Action." Experts will try to quantify the underlined promises, but the ones in **bold** are about the efforts of governments. Reviewing both efforts and outcomes will be important, though establishing causal connections from one to the other will be difficult, given the attribution problem of knowing if progress towards a goal would have happened anyway.

#### 1.6 With What Effects on Agents?

Why review? As we said above, goals will not change the world but review might. The point of review is not "evaluation" or sanction, but assessment of progress as a way to facilitate learning. The desired effect on actors is to encourage movement towards sustainable development and to ensure follow-up on the SDGs and AAAA, including the means of implementation. Review mechanisms work best where actors have a clear sense of how the results will affect them, and a sense of how to use the results. The assumption is that information can change behaviour. When actors receive new information about themselves, become aware of alternatives, or perceive the social acceptability of particular norms, they may adopt new behaviours. The effects on states of review mechanisms sometimes include both social pressure and learning about appropriate action, both of which can lead to a change in policy, but learning is a bigger incentive than criticism. States change policy not because they fear the consequences of failure to comply (although they might wish to avoid embarrassment for reasons of national pride), but because they have learned about successful action.

#### 1.7 Feasibility and Practicality

The review process for trade, let alone all the elements of the 2030 Agenda, will be complicated and data-dependent. The process will make big demands on the resources of both the governments and the international entities involved. The reporting burden on everyone concerned will be substantial. Countries will differ in the intensity of their participation in the review process given disparities in resources and capacity. If the burden is too high, governments will be unable to do it or will use the burden as an excuse not to report. If the governments and international organisations involved cannot satisfy the follow-up and review requirements, this could undermine the efficacy of the whole 2030 Agenda, which is why we focus on how to use existing review processes as far as possible.

#### 2. DESIGN OPTIONS FOR A THREE-LEVEL REVIEW PROCESS

The purpose of follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda is to promote accountability to citizens, support effective international cooperation in achieving the Agenda, and foster exchanges of best practices and mutual learning (United Nations, 2015a, paras 72 and 73). The architecture for follow-up and review will be based on the principles in paragraphs 72-89 of Transforming our World, with more details to be provided in a General Assembly resolution now being negotiated (United Nations, 2016b, hereafter zero draft) on the basis of recommendations released by the Secretary-General at the beginning of the year (United Nations, 2016c, hereafter, the Secretary-General; and see Halle and Wolfe, 2016). In this section, we sketch the main elements of the architecture based on the principles outlined in the first section of this paper. These elements will be developed in sections 3 and 4.

The 2030 Agenda will be achieved in our communities, not in New York; hence, national review is the foundation of follow-up and review. The aim should be to have a global exchange on national experience. National review has three dimensions: at the national level, in a region, and in New York.

The first dimension is the Voluntary National Review (VNR) as part of the HLPF, which ought to take place at least twice in the next 15 years (zero draft para 8) on the basis of a report by the country concerned. In preparing its report, a country has to be able to ask the questions relevant to its situation, and yet reports have to allow comparability so that others can learn. An annex to the Secretary-General's report suggests sensible guidelines for the voluntary reviews. More could be done, however, to link the work of the whole UN system to national implementation through the process of national follow-up and review. National review could be based on a publicly available government progress report complemented by contributions from civil society, academia, local government, the UN system, the private sector, and other actors.<sup>9</sup> Consistent with the universal agenda, review could include what the country has been doing for itself and its contribution to the achievement of the SDGs and AAAA by other states.

As stressed above, the demands of the review process must not overburden states, especially least developed countries (LDCs); the national review should be kept simple enough not to be an excessive burden because it is the foundation of the bottom-up and universal 2030 Agenda. If national review crumbles, the whole review edifice might fail. Developing countries conducting a review will need help to synthesise and make sense of the vast amount of information. Periodic national reporting and review in many international organisations, such as the WTO, could be synchronised with the national review process both to reduce the burden on countries and to take advantage of work being done anyway.

Constant review prevents anybody from getting anything done, but reviews only every seven or eight years as implied by the zero draft might be too few, with the risk that the long time lapse between reviews might adversely affect progress towards the SDGs. That means that countries should always be reflecting on what they have achieved. This **second dimension of national review** implies that countries should be conducting their own reviews, with one of them timed to be the basis of its VNR, which implies doing one perhaps every four years, with full support from the UN system as needed.

Finally *Transforming our World* recognises (A/RES/70/1, para. 80) that peer learning at the regional level, the **third dimension of national review**, could be useful for creating a conversation among countries in similar circumstances, allowing for learning about successes and difficulties. We think the trade-related goals are especially suitable for consideration at the regional level, given the nature of many value chains, and the importance

of regional agreements for trade. Such reviews might be helpful in aggregating and analysing national reviews, using existing strengths and the established review mechanisms in regional bodies, including regional economic integration organisations. Some of these organisations, like the OECD, have special capacity to review their members' contributions to achieving the SDGs (OECD, 2016). Regional economic organisations, like APEC, Caricom or SADC, could also work with multilateral trade organisations to strengthen and align measurement of regional trade. The regional forums on sustainable development also play an important role and could help bring together existing review mechanisms.

Global assessment of progress towards the 2030 Agenda objectives in the HLPF will draw lessons from the national and regional reviews, and from a vast stream of other reporting, allowing for a review of how the system is working. The HLPF mandate provides for a thematic focus of each year's meeting, reflecting the integration of the three dimensions of sustainable development, hence the integrated nature of the SDGs, and the interlinkages between them (United Nations, 2015a, para 85). The zero draft recommends (para 4) the following themes for the first cycle, with related sets of goals to be reviewed in each year: 2017 - Ensuring food security on a safe planet by 2030; 2018 - Making cities sustainable and building productive capacities; and 2019 - Empowering people and ensuring inclusiveness. Consideration of outcomes of the implementation of the AAAA, which could also involve trade, will be a separate part of the HLPF agenda each year.

The annual theme and set of goals to be reviewed could be the means to draw crosscutting connections through the dizzying array of bodies and reports mentioned in the Secretary-General report. (The Annex to this paper shows just how many bodies and reports could be involved for trade alone.) Participants in the HLPF could not cope with dozens of reports landing on their desks with a thud each year, hence some form of analytic aggregation is going to be necessary as part of a holistic assessment of the 2030 Agenda. Trade as such may never be an annual theme for the HLPF, and yet it should be assessed globally in terms of its overall contribution, and the contribution of trade-related international organisations to achievement of the 2030 Agenda. In the conclusion, we discuss how a separate task force on the trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda could help to prepare such inputs for the HLPF, including the contribution of trade to the means of implementation.

9

#### 3. MAPPING THE TRADE-RELATED ELEMENTS OF THE 2030 AGENDA

This section maps trade-related elements across the SDGs and the AAAA, identifying initial and potential supplementary indicators of progress on each topic, where these data are already collected (if they are), and therefore in which forum progress against the various commitments could most easily be reviewed.<sup>10</sup> Our analysis includes the global indicators endorsed by the UN Statistical Commission, where useful. These indicators will undergo further technical refinement in the months to come, but are expected to form the basis of global-level review efforts. We also identify possible additional supplementary indicators that governments and other actors could use to complement the global indicators in monitoring progress at national, regional, and global levels. A key challenge in the use of any of the indicators we suggest below will be establishing a base-line against which progress can be measured with a degree of consistency across different indicators and, importantly, between different monitoring bodies. Exploring how this could be done is beyond the scope of this paper, but could be a useful avenue for further work. Section 4 then focuses on the regional and multilateral institutions, summarising the kinds of contributions that could be made to the trade-related aspects of the 2030 Agenda by the various review mechanisms. For a brief summary of selected indicators and review mechanisms, see Box 1 above. The Annex sets out in more detail possible indicators and potential multilateral review mechanisms for the various trade-related elements across the 2030 Agenda.

Trade-related elements are integrated across the SDGs and the AAAA. In the SDGs, almost all of the trade-related targets are listed as "means of implementation:" targets whose achievement would support the realisation of other sustainable development targets. Several trade-related targets are listed as MoI for specific SDGs. For example, correcting and preventing restrictions and distortions in global agricultural markets is listed as a MoI target for Goal 2 on ending hunger and achieving food security. Other trade-related

targets (for example, around market access for least developed countries) are listed under Goal 17 on a global partnership for sustainable development, as a MoI that would support the achievement of the whole set of proposed SDGs. The AAAA includes all of the trade-related MOI targets in the SDGs, but also includes several other trade issues, such as trade finance, regional trade agreements (RTAs), and investment agreements that are useful complements to the SDG targets. This section will look at clusters of the more topic-specific elements, and then at the more systemic trade-related elements across the SDGs and AAAA.<sup>11</sup>

The *first cluster* of topic-specific trade elements relates to the reform of subsidies to agriculture (as part of a broad target on the removal of trade distortions in agricultural markets), fisheries, and fossil fuels, along with improved functioning of food commodity markets.12 Indicators for the reform of agricultural subsidies granted by the advanced economies could include the producer support estimates of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Indicators that would cover OECD members as well as a wider group of countries could be based on WTO notifications. Options for reviewing progress could include the OECD Committee for Agriculture and the WTO Committee on Agriculture including its Sub-Committee on Cotton. Food commodity market functioning could be measured using an indicator of food price anomalies, perhaps supplemented by information drawn from the UN Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) system of free market commodity prices, or the inter-agency Agricultural Market Information System. The UNCTAD Trade and Development Commission or Global Commodities Forum could be useful places to review the stability of food commodity prices, as could the FAO Committee on Commodity Problems.

The SDG target on fisheries subsidies is not easy to interpret, but appears to involve: establishing a prohibition on subsidies that contribute to overcapacity and overfishing; eliminating

subsidies to illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing; establishing a "standstill" on both of these kinds of subsidies; and recognition of the importance of S&D in the relevant WTO negotiations. The target therefore has two key elements to be measured: progress towards a subsidies prohibition, and progress towards eliminating (and not increasing) the harmful subsidies listed. The official global indicator for this target, which focuses on regulation to address IUU fishing, could be supplemented with measurement of progress towards the establishment of a prohibition on harmful subsidies. This indicator could most logically be reviewed in the WTO, where multilateral negotiations on the topic are underway. With respect to the second element, finding an indicator to measure fisheries subsidies that support overcapacity and overfishing or IUU fishing, and their standstill or elimination, has been very difficult. Data on these subsidies are scarce, and there is no international agreement on which transfers contribute to overcapacity and overfishing. Among the best data available are the OECD's annual reports on government financial transfers to fisheries industries, which cover most OECD Member countries and five additional countries. WTO notifications under the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures also provide some, albeit patchy, information. Academic work has provided a working definition of harmful subsidies and estimates (which could be complemented by data from national reports) for most major fishing nations (Sumaila et al., 2013), but this approach is still somewhat controversial. Options for reviewing progress in the removal of harmful fisheries subsidies (once defined) could include, for OECD Members, the OECD's Fisheries Committee and, for others, the WTO's Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. The Committee on Fisheries of the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) is another possibility, but does not currently seem to be active in this area. Both agricultural and fisheries subsidies targets could also be reviewed in the WTO's Trade Policy Review Mechanism (TPRM). The Environment Committee to be established under the Trans-Pacific Partnership

agreement (TPP), if it is ratified, could provide

an opportunity to discuss progress against the fisheries subsidies obligations established in the TPP.

also Definitional problems bedevil the measurement of fossil fuel subsidy reform the third kind of perverse subsidies in the 2030 Agenda. The relevant SDG target (12.c) uses similar language to the original Group of 20 (G20) Leaders' rather ambiguous commitment to phase out "inefficient" fossil-fuel subsidies. Existing measurements of fossil fuel subsidies differ in their definitions and in their calculation method. Some overlap; some parts are significantly different. While the WTO definition of subsidies in general is aimed at improving the conditions of multilateral trade through disciplines on government policy, international organisations charged with action on fossil fuel subsidies (e.g., the OECD, International Energy Agency (IEA), or especially in the case of the International Monetary Fund (IMF)) have more latitude to use specific definitions of fossil fuel subsidies designed for analytic purposes to support a process of domestic reform (Casier et al., 2014). Options for reviewing progress in reform of fossil fuel subsidies, however defined, could include, at a regional level, OECD Environmental Performance Reviews, IEA Country Reviews, and the G20 Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform Peer Review Process. At the multilateral level, this review could potentially be done through voluntary reporting under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (Benninghof, 2013). The WTO TPRM is well-equipped to draw on data from all these bodies, along with data from civil society.<sup>13</sup>

The *second cluster* of trade-related elements in the 2030 Agenda relates to international cooperation around technology for water and sanitation, clean energy, and access to medicines.<sup>14</sup> Trade in goods and services is one potential avenue for the diffusion of environmental technologies. One possible measure of international cooperation around water and clean energy technology is therefore the level of trade in goods and services associated with water management and renewable energy supply and the tariff and nontariff barriers associated with trade in relevant goods. Other possible measures include the number of trade remedy measures taken against clean energy technologies, on the grounds that these make the technologies more expensive (see Kasteng, 2014), and the local content requirements related to clean energy projects, which can also increase costs in the short term (see Kuntze and Moerenhout, 2013). Among the approaches to scoping environmental goods are the list developed by the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) economies (APEC, 2012), the products considered in the negotiations under paragraph 31 (iii) of the WTO's Doha Round, the products in the plurilateral Environmental Goods Agreement negotiations, (Santana, 2015; Vossenaar, 2014) and a list developed by the OECD (OECD 2001). Even if measurement against a single global definition of environmental goods is not feasible, the forums where work is taking place are logical options for reviewing progress, including the WTO CTE, and at a regional level, the APEC Committee on Trade and Investment, the OECD Trade Committee or Joint Working Party on Trade and Environment, and OECD or IEA Country Reviews.

The significance of trade in supporting access to medicines presents a distinct set of policy challenges, linked in the SDGs and AAAA to the use of flexibilities provided under the WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS). While the WTO is probably the most logical mechanism for review of anything related to TRIPS, and governments' acceptance of amendments to it, the World Health Organization (WHO) also has a role in reviewing the intersection between trade and access to medicines and medical technologies (WHO, WIPO, and WTO, 2012). The potential contribution of trade to global health goes further, however, as most countries rely at least to some extent on imports of medical goods and services in providing health care. Measuring the contribution of trade to access to medicines could therefore go beyond intellectual property rules to encompass the impact of tariff and non-tariff barriers to imports of medicines, and access to medical services (Roberts, 2014).

A third cluster of trade-related elements in the 2030 Agenda includes related targets and commitments around economic diversification, links to global value chains (particularly for SMEs), sustainable industrialisation, trade finance, and trade facilitation.<sup>15</sup> Economic diversification could be reviewed by examining a country's export diversification, and the diversification of its domestic market. UNCTAD's Voluntary Competition Policy Peer Reviews could provide a review mechanism for domestic competition policy, which supports domestic economic diversification. Assessing the extent of developing country participation in GVCs is a particular challenge (Estevadeordal, Blyde and Suominen, 2014). The OECD and the WTO have developed substantial databases measuring Trade in Value Added (TiVA) and participation in GVCs; they also measure trade in services, which is an essential element of participation in global value chains. Export diversification could also be reviewed within the TPR country reports.

The AAAA underlines the importance, not only of global value chains, but also of regional economic integration, transport infrastructure, and trade facilitation. Measuring the degree to which trade is facilitated could rely on Trade Facilitation indicators developed by the OECD, and ratification and implementation of the WTO's Agreement on Trade Facilitation (TFA). Reviews of ratification and implementation of the TFA could take place in the WTO's Trade Facilitation Committee. The Trade Facilitation Committee will also review the support provided to WTO members for the implementation of different commitments.<sup>16</sup> Reviewing progress against the commitment to increased multilateral development bank investment in regional trade and transport infrastructure, would most logically take place through the institutions' own review mechanisms. The AAAA also underlines the importance of trade finance in helping countries to reap the benefits of trade. Trade finance is currently measured largely by regional development banks (the Asian and African Development Banks, in particular) and the Bank for International Settlements. The WTO's Working Group on Trade, Debt and Finance and the Expert Group on Trade Financing could play important roles in reviewing efforts to improve access to trade finance, and its impact on trade by developing countries.

One issue is missing from the trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda that belongs with this cluster. Hoekman (2015) argues that a reduction in trade costs is the most important goal for developing countries. An explicit target along the lines he suggests (for example, reduce trade costs for firms operating in low-income countries by X per cent) is not part of the 2030 Agenda, although many of the goals and targets are related to this objective. Measuring trade costs could, therefore, be a useful complement to the existing targets as part of a comprehensive approach to reviewing the trade elements of the agenda. An "outcome" indicator on trade costs, the data for which would need to be developed or adapted from existing sources, could be reviewed as part of the WTO TPRM.

A fourth cluster of trade-related elements in the SDGs and AAAA relates to the illegal extraction of and trade in natural resources and trade in hazardous chemicals and waste.17 This cluster is particularly interesting for two reasons: first, because data around illegal extraction and trade in natural resources often rely on estimates, and second because in many cases the data that are available and the review frameworks that exist sit outside the traditional trade system. UNEP plays a central role in addressing many of these issues and its Environment Assembly could contribute to monitoring or reviewing progress against a range of objectives. The Basel Convention monitors compliance with its rules on the transboundary movement and disposal of hazardous wastes through its Implementation and Compliance Committee, which reports to the Convention's Conference of the Parties. Basel could, therefore, be a useful followup and review mechanism for illegal trade in hazardous materials, although its membership is far from being universal. Similarly, the Meetings of the Parties of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer could be a useful follow-up and review mechanism. The Convention on International

Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) has much wider membership (181 Parties) but a narrow scope of application, focused on specific lists of endangered species. CITES' Review of Significant Trade relies heavily on data provided by such NGOs as TRAFFIC (Trade Records Analysis of Flora and Fauna in Commerce). CITES also has a voluntary policy review initiative that is in some respects remarkably similar to the WTO TPRM. Under Conference of Parties decisions 14.21 to 14.24 "exporting and importing countries are invited to carry out voluntary National Wildlife Policy Reviews in order to facilitate a better understanding of the effects of wildlife trade policies on the international wildlife trade." The WTO CTE has been discussing the trade aspects of illegal logging and illegal fishing in recent years, drawing on the detailed experiences of developed and developing countries. Several regional trade agreements (e.g., the TPP) include commitments to address illegal trade in natural resources, although the strength of their institutions will probably define how useful they will be as forums for effective review.

Turning to the more systemic trade issues, the *fifth cluster* brings together references to the multilateral trading system under the WTO and references to increasing developing country exports, including through improved market access for least developed countries, and references to capacity-building, including Aid for Trade.<sup>18</sup> While, for obvious reasons, the outcome of the 10th WTO Ministerial Conference of December 2015 (the Nairobi Package) is not referenced in the 2030 Agenda, its implementation is an important part of the overall strengthening of the multilateral system. One of the most significant outcomes at Nairobi, agreement to eliminate agricultural export subsidies, is a crucial part of the implementation of SDG target 2.b. Many of the targets in this cluster already have relevant indicators and datasets in place that could be used to measure progress.

The increasing membership of the WTO provides a sense of how universal the multilateral system is, and information about the length of time accessions take should be relatively easy to

gather within the organisation. Progress on the stalled WTO Doha Round and implementation of the 2013 Bali Package and 2015 Nairobi Package, including the Agreement on Trade Facilitation and LDC-specific issues (e.g., the degree of duty-free quota-free (DFQF) market access provided to LDCs, implementation of simple and transparent rules of origin (ROO) and of the LDC services waiver decision) and the removal of export subsidies in agriculture, would probably most simply be measured within the WTO.<sup>19</sup> Many of the LDC-related targets in the 2030 Agenda, including those on trade, are drawn from the Istanbul Programme of Action (IPOA), so reviews of progress against that framework could usefully support 2030 Agenda review and follow-up efforts.<sup>20</sup> Also relevant for broad traderelated capacity-building in developing countries are the Enhanced Integrated Framework (EIF) and the WTO's Trade Facilitation Agreement and Standards and Trade Development Facilities. The International Trade Centre (ITC) also plays an important role in measuring and supporting developing country producers' international trade.

Developing countries' participation in world trade could be measured using existing data from the ITC, UNCTAD, and the WTO on developing country and LDC exports and imports (by partner group and key sectors), including services, average tariffs faced by developing countries and LDCs in key sectors, and the degree to which developing and least developed countries use tariff preferences extended by import markets. Progress against all of these indicators could be reviewed in the WTO's Committee on Trade and Development and its Sub-Committee on LDCs. Levels of global protectionism and the openness of the trading system could be measured using average bound and applied tariff rates. Changes in levels of protectionism could be reviewed in several places, including the WTO's Trade Policy Review Mechanism (in Member reviews and its overall review report) and in peer reviews between members of the G20 group (building on reports by international agencies).

Through the over-arching Aid for Trade initiative, the WTO seeks to mobilise support for developing and least developed countries so that they can overcome supply-side and trade-related infrastructure constraints and benefit from enhanced market access opportunities.<sup>21</sup> The OECD maintains a database of information on Aid for Trade transfers per donor and per recipient. OECD and WTO should continue to produce biannual reports on these transfers.

The sixth cluster includes references to policy coherence at various levels, including between regional and multilateral trade rules and in domestic policy.<sup>22</sup> Regional trade and investment agreements are mentioned explicitly only in the AAAA, which includes language on strengthening regional cooperation and trade agreements, and ensuring that trade and investment agreements do not constrain domestic sustainable development policies.<sup>23</sup> This area in the context of the traderelated elements is one where UN regional commissions and regional economic integration organisations could play a particularly important follow-up and review role. Measuring regional integration could be done using indicators developed by the Asian Development Bank (ADB) (Capannelli, Lee and Petri, 2009); the "depth" of regional trade agreements and their consistency with the multilateral trading system could be reviewed by the OECD with respect to the possible exclusionary effects on third countries of regional agreements among its Members, or through review in the WTO Committee on Regional Trade Agreements (CRTA). The consistency of investment agreements with sustainable development objectives and the multilateral trading system could also be reviewed as part of UNCTAD's Investment Policy Reviews. Measuring whether a domestic policy environment "enables" trade is not simple, but one potential indicator could be drawn from the World Bank's Country Policy and Institutional Assessments (CPIA), which assess a country's overall domestic policy environment. This indicator is currently used primarily in assessing very poor countries, but could perhaps be extended.

#### 4. MAPPING TRADE-RELATED REVIEW MECHANISMS

In the previous section we mapped the key trade-related aspects of the SDGs and AAAA, suggesting where to find data for each one and where that data might be reviewed. We now reverse the lens, mapping the most significant trade-related review mechanisms and discussing how their current - or potentially augmented - review functions could contribute to the follow-up and review of the 2030 Agenda. Generating the data for the indicators designed to provide an overall snapshot of the SDGs is not the same as ensuring that some body of states meeting under the auspices of an international organisation reviews whatever information is available to them. Indicators serve the process, but they do not explain themselves. What matters is how states learn, and that happens through discussion at all levels, both of specific elements of the agenda and of how they all fit together as a coherent whole. Box 2 is a list of the obvious places where review of different trade-related elements across the 2030 Agenda can take place. We discuss each one in turn.

#### 4.1 World Trade Organization

The World Trade Organization is central to the daily life of the trading system, and it is central to reviewing the contribution of trade to the 2030 Agenda. The AAAA invites the WTO General Council to "consider how WTO can contribute to sustainable development." At their last ministerial in Nairobi, ministers said (WTO, 2015a) that "We recognize the role the WTO can play in contributing towards achievement of the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, in so far as they relate to the WTO mandate, and bearing in mind the authority of the WTO Ministerial Conference." The WTO's website includes a short summary of some of the explicit and implicit connections between trade and the WTO and the SDGs,

including connections between trade and growth and prosperity, ending hunger, dissemination of clean energy technologies, and sustainable tourism. So far, however, there has not been much public discussion about what the role of the WTO should be in supporting the implementation of the 2030 Agenda, and in reviewing progress towards the Agenda's objectives.<sup>24</sup> In this section we describe some ways in which the WTO could play the role that ministers recognised.

Formal rounds of negotiations and resort to the dispute settlement system are two traditional ways of thinking about the role of the WTO, but the third dimension of ongoing WTO work, which can be broadly grouped as transparency and accountability mechanisms, is the most important for followup and review (Wolfe, 2013). One way that WTO Members could enhance the work the Secretariat does for them is by asking it to facilitate an integrated discussion of the ways that those mechanisms could contribute to achieving the trade-related objectives of the 2030 Agenda. Such a role is consistent with the preamble of the WTO Agreement, which places all its objectives in the context of "allowing for the optimal use of the world's resources in accordance with the objective of sustainable development, seeking both to protect and enhance the environment." While this work arguably falls within the mandate of the bodies discussed below, it might make sense for the chair of the General Council to write to the chairs of all WTO bodies asking how they intend to internalise the SDGs in their work. Playing its part in advancing those elements of the 2030 Agenda relevant to its mandate represents an important opportunity for the WTO as an institution to become more involved in broader cooperation around development, which could support efforts towards policy coherence.

#### Box 2. Principal options for review of trade-related aspects of the 2030 Agenda

#### 1 WTO

- a TPRB annual monitoring report
- b Periodic TPR report on each Member
- c WTO Annual Report (state of negotiations)
- d World Trade Report
- e Global Reviews of Aid for Trade
- f Monitoring Mechanism on special and differential treatment
- g Committee on Trade and the Environment
- h Biennial Ministerial Conference
- 2 UNCTAD
  - a Trade and Development Commission
  - b Investment Policy Reviews
  - c Voluntary Competition Policy Review
- 3 World Bank
  - a Knowledge platforms
- 4 Regional organisations
  - a APEC, ASEAN, SADC
  - b UN Regional Commissions (e.g., UNECE, ECLAC)
  - c OECD (e.g., review of its Members in committees for Agriculture, Trade, and Fisheries; and in Environmental Policy Reviews)

Many WTO bodies could contribute to followup and review. The WTO CRTA using its Transparency Mechanism could consider a horizontal review of sustainable development chapters in regional trade agreements. Such questions seem especially relevant in the case of the so-called mega-regionals, from which most developing countries are excluded. It could also consider whether trade and investment treaties constrain domestic policies for sustainable development. Ministers made an interesting advance in this direction at the 2015 Nairobi ministerial, instructing the CRTA "to discuss the systemic implications of RTAs for the multilateral trading system and their relationship with WTO rules." (WTO, 2015a, para 28). The committee was previously reluctant to discuss cross-cutting issues (horizontal) as opposed to particular RTAs (vertical). The CRTA Secretariat (part of the Trade Policy Review division) prepares working papers which could inform the TPR reports.

The WTO Committee on Trade and Development (CTD) monitors several trade elements related

to the 2030 Agenda. The S&D Monitoring Mechanism, which operates in dedicated sessions of the CTD, was created in 2013 to act as a focal point within the WTO to analyse and review the implementation of all special and differential treatment provisions with a view to facilitating integration of developing and least developed Members into the multilateral trading system (WT/L/920). The CTD also reviews implementation of the DFQF commitment undertaken as part of the Bali Package, and, through the Preferential Trade Arrangements Transparency Mechanism, collects information about non-reciprocal preference agreements and preference utilisation. The CTD Sub-Committee on LDCs conducts an annual review of market access provided to LDCs on the basis of a Secretariat report (WTO, 2014a). It also discusses technical assistance provided by the WTO to LDCs and capacity-building such as the EIF, and it monitors LDC accessions.

The most comprehensive body for traderelated peer review is the WTO Trade Policy Review Body (TPRB) since its analytic reports

on individual countries and on the trading system can draw on information from all other bodies, including non-state actors, with regular opportunities for discussion by all Members of the WTO. The main work of the TPRB is the discussion of the periodic Trade Policy Review reports on every Member. The four largest traders are reviewed every two years, the next sixteen every four years, and the rest every six years. The schedule could be aligned, if not perfectly, with the schedule of national reviews of the 2030 Agenda, ensuring that review of the trade-related aspects does not add to the reporting burden on governments, and allowing the national report to benefit from the results of peer review in the WTO.

The TPR process has two reports. The first is written by the government of the country concerned. The recent report by the Government of India (WT/TPR/G/313) covers such SDG-related topics as "Agriculture and food security," "Infrastructure development," "Ease of doing business," "Reforms in foreign direct investment policy," "Rationalising subsidies," "Trade facilitation measures," and the country's preferential trade agreements. The second report is prepared by the Secretariat using a standard template on the basis of interviews and exchanges with government officials, regular WTO notifications, reports by other international organisations and NGOs, and other sources of publicly available information. In the case of the recent Secretariat report on India (WT/TPR/S/313), it begins with sections on the "Economic environment" and on the "Trade and investment regime." This section covers such things as engagement in the multilateral trading system, regional and preferential agreements, and foreign investment. Here the Secretariat could also ask the Member under review if it has integrated trade policy into the national sustainable development plan.

The section of the TPR on "Trade policies and practices by measure" covers not only tariffs, but several other aspects of trade policy that could be relevant to the 2030 Agenda, such as import and export prohibitions, restrictions, and licensing, including those necessary to

implement CITES restrictions on wildlife trade. This section also covers tax incentives, explicit subsidies, competition policy, and price controls, including measures to ensure access to essential medicines, government procurement, and intellectual property rights. This section of the country TPR could take up Hoekman's suggestion to provide aggregate information from a variety of sources on any reduction in trade costs (Hoekman, 2015). The TPRB then conducts a review of the Member's trade policy based on the government and the Secretariat reports. Members ask questions and receive oral and written answers, which are later published along with the minutes of the meeting. The Chair of the TPRB issues concluding remarks.

The TPRB also provides the platform for discussions among WTO Members of the two monitoring reports produced by the WTO Secretariat each year (see, for example WTO, 2015b). These reports provide an overview of trends in the multilateral trading system over six and twelve month periods, in particular developments in the implementation of trade policies of Members. The reports also review the work of the WTO itself in such areas as compliance with transparency and notification provisions as well as trade concerns raised in meetings of WTO bodies. Section 2 is on "Recent economic and trade trends." This part of the report could, for example, discuss such SDGrelated issues as efforts to promote a universal, rules-based, open, non-discriminatory, and equitable multilateral trading system, and coherence between bilateral and regional trade and investment agreements and the multilateral system. This section could also draw on the outcome indicators developed by the Interagency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators (IAEG-SDGs) (see the Annex below, especially under Goal 17). While not explicitly mandated by the 2030 Agenda, an indicator on reduction of trade costs could be an area of work WTO Members could ask the Secretariat to explore.

Section 3 of the monitoring report covers "Trade and trade-related policy developments." Some SDG-related topics are covered already in this section, for example, government support measures (subsidies), regional trade agreements, and government procurement. Others could be added using WTO databases in the Integrated Trade Intelligence Portal (I-TIP), reports from other international organisations, and data from NGOs, for example on fossil fuel subsidies (Casier et al., 2014). Other sections of the report cover trade financing, and Aid for Trade. This section could also consider the work of other review bodies mentioned in the Annex, below.

The section of the report on "Transparency of trade policies" already draws on the regular work of WTO committees (e.g., Regional Agreements, Agriculture, Market Access, TRIPS, Trade Facilitation, and Trade and Development), which could be expanded to include separate subsections on the aspects of a committee's work relevant to the 2030 Agenda. For example, several SDG targets concern food and agriculture, including food price volatility. Review requires the kind of information available in the regular work of the Committee on Agriculture, and in its database, the Agriculture Information Management System (Ag-IMS). The annual monitoring report also summarises the year's TPR reports. This section could explicitly pull out the 2030 Agenda-related aspects of all the country reporting - for example on import and export restrictions on wildlife trade. While the World Bank can track indices of improvements in things like transit times, the WTO Trade Facilitation Committee can avoid the attribution problem by tracking what all countries are doing to implement the Trade Facilitation Agreement, and what donors are doing to help.

Other follow-up and review options exist within the WTO aside from the TPRM. Individual WTO bodies could commission review papers for discussion. For example, CTE could play a key role in the review of trade-related SDGs and the AAAA, given its broad mandate and the fact that it is not linked to any WTO Agreement. In recent years, it has discussed the trade-related aspects of illegal logging, fossil fuel subsidies, energy efficiency, carbon footprinting, and environmental labelling, to list just a few. It could also monitor negotiations on green goods and services, and it could commission an annual report on the environmental dimension of trade-related SDGs, perhaps based on its database of all environment-related WTO notifications (the most recent is WTO, 2014b).

While not subject to discussion, the WTO Annual Report, which has sections on all WTO activities, including efforts to finish the Doha Round, could report on what the WTO has accomplished during the year on such things as access to medicines under TRIPS, and negotiations on environmental goods and services. The WTO might periodically devote an issue of its annual World Trade Report to an integrated view of trade and sustainable development.

Finally, given the diffuse nature of the potential options for review within the WTO, spread across a number of committees, Members could place as a standing item on the agenda of the biennial Ministerial Conference consideration of a synthesis report on the contribution of trade to achieving the SDGs. Such a broad review is important for a global assessment of progress, and the HLPF may not have sufficient time to devote to trade in most years.

#### 4.2 United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

UNCTAD has particular strengths in gathering and analysing data on national and global trends, investment and international investment agreements, as well as the particular interests of developing countries in trade policy. The main advantage of UNCTAD's existing peer review processes is that they are voluntary, therefore exemplifying national ownership of the process and imposing a more manageable burden on developing countries' public resources than regular mandatory peer reviews. In addition to the Trade and Commission, UNCTAD Development can contribute through Investment Policy Reviews, the Voluntary Competition Policy Review, and the Global Commodities Forum. Given the breadth of UNCTAD's membership, it provides a wide range of countries with the opportunity to have their policies reviewed, to better inform the national process discussed in section 2 above. On the other hand, a wider review role could be enhanced by improvements to the organisation's institutional capacity and an increase in developed countries' relatively lower level of engagement in its work.

While the details of a process are yet to be determined, the UNCTAD Secretariat (UNCTAD, 2015) has begun to consider questions that could shape review of governments' trade and sustainable development policies, including:

- a How do governments achieve better coordination and coherence between policies and executive actions from different national ministries (for example, environment, finance, or trade) under one holistic development objective?
- b What projects do governments have in place to evaluate and monitor how trade contributes to inclusive development or to reduce inequalities, including those based on gender?
- c How do governments create and manage a participatory and inclusive policymaking process, particularly with a view to trade policymaking, in view of understanding and reflecting the socioeconomic and environmental concerns of different stakeholders?
- d What types of policy mix are available to achieve positive interaction between trade and socioeconomic transformation (e.g., increasing food security, empowering a marginalised group, narrowing the gender gap, decarbonising the economy, and raising educational levels)? What factors (social, economic, and/or environmental) can challenge the effectiveness of such a policy mix?

One of the first fruits of this stream of work is a book on how trade policy interacts with various factors that influence a country's sustainable development (UNCTAD, 2016).

#### 4.3 World Bank

The World Bank could serve as an important source of data and analysis on the traderelated elements of the 2030 Agenda using its immense data collection and analysis capacity - many of the indicators referenced in the Annex build on the Bank's work. The Bank could play an important potential role in reviewing international financial institutions' investments, for example in infrastructure, under the 2030 Agenda commitments. It could also play a role in convening national and global experts to develop cross-cutting global reviews of the place of trade in relation to particular objectives under the 2030 Agenda. This work could build on the existing system of knowledge platforms within the Bank.

#### 4.4 Regional Review

As we suggested above, aggregation and review at the regional level, creating a conversation among countries in similar economic or geographic circumstances, could provide a particularly rich environment for frank discussion, experience-sharing, and learning.

The UN Regional Commissions (notably United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) and Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC)) are already thinking about their roles in supporting the implementation and review of the 2030 Agenda, including through Regional Forums for Sustainable Development (United Nations 2015c). Many regional economic integration bodies (like APEC) already conduct reviews of Members' trade and trade-related policies, as does the African Peer Review Mechanism; like the UN regional commissions, they could potentially provide a good environment for further discussion of the contribution of those trade-related policies to sustainable development. A potential disadvantage of these organisations, however, is that not all of their secretariats have the capacity to support a follow-up and review process. The regional development banks, in concert with the World Bank, could also play a role in reviewing the

trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda. Data collection, analysis, and review may be easier to mobilise at the regional level, perhaps following the model of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development in follow-up and conducting policy reviews at country level.

In this context we consider the *OECD* (as well as the IEA) to be a regional body since its membership is far from universal. But it will be invaluable in reviewing the progress of its members to achieving the 2030 Agenda.<sup>25</sup> Peer review is deeply embedded in the work of the OECD, drawing on the Secretariat's considerable capacity for data gathering and analysis. For several of the

trade-related elements of the SDGs mapped above, in particular the elements related to agriculture, fisheries, and fossil fuel subsidies, OECD (and IEA) data is probably the most reliable available. Review of the coherence of Members' aid and trade policies will be especially important. The semi-annual Global Aid for Trade Review provides a regular forum for reviewing Aid for Trade flows, while the coherence of aid and trade policies could be reviewed at a regional level in the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) or in meetings of the OECD Policy Coherence for Development Focal Points. Members of the OECD may wish to create a mechanism for periodic peer review of each Member's national 2030 Agenda reports.

#### 5. CONCLUSION: BRINGING ALL THE REPORTS TOGETHER

Our aim with this paper was to provide a summary of the many options for indicators, reports, and mechanisms that might be useful in follow-up and review of the implementation of the trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda. The principles set out in section 1 above help to assess these options, but choices will depend on the objectives the reader wishes to pursue.<sup>26</sup>

We have mentioned a great many reports in this paper. We conclude, therefore, by trying to sketch how they all fit together in the architecture described in section 2 above. The main thing to keep in mind is that in this networked approach, multiple reports will be prepared, and they will be used in more than one place. As the Secretary-General says, "It will be important to help the HLPF to derive political guidance from the multiple inputs it will receive. The secretariats... must work coherently to support effective global review." The report is silent, as is the zero draft, on how such coherence will be achieved. We see two nodal points.

First, the national review process every four years is fundamentally a self-assessment by the country concerned, but the national conversation envisaged by Transforming our World requires information. The review processes discussed above ought to be an invaluable source of such information on each country, if properly aggregated and synthesised. Developing countries conducting a review will need help to synthesise and make sense of them all. But country-based reporting is only one of the streams of follow-up and review that will culminate in the HLPF.

Second, achievement of the SDGs will also require a discussion at the global level, both of progress in individual countries and of regional successes, and a discussion of the interrelated effects and trade-offs. For example, reduction of distortions in world agricultural markets is linked to efforts to improve food security and sustainable agriculture; efforts to reduce fisheries subsidies can have an effect on efforts to reduce poverty. Given the plethora of data and options and places where traderelated elements of the 2030 Agenda could be reviewed, the outcomes of these reviews, should they take place in different forums, would probably be most useful to the HLPF if they were analytically aggregated (Halle and Wolfe, 2016). Guidelines could be developed on how to synthesise international organisation reporting on goals or clusters of goals, or on the annual HLPF theme. If agencies also focused their annual reporting on the HLPF theme, that would minimise the duplication of work.

The HLPF declaration every year creates the opportunity for a political statement on the overall assessment of progress towards sustainable development, and new or emerging issues with long- term implications. Discussion of the role of trade should be a part of each annual declaration, but this will require a highlevel synthesis of available information about trade and its contribution to that year's thematic review. In light of the inevitable complexity and distinct areas of expertise in each traderelated review forum, an additional option could be the creation of an inter-agency Trade and the 2030 Agenda group (perhaps building on the work that several trade organisations undertook as part of the UN Technical Support Team, or the UN Inter-Agency Cluster on Trade and Productive Capacity) to prepare both a synthesis report as needed for the national reviews; and an annual synthesis for the global level reviews. We showed in section 4 that while many UN entities have a trade-related role, the body with the most significant review capacity is the WTO. One option, therefore, is that the WTO could be asked to coordinate such a task force. The aim of such a thematic report on the trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda would be to keep attention on the trade policy "forest" as opposed to all the "trees." As with all the other reports we have discussed, it should be a public document the foundation for an open and participatory process for review and follow-up of the sustainable development agenda.

### **ENDNOTES**

- 1 This table provides extracts from the more extensive material found in the Annex.
- 2 The UNGA resolution establishing 2030 Agenda incorporates the AAAA as an "integral part" of the 2030 Agenda (United Nations, 2015a, para. 40). This paper therefore looks at both the SDGs and the AAAA in its analysis of the trade-related elements of the 2030 Agenda.
- 3 Although SDG 1 on ending poverty in all its forms does not contain explicit trade-related commitments, (with the possible exception of references to basic services, discussed below) many of the targets and indicators included in our analysis, such as the removal of distortions in agricultural markets or the reduction of trade costs, could contribute to the objectives of this key SDG.
- 4 On the complexity of the global trade and investment architecture and its relationship to other institutions of global governance, including the 2030 Agenda, see Deere Birkbeck and Botwright (2015).
- 5 The 47th Meeting of the United Nations Statistical Commission endorsed a list of indicators for the SDG targets proposed by the IAEG-SDGs in its report of 19 February 2016 (United Nations 2016a).
- 6 This list might seem too expansive, but see paragraph 84 of *Transforming our World*: "We reaffirm that the HLPF... shall carry out regular reviews of progress in line with Resolution 67/290. Reviews will be voluntary, while encouraging reporting, and include developed and developing countries as well as relevant UN entities." 67/290 para. 17 refers to "the organizations of the United Nations system, including the Bretton Woods institutions and other relevant intergovernmental organizations, including the World Trade Organization..."
- 7 People familiar with civil society involvement in organizations like the WTO (Halle, Wolfe and Beaton, 2011) may be surprised that consistent with UN Economic and Social Council Resolution 1996/31, based on Article 71 of the UN Charter, civil society has a significant role in the HLPF.
- 8 For a discussion of reporting, review, and dissemination as three generations of transparency, see Wolfe (2013).
- 9 Voluntary national reviews could consider, for example, agricultural trade policy country studies such as Regúnaga and Tejeda Rodriguez (2015), which is part of a series of reports by the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) on large agricultural trading powers.
- 10 We have also drawn on the first UN Inter-Agency Task Force report on follow-up and review of the AAAA (United Nations, 2016d) and a matrix prepared by the Technical Support Team (United Nations, 2015b) as sources of potential indicators and possible review mechanisms. For a review of the range of international agreements relevant to the SDG targets in the context of their follow-up and review, and specific analysis of the international agreements, including on trade, relevant to SDG 2 and SDG 10, see Casaly et al. (2016).
- 11 The SDGs and AAAA refer in several places to building sustainable tourism (e.g., SDG target 12.b), and to increasing access to basic services (like essential health services in SDG target 3.8, and target 1.4) and financial services (e.g., SDG target 5.a). These references in the 2030 Agenda appear to be more focused on domestic policy rather than international frameworks, and therefore are not included in our formal analysis. Notwithstanding, the broader connections between trade in services and the 2030 Agenda would be worth exploring.
- 12 On agricultural subsidies: SDG target 2.b and AAAA para. 83; on fisheries subsidies: SDG target 14.6 and AAAA para. 83; on fossil fuel subsidies, SDG target 12.c and AAAA para. 31; on food commodity markets SDG target 2.c and AAAA para. 108.

- 13 It has also been suggested that WTO members could establish a requirement for the disclosure and phase-out of fossil fuel subsidies (see Samans, Meléndez-Ortiz, Singh and Doherty, 2016)
- 14 On international cooperation around water technology, see SDG target 6.a; on energy technology, see SDG target 7.a, and on environmental technologies generally, see AAAA para. 120. On access to medicines, see SDG target 3.b and AAAA para. 86.
- 15 On economic diversification, see SDG target 8.2; on access to value chains, see SDG target 9.3 and AAAA para. 87; on use of environmentally sound technologies in infrastructure and industry, see SDG target 9.4; on facilitating trade, see AAAA para. 87. For simplicity, we use "global value chains" in our analysis to refer also to regional value chains.
- 16 Section II of the WTO Agreement on Trade Facilitation contains provisions that allow developing and least developed countries to determine on the basis of a self-assessment (subject to review in the Committee) when they will implement individual provisions of the Agreement, and to identify provisions that they will only be able to implement upon the receipt of technical assistance and support for capacity-building. Donor notifications of such support are also subject to review in the Committee. WTO (2013), Section II, subsections 6 and 10.
- 17 On IUU fishing, see SDG target 14.4; on poaching and trafficking of wildlife, see SDG target 15.c. AAAA para. 92 references these SDG issues as well as illegal logging and mining and trafficking in hazardous waste and minerals.
- 18 On the multilateral trading system and the DDA, see SDG target 17.10 and AAAA paras 79, 80, and 83; on developing country exports and LDC market access, see SDG targets 17.11 and 17.12, AAAA paras 82 and 85; on Aid for Trade see SDG 8.a and AAAA para. 90; on capacity-building see SDG target 17.9 and AAAA para. 115.
- 19 For a review of the potential of interlocking trade and finance to support LDCs' development in the post-2015 context, see Bhattacharya (2016).
- 20 See for example the work of the LDC IV Monitor (2014) and the United Nations Office of the High Representative for the Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States (OHRLLS).
- 21 On the future role of Aid for Trade, building on the experience of the last decade, see Lammersen (2015).
- 22 On policy coherence generally, see SDG target 17.14; on domestic enabling environments and trade, see AAAA para. 88; and on consistency of regional and multilateral trade rules, see AAAA para. 87.
- 23 See AAAA paras 87 and 91.
- 24 See: <u>https://www.wto.org/english/thewto\_e/coher\_e/sdgs\_e/sdgs\_e.htm</u>. Last accessed May 18, 2016. Roberts (2014) is a good summary of the SDGs issues for the WTO, but he generally underplays the role WTO bodies could play in follow-up and review.
- 25 The OECD review mechanism options build on the ideas set out in OECD (2015).
- 26 On how differing purposes lead to incommensurable assessments of accountability in the WTO, see Wolfe (2015).
- 27 Work in progress see World Bank Group and World Trade Organization (2015).

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott, K. W., & Bernstein, S. (2015). The High-Level Political Forum on Sustainable Development: Orchestration by Default and Design. *Global Policy*, 6(3), 222-33.
- APEC (2012, September 8-9). 2012 Leaders' Declaration, Annex C: APEC List of Environmental Goods. Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation.
- Benninghof, V. (2013, August). Prioritizing Fossil-Fuel Subsidy Reform in the UNFCCC Process: Recommendations for Short-Term Actions. Global Subsidies Initiative, International Institute for Sustainable Development.
- Bhattacharya, D. (2015). Post-2015 International Development Agenda in the Context of Interlocking Trade and Financing in the LDCs. E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from www. <u>e15initiative.org</u>
- Capannelli, G., Lee, J.-W. & Petri, P. (2009, September). Developing Indicators for Regional Economic Integration and Cooperation. Asian Development Bank Working Paper Series on Regional Economic Integration. No. 33. Retrieved from <u>http://aric.adb.org/pdf/workingpaper/WP33</u> <u>Developing\_Indicators\_for\_REI.pdf</u>
- Casaly, P., de Búrca, G., Fisher A., McKenzie, T., & Tandon, M. (2016). *Follow-up and Review* of the Sustainable Development Goals under the High Level Political Forum. International Organizations Clinic New York University School of Law and United Nations Development Programme. Retrieved from <u>www.iilj.org/documents/FINALREPORTJan6copyedited.pdf</u>
- Casier, L., Fraser, R., Halle, M. & Wolfe, R. (2014, October). Shining a Light on Fossil Fuel Subsidies at the WTO: How NGOs Can Contribute to WTO Notification and Surveillance. *World Trade Review*, 13(4), 603-32.
- LDC IV Monitor (2014). Istanbul Programme of Action for the LDCs (2011-2020) Monitoring Deliverables, Tracking Progress - Analytical Perspectives. Commonwealth Secretariat, London.
- Deere Birkbeck, C., & Botwright, K. (2015). The Future of the Global Trade and Investment Architecture: Pursuing Sustainable Development in the Global Economy. E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from <u>www.e15initiative.org/</u>
- Estevadeordal, A., Blyde, J., & Suominen, K. (2014). Are Global Value Chains Really Global? Policies to Accelerate Countries' Access to International Production Networks. E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from <u>www.e15initiative.org/</u>
- Halle, M., & Wolfe, R. (2015, April). Architecture for Follow-up and review of the SDGs: Options for the High-Level Political Forum. International Institute for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from <u>http://www.iisd.org/publications/architecture-review-and-follow-up-sdgs-options-high-level-political-forum</u>
- Halle, M., & Wolfe, R. (2016, March). Follow-up and Review for the 2030 Agenda: Bringing Coherence to the Work of the HLPF (Policy Brief). International Institute for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from <u>https://www.iisd.org/library/follow-and-review-2030-agenda-bringing-coherence-work-hlpf</u>

- Halle, M., Najam, A., & Wolfe, R. (2014, February). Building an Effective Review Mechanism: Lessons for the HLPF. International Institute for Sustainable Development.
- Halle, M., Wolfe, R., & Beaton, C. (2011, September) Looking Back, Looking Forward: Civil Society Contributions to WTO Accountability. ENTWINED, Stockholm, Issue Brief 08. Retrieved from <u>http://unctad.org/meetings/en/SessionalDocuments/ditc\_dir\_2012d3\_Halle-Wolfe.pdf</u>
- Hoekman, B. (2015, February). Trade Costs and the Post-2015 Sustainable Development Agenda. ICTSD E15Initiative Global Trade and Investment Architecture Blog. Retrieved from <u>http://</u> <u>e15initiative.org/blogs/trade-costs-and-the-post-2015-sustainable-development-agenda/</u>
- IISD (2014, May). Options for the HLPF Review Mechanism: Background for the 2nd Workshop New York, May 15, 2014. International Institute for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from <u>http://www.iisd.org/sites/default/files/publications/options\_hlpf\_review.pdf</u>
- Kasteng, J. (2014). Trade Remedies on Clean Energy: A New Trend in Need of Multilateral Initiatives.
  E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from <u>www.e15initiative.org</u>
- Kuntze, J.-C. & Moerenhout, T. (2013). Local Content Requirements and the Renewable Energy industry - A Good Match? International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, Geneva. Retrieved from <u>www.ictsd.org</u>
- Lammersen, F. (2015). *Aid for Trade 10 Years On What's Next?* E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from <u>www.e15initiative.org</u>
- Mashaw, J. L. (2005, March). Structuring a "Dense Complexity": Accountability and the Project of Administrative Law. *Issues in Legal Scholarship*, 5(1), Article 4.
- OECD (2001). Environmental Goods and Services: The Benefits of Further Trade Liberalisation. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- OECD (2015, May 7). Supporting the Post-2015 Agenda for Sustainable Development: The Role of the OECD and Its Members. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, C/ MIN(2015)13.
- OECD (2016, February). Towards an OECD Strategic Response to the Sustainable Development Goals: Consultation Draft. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Retrieved from <u>http://www.oecd.org/dac/Consultation-draft--Outline-OECD-strategic-response-to-the-SDGs.pdf</u>.
- Regúnaga, M., & Tejeda Rodriguez, A. (2015, March). Argentina's Agricultural Policies, Trade, and Sustainable Development Objectives. International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, Issue Paper No. 55. Retrieved from <u>www.ictsd.org</u>
- Roberts, M. (2014). Thoughts on How Trade, and WTO Rules, Can Contribute to the Post-2015 Development Agenda. World Trade Organization, Staff Working Paper ERSD-2014-07, June. Retrieved from <u>https://www.wto.org/english/res\_e/reser\_e/ersd201407\_e.htm</u>
- Samans, R., Meléndez-Ortiz, R., Singh, H.V., & Doherty, S. (2016). Strengthening the Global Trade and Investment System in the 21st Century: Synthesis Report. E15Initiative. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) and World Economic Forum. Retrieved from <u>www.e15initiative.org/</u>

- Santana, R. (2015, June 3). Options for Defining the Products Covered by the Environmental Goods Agreement, *Bridges BIORES* 9(5). Retrieved from <u>www.ictsd.org</u>
- Sumaila, U.R., Lam V., Le Manach, F., Swartz, W., & Pauly, D. (2013). Global Fisheries Subsidies, Note. European Parliament Directorate-General for Internal Policies Policy Department B: Structural and Cohesion Policies, Fisheries, European Union. Retrieved from <u>http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/note/join/2013/513978/IPOL-PECH\_NT(2013)513978\_EN.pdf</u>
- United Nations (2015a). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. General Assembly, A/70/L.1, (18 September).
- United Nations (2015b). Technical Support Team Selected Follow-up and Review Processes and Platforms. United Nations, New York. Retrieved from <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.</u> <u>un.org/content/documents/7186Short%20matrix%20-%20revised%2029.5..pdf</u>
- United Nations (2015c). Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: The Role of the Regional Commissions. United Nations, (27 September.) Retrieved from <u>http://www.</u> regionalcommissions.org/2030RCspaper.pdf
- United Nations (2016a). Report of the Inter-Agency and Expert Group on Sustainable Development Goal Indicators: Note by the Secretary-General. Economic and Social Council, E/CN.3/2016/2/ Rev.1 (19 February).
- United Nations (2016b). Letter from the co-facilitators of the General Assembly informal consultations on the 2030 agenda follow-up (Belize and Denmark) and a zero draft resolution (6 May). Retrieved from <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/10124Follow-up%20and%20review%20-%206%20May%202016.pdf</u>
- United Nations (2016c). Critical Milestones Towards Coherent, Efficient and Inclusive Follow-up and Review at the Global Level: Report of the Secretary-General. General Assembly, A/70/684 (15 January).
- United Nations (2016d). Inaugural Report of the Inter-agency Task Force on Financing for Development - Addis Ababa Action Agenda: Monitoring commitments and actions. United Nations, New York. Retrieved from <u>http://www.un.org/esa/ffd/publications/inaugural-2016iatf-report.html</u>
- UNCTAD (2015, March 9). Trade Development Policies and the Post-2015 Sustainable Development Agenda, and Formulation of National Policies in the Context of Existing Regional Trade Agreements. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Trade and Development Commission, Note by the UNCTAD secretariat TD/B/C.I/37.
- UNCTAD (2016). Trading into Sustainable Development: Trade, Market Access, and the Sustainable Development Goals. United Nations, New York and Geneva.
- Vossenaar, R. (2014). Identifying Products with Climate and Development Benefits for an Environmental Goods Agreement. International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, Issue Paper No. 19. Retrieved from <u>http://www.ictsd.org</u>
- WHO, WIPO, & WTO (2012). Promoting Access to Medical Technologies and Innovation: Intersections between Public Health, Intellectual Property and Trade. World Health Organization, World Intellectual Property Organization and World Trade Organization, Geneva.
- Wolfe, R. (2013, March). Letting the Sun Shine in at the WTO: How Transparency Brings the Trading System to Life. World Trade Organization, Staff Working Paper ERSD-2013-03. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org/english/res\_e/reser\_e/ersd201303\_e.pdf
- Wolfe, R. (2015). An Anatomy of Accountability at the WTO. Global Policy, 6(1), 13-23.
- World Bank Group & WTO (2015). *The Role of Trade in Ending Poverty*. World Trade Organization, Geneva.
- WTO (2013, December 11). Agreement on Trade Facilitation: Ministerial Decision of 7 December 2013. World Trade Organization, WT/MIN(13)/36; WT/L/911.
- WTO (2014a). World Trade Report 2014: Trade and Development: Recent Trends and the Role of the WTO. World Trade Organization, Geneva.
- WTO (2014b). *Environmental Database for 2012: Note by the Secretariat*. World Trade Organization, Committee on Trade and Environment, WT/CTE/EDB/12, (5 March).
- WTO (2015a). *Nairobi Ministerial Declaration*. World Trade Organization, Ministerial Conference, Tenth Session, Nairobi, WT/MIN(15)/W/33/Rev.3, (19 December).
- WTO (2015b). Overview of Developments in the International Trading Environment: Annual Report by the Director-General. World Trade Organization, WT/TPR/OV/18, (17 November).

H	IE 2030	AG	EN	ID.	Α																		
	Potential review mechanisms		<b>OECD</b> Committee for	Agriculture	WTO Committee on	Agriculture, Sub-	Committee on Cotton	WTO TPR (Member and	annual monitoring reports)														
	Potential indicators and sources of information	2. End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	IAEG-SDGs 2.b.1: Producer Support Estimate	IAEG-SDGs 2.b.2: Agricultural export subsidies	OECD Country Reviews of Agricultural Policies and	Agricultural Policy Monitoring and Evaluation report	(include producer support estimates) (Covers OECD	members. Brazil. China. Indonesia. Kazakhstan. Russia.	South Africa. and Ukraine)		Domestic and export subsidies (annual notified amounts)	from WTO's Agriculture Information Management System	(Ag-IMS)	Tariffs and non-tariff measures in the agriculture sector	(applied tariff levels, use of tariff-rate quotas, safeguards,	and quantitative export and import restrictions) from	WTO's Integrated Data Base, Ag-IMS WTO notifications and	other sources (e.g., OECD)					
.	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	urity and improved	83. In accordance	with one element	of the mandate of	the Doha Devel-	opment Agenda	we call on WTO	members to cor-	rect and prevent	trade restrictions	and distortions in	world agricultural	markets, includ-	ing through the	parallel elimina-	tion of all forms	of agricultural ex-	port subsidies and	disciplines on all	export measures	with equivalent	effect.
	Trade-related SDG targets	hunger, achieve food secu	2.b Correct and prevent	trade restrictions and	distortions in world	agricultural markets,	including through the	parallel elimination of	all forms of agricultural	export subsidies and all	export measures with	equivalent effect, in	accordance with the	mandate of the Doha	Development Round								
)))))	Goal	2. End																					

Cluster 1: Subsidies and food commodities trade

## ANNEX: REVIEW MECHANISMS FOR TRADE-RELATED ELEMENTS OF THE 2030 AGENDA

Potential review mechanisms	FAO Committee on Commodity Problems UNCTAD Trade and Development Commission OECD Committee for Agriculture UNCTAD Global Commodities Forum
Potential indicators and sources of information	IAEG-SDGs 2.c.1) Indicator of food price anomalies (perhaps using FAO Indicator of Price Anomalies) Free market commodity prices, monthly [includes a variety of agricultural products including soybeans, maize, coffee, wheat, etc.] (UNCTAD) Agricultural Market Information System FAO Commodity Market Review and similar reports World Food Programme Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping Market Monitor
Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	108. We are concerned about excessive volatility of commodity prices, including for food and agriculture and its consequences for global food security and improved nutrition outcomes. We will adopt measures to ensure the proper functioning of food commodity markets and their derivatives and call for relevant regulatory bodies to adopt measures to facilitate timely, accurate, and transparent access to market information in an effort to ensure that commodity markets appropriately reflect underlying demand and supply changes and to help limit excess volatility of commodity prices. In this regard, we also take note of the Agricultural Market Information System hosted by FAO.
Goal Trade-related SDG targets	2.c Adopt measures108. We are concert108. We are concertto ensure the properfunctioning of foodabout excessive volfunctioning of foodfor food and agriculcommodity markets andfor food and agricultheir derivatives andfor food and agriculfacilitate timely accesssecurity and improvoucincluding on foodoutcomes. We will and improvouction foodincluding on foodmeasures to ensureincluding on foodfunctioning of foodtimit extreme food pricemarkets and their dvolatilityand call for relevanvolatilitypodies to adopt measfacilitate timely, actransparent accessinformation in an elensure that commoappropriately reflecdemand and supplyto help limit excessof the Agricultural hInformation SystemFAO.
Goal	

leo.)	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Dotential indicators and sources of information	Potential review
0.001	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
12. En	12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production pa	tion and production patterns		
	12.c Rationalize	31. We reaffirm the	IAEG-SDGs 12.c.1 Amount of fossil fuel subsidies,	WTO Trade Policy Review
	inefficient fossil-	commitment to rationalize	per unit of GDP (production and consumption),	(Annual report and Member
	fuel subsidies that	inefficient fossil-fuel subsidies	and as proportion of total national expenditure on	reviews)
	encourage wasteful	that encourage wasteful	fossil fuels	
	consumption by removing	consumption by removing		IINECCC (voluntary
	market distortions, in	market distortions, in	DECD-IEA Inventory of Estimated Budgetary Support	conting)
	accordance with national	accordance with national	and Tax Expenditures for Fossil Fuels	
	circumstances, including	circumstances, including by	מוום ומא בא בנוומונמו כמ נסו ו בסטורו מכוס	
	by restructuring taxation	restructuring taxation and		<b>OECD Environmental Policy</b>
	and phasing out those	phasing out those harmful	IEA World Energy Outlook fossil fuel subsidy	Reviews
	harmful subsidies, where	subsidies, where they exist,	<u>estimates</u>	
	they exist, to reflect	to reflect their environmental		IEA Country Reviews
	their environmental	impacts, taking fully into	IMF energy subsidy estimates	5
	impacts, taking fully	account the specific needs		
	into account the specific	and conditions of developing		GZU fossil fuel subsidy peer
	needs and conditions of	countries and minimizing the	LIDU GIODAL SUDSIGIES INITIATIVE ESCIMATION OF TOSSIL	review process
	developing countries and	possible adverse impacts on	tuel subsidies to producers	
	minimizing the possible	their development in a manner		
	adverse impacts on	that protects the poor and the		
	their development in a	affected communities.		
	manner that protects the			
	poor and the affected			
	communities			

Cluste	Cluster 1: Continued			
	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Potential indicators and sources of	Potential review
0.041	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda	information	mechanisms
14. Cc	inserve and sustainably use the	e oceans, seas and marine reso	14. Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development	
	14.6 By 2020, prohibit	83. We call on WTO	Progress in the adoption of a prohibition on	Progress on prohibition on
	certain forms of fisheries	members to also commit to	certain forms of subsidies that contribute to	fisheries subsidies:
	subsidies which contribute	strengthening disciplines	overcapacity and over-fishing.	WTO General Council (on
	to overcapacity and	on subsidies in the fisheries	IAEG-SDGs 14.6.1: Progress by countries in	basis of Rules negotiating
	overfishing, eliminate	sector, including through	the degree of implementation of international	group)
		the promibition of certain	instruments aiming to combat illegal,	Progress on standstill /
	to ittegat, unitepot teu and unregulated fishing and	routribute to overcapacity	unreported and unregulated fishing.	elimination of subsidies:
	refrain from introducing	and overfishing in accordance	Levels of government financial transfers to the	OECD Eisheries Committee
	new such subsidies,	with the mandate of the	fishing industry ( <u>OECD</u> )	
	recognizing that appropriate	Doha Development Agenda	WTO notifications of fisheries subsidies	WTO Subsidies and
	and effective special and	and the Hong Kong Ministerial		
	differential treatment	Declaration.	IPP notifications of fisheries subsidies (e.g.,	Committee
	for developing and least		under Articles 20.16.10 and 11)	WTO Trade Policy Review
	developed countries should		Levels of "harmful" subsidies (as defined	(drawing on external /
	be an integral part of the		and measured/estimated by Sumaila et al,	NGO data, to produce more
	World Trade Organization		University of British Columbia)	analytic work on subsidies)
	fisheries subsidies			TDD Environment Committee
	negotiation [Footnote:			
	Taking into account ongoing			UNCTAD Oceans Economy
	World Trade Organization			strategy, expert meetings
	negotiations, the Doha			FAO Committee on Fisheries
	Development Agenda and			
	the Hong Kong ministerial			AFEC OCEANS and FISHERIES
	mandate.]			working Group (and Irage and Investment Committee)
				1

leo.	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Dotential indicators and sources of information	Potential review
QOQ	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
3. Ensu	Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all	note well-being for all at all ages	Sa	
	3.b Support the research	86. We reaffirm the right	Number of instances of use of TRIPS flexibilities for	WTO TRIPS Council
	and development of	of WTO members in taking	public health ( <u>WTO</u> )	WIPO Committee on
	vaccines and medicines	advantage of the flexibilities		Development and IP
	for the communicable	in the WTO Agreement	Number of accentances of TBIPS amendment (WTO)	WTO TPRM
	and non-communicable	on Trade-Related Aspects		
	diseases that primarily	of Intellectual Property		Koberts (2014) thinks more
	affect developing	Rights (TRIPS) and reaffirm	WHO's monitoring of trade and health issues (WHO)	could be done, potentially
	countries, provide access	that the TRIPS Agreement		around trade in services,
	to affordable essential	does not and should not	WIPO Database on Flexibilities in the Intellectual	technical barriers to
	medicines and vaccines,	prevent members from	Property System	trade, and sanitary and
	in accordance with the	taking measures to protect		pnytosanitary measures.
	Doha Declaration on	public health. To this end,		
	the TRIPS Agreement	we would urge all WTO		
	and Public Health,	members that have not yet		
	which affirms the	accepted the amendment of		
	right of developing	the WTO TRIPS Agreement		
	countries to use to	allowing improved access		
	the full the provisions	to affordable medicines for		
	in the Agreement on	developing countries to do so		
	Trade-Related Aspects	by the deadline of the end of		
	of Intellectual Property	2015. We welcome the June		
	Rights regarding	2013 decision to extend the		
	flexibilities to protect	transition period for all least		
	public health, and, in	developed countries.		
	particular, provide access			
	to medicines for all			

Cluster 2: Access to water, energy, and medicines

0	
2	
<b>U</b>	
2	
-	
÷	
2	
5	
0	
<b>1</b> 5	
$\mathbf{\circ}$	
••	
2	
_	
CD	
Ľ.	
5	
÷	
_	
()	

Cluste	Cluster 2: Continued			
Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
6. Ens	6. Ensure availability and sustainable management of	lable management of water and	water and sanitation for all	
	6.a By 2030, expand	See generally 120.	Trade in key water-related goods and services (new -	<b>OECD</b> Trade Committee
	international cooperation		perhaps OECD?)	<b>OECD Environmental Policy</b>
	and capacity-building			Review
	support to developing		Tariffs and non-tariff harriers annlicable to key	OFCD Joint Working Party
	countries in water-		water-related goods (new - nerhans OFCD ADFC)	on Trade and Environment
	and sanitation-			
	related activities and			WIO Committee on Irade
	programmes, including		Progress in the implementation of the Environmental	and Environment
	water harvesting,		Goods Agreement (when in force)	WTO Trade Policy Review
	desalination, water			(including RTAs)
	efficiency, wastewater			APEC Committee on Trade
	treatment, recycling and			and Investment
	reuse technologies			

рə	
tinu	
Con	
5	
uster	

GoalTrade-related SDGTrade-related eleme targetsGoalTrade-related SDGTrade-related eleme Addis Ababa Action J7. Ensure access toand facilitable, sustainable an international cooperation7. Ensure access to49. We will enhance international cooperation7.a By 2030, enhance49. We will enhance and technology, including clean energy, including technologyand technology, including advanced and cleaner49. We will enhance infrastructureand promote investment in energy infrastructureand technology for supplyin technologyand clean energyenergy infrastructureand clean energyparticular least develo countries, reacted and cleaner and clean energyand clean energycountries and small isltechnologycountries and small isltechnologycountries and small isl	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda liable, sustainable and moderr		Potential review
7. Ensure access to affordable, re 7.a By 2030, enhance international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technology, including renewable energy, energy efficiency and advanced and cleaner fossil-fuel technology, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technology	iable, sustainable and moderr	Potential indicators and sources of information	mechanisms
7.a By 2030, enhance international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technology, including renewable energy, energy efficiency and advanced and cleaner fossil-fuel technology, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technology	10 We will enhance	d modern energy for all	
international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technology, including renewable energy, energy efficiency and advanced and cleaner fossil-fuel technology, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technology		Trade in key clean energy-related goods and services	<b>OECD</b> Trade Committee
e it	international cooperation international cooperation to	(new - perhaps IEA, OECD?)	OECD Environmental Policy
e int	provide adequate support	Tariffs and non-tariff barriers applicable to key clean	Reviews
e i	and facilitate access to clean energy research	energy-related goods (new - perhaps IEA and WTO, <u>APEC, OECD</u> )	OECD Green Investment Financing platforms
e it	infrastructure and upgrade	Local content requirements relating to clean energy	WTO Committee on Trade
e it	technology for supplying	projects	and Environment
	modern and sustainable energv services to all	Trade remedy measures imposed on clean energy	WTO Trade Policy Review
	developing countries, in	broarses in the implementation of Environmental	APEC Committee on Trade
technology	particular least developed	Goods Agreement (when in force)	and Investment
	countries and small island		IEA Country Reviews, Low-
	developing States.	<u>WIPO GREEN</u> and patent landscape reports on renewable energy technologies	Carbon Energy Technology
			Platform
		REN21 Renewables Global Status report	UNCTAD Investment Policy
		<u>Bloomberg New Energy Finance reports</u>	Reviews, Services Policy
		UNFCCC Technology Mechanism	Reviews and National Green Exports Reviews
			Committees of regional
			trade agreements including clean energy technology
			commitments

Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
8. Pron	note sustained, inclusive a	nd sustainable economic growth	Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all	r all
	8.2 Achieve higher levels	8.2 Achieve higher levels 15. We stress the critical	Developing country and LDC export diversification in	<b>OECD</b> Trade Committee
	of economic productivity	importance of industrial	terms of products and markets (World Bank - WITS,	WTO Trade Policy Review.
	through diversification,	development for developing	perhaps also <u>ITC</u> )	Committee on Trade and
	technological upgrading	countries, as a critical	Note: UN-COMTRADE provides data on trade flows	Development
	and innovation, including	source of economic growth,	that might be useful for monitoring this target and	
	value-added and labour-	economic diversincation, and value addition. We	others.	Competition Policy Peer
	intensive sectors	will invest in promoting	Exports of high technological content as a proportion	Reviews, Services Policy
		inclusive and sustainable	of total exports (World Bank, UNIDO Industrial	Reviews
		industrial development to	Development Report, and Competitive Industrial	UNCTAD annual
		effectively address major	Performance Index)	Intergovernmental Group of
		challenges such as growth and	Cornell University, INSEAD, WIPO Global Innovation	Experts on Competition
		jobs, resources and energy	Index	Global Aid for Trade Review
		ernciency, pollution and climate change, knowledge-	UNCTAD Information Economy Reports	OFCD Initiative for
		sharing, innovation and social	Labour-intensive exports as a proportion of total	Policy Dialogue on GVCs,
		inclusion.	exports (new - maybe WTO and ILO?)	Production Transformation
			Developing country and LDCs exports (by partner group and key sectors), including services ( <u>WTO</u> International Trade Statistics)	and Development
			Trade in Value Added, services data ( <u>OECD-WTO</u> )	
			Trade costs indicator (Hoekman, 2015)	
			WTO-World Bank indicators on trade costs that most affect the poor $^{\rm 27}$	
			Domestic market diversification (new - maybe World Bank?)	

Goal			Dotontial indicators and courses of	Dotontial ravia
	targets	of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Information	mechanisms
9. Buil	ld resilient infrastructure,	Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization, and foster innovation	ation, and foster innovation	
	9.1 Develop quality,	87. Regional integration can also be an	Ratification, implementation of	WTO Trade Facilitation
	reliable, sustainable and	important catalyst to reduce trade barriers,	Trade Facilitation Agreement (WTO	Committee
	resilient infrastructure,	implement policy reforms and enable	Annual report)	
	including regional	companies, including micro, small and	Overall trade facilitation indicators	
	and transborder	medium-sized enterprises, to integrate into	(OECD)	UECD Development
	infrastructure, to	regional and global value chains. We underline		Assistance Committee
	support economic	the contribution trade facilitation measures	World Bank Logistic Performance Index	
	development and human	can make to this end.	World Bank Doing Business Index	
	well-being, with a	We urge the international community.		UELU Irade Committee
	focus on affordable and	including international financial institutions	MDB level of investment in regional	
	equitable access for all	and multilateral and regional development	transport, trade infrastructure	MDB Evocutive Directors
			MDB independent evaluation group	שתה באברמנואב הווברנטו א
		cooperation frameworks that foster regional	reports	
		and subregional integration, with special	Reports to MDB Executive Boards on	
		attention to Africa, and that enhance the	development effectiveness	
		participation and integration of small-scale		
		industrial and other enterprises, particularly	UN-UHKLLS TOLLOW-UP OT THE	
		from developing countries, into global value	Programme of Action for Landlocked	
		chains and markets. We encourage multilateral	Developing Countries for the Decade	
		development banks, including regional banks,	<u>2014-2024</u>	
		in collaboration with other stakeholders,		
		to address gaps in trade, transport and		
		transit-related regional infrastructure,		
		including completing missing links connecting		
		landlocked developing countries, least		
		developed countries and small island		
		developing States within regional networks.		

-
0
Ō
ž
2
_
h
5
0
()
-
••
<u> </u>
Ð
Ĩ,
st
3
Ξ
()
$\sim$

CoalTrade-related SDGTrade-related SDGPotential indicators and sources of informationPotential review mechanisms9.3 Increase the access of small-scale industrial and other enterprises, in particular in developingPotential indicators and sources of informationPotential review mechanisms9.3 Increase the access of small-scale industrial and other enterprises, in particular in developingPotential indicators and sources of informationPotential review mechanisms9.3 Increase the access of small-scale industrial and other enterprises, in particular in developingPotential indicators and sources of information in global the chains (OECD)Potential review mechanisms9.3 Increase the access ind other enterprises, in particular in developingPotential review to financial services, including affordable credit, and their integration into value chains and marketsPotential review mechanisms1000Molitorial their integration into value chains and marketsPotential indicators and sources data (OECD) Potential ProgramPotential review mechanisms1100Molitorial their integration into value chains and marketsPotential indicators and sources data (OECD)Potential Program1100Molitorial their integration into value chains and marketsPotential indicators and sources data (OECD)Potential Program1110Molitorial their integration into value chains and marketsPotential indicators and sources data (OECD)Potential Program1111Molitorial their integration into value chains and marketsPotential Pro					
Addis Ababa Action Agenda Pegree of developing country participation in global value chains ( <u>OECD</u> ) Trade in Value Added, including services data ( <u>OECD</u> - VTD) VTD)	Goal	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review
Degree of developing country participation in global value chains ( <u>OECD</u> ) Trade in Value Added, including services data ( <u>OECD</u> - <u>WTO</u> )		targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
s value chains (OECD- WTO)		9.3 Increase the access		Degree of developing country participation in global	WTO Annual Trade
Trade in Value Added, including services data ( <u>OECD-</u> WTO)		of small-scale industrial		value chains ( <u>OECD</u> )	Monitoring Report
tets Trade in Value Added, including services data ( <u>OECD-</u> ets		and other enterprises, in			
ets WTO) Trade in Value Added, including services data ( <u>OECD-</u>		particular in developing			
Ind to arkets		countries, to financial		Trade in Value Added, including services data (OECD-	OECD Trade Committee
		services, including		<u>WTO</u> )	
		affordable credit, and			
		their integration into			
OECD Development Assistance Committee		value chains and markets			Program
OECD Development Assistance Committee					
Assistance Committee					OECD Development
					Assistance Committee

Addis hall and fcularly ff ten result rade We We wy the ancing, o use vy the ancing, o use rantees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, rentees, re		Potential indicators and sources of information mechanisms	Trade Finance Survey. AfDB Trade. Debt and Finance		from Expert Group on Trade	ional	Multilateral, regional	International Chamber of Commerce Global Survey on Governing Boards				Bank for International Settlements Committee on the											
		Potential indicators a	Regional development ba trade finance (e.g., ADB	report on trade finance)		MDB trade finance progra Finance Corporation Glob		International Chamber of	Trade Finance			Bank for International Se	<b>Global Financial System</b>										
Trade-related SDG targets	2		43. We recognize that micro, small and medium-sized enterprises. particularly	those that are women-owned, often	have difficulty in obtaining financing.	81. We acknowledge that lack of	country's trading potential, and result	in missed opportunities to use trade	as an engine for development. We	welcome the work carried out by the	WTO Expert Group on Trade Financing,	and commit to exploring ways to use	market-oriented incentives to expand	WTO-compatible trade finance and the	availability of trade credit, guarantees,	insurance, factoring, letters of credit	and innovative financial instruments,	including for micro, small and medium-	sized enterprises in developing	countries. We call on the development	banks to provide and increase market-	oriented trade finance and to examine	wavs to address market failures
		Trade-related SDG targets																					

Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
	9.4 By 2030, upgrade infrastructure and retrofit industries to	See generally 120.	Level of trade in key environmentally sound technologies (new - perhaps OECD?)	OECD Trade Committee OECD Environmental Policy Review
	make them sustamate, with increased resource- use efficiency and		Progress in the implementation of the Environmental Goods Agreement (when in force)	OECD Joint Working Party on Trade and Environment
	greater adoption of clean and environmentally sound technologies and industrial processes,		Average tariff, non-tariff barriers on key environmentally sound technologies ( <u>OECD</u> , <u>APEC</u> )	WTO Committee on Trade and Environment WTO Trade Policy Review (including RTAs)
	with all countries taking action in accordance with their respective capabilities			APEC Committee on Trade and Investment UNCTAD Green Exports reviews
12. En	12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production	tion and production patterns		
	12.7 Promote public procurement practices that are sustainable, in	30. We will establish transparent public procurement frameworks as	IAEG-SDGs 12.7.1 Number of countries implementing sustainable public procurement policies and action plans	WTO Trade Policy Review (annual report and Member reviews)
	accordance with national policies and priorities	a strategic tool to reinforce sustainable development.	UNEP Sustainable Public Procurement Programme	WTO Committee on Government Procurement
			<u>Accessions to WTO Government Procurement</u> <u>Agreement</u>	

Cluste	יו א. ווובצמו באנו מרנוטון	מווח רומחב ווו וומרחומו ובאחר	כומצובו ל. ווופצמו באנו מכנוטון מווט נו מטב ווו וומנטו מו ובאטטו כבא, נו מטב ווו וומבמו טטטא כוובווווכמוא מווט אמאנב	U
Goal	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review
1000	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
12. En:	sure sustainable consumpt	12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns		
	12.4 By 2020 achieve	See para 92 below.	IAEG-SDGs 12.4.1: Number of Parties to international	International Conference on
	environmentally		multilateral environmental agreements on hazardous	Chemicals Management
	sound management of		and other chemicals and waste that meet their	Environmental Assembly of
	through and all wastes		commitments and obligations in transmitting	UNEP
	cycle in accordance with		ווו טו וומרוטון מא ובקמורכת של במכוו ו ביב אמור מצו בכווובוור	UNECE Convention on
	agreed international			Transboundary Effects of
	frameworks and		Levels of illegal and illegal trade in hazardous wastes	Industrial Accidents
	significantly reduce their		and chemicals	Basel Convention
	release to air, water		(perhaps Basel Convention, Rotterdam Convention,	Conference of the Parties,
	and soil to minimize		Stockholm Convention, Minamata Convention, Montreal	Implementation and
	their adverse impacts on		Protocol, Strategic Approach to International Chemicals	Compliance Committee
	human health and the environment		Management Secretariat, Interpol, OECD)	Rotterdam Convention Conference of the Parties
			UNEP Global Chemicals Outlook Report	Stockholm Convention Conference of the Parties
				Minamata Convention
				Conference of the Parties
				(when Convention enters
				into force)
				Montreal Protocol Meeting
				of the Parties

Cluster 4: Illegal extraction and trade in natural resources, trade in hazardous chemicals and waste

Continued	
4: (	
luster	-

Cluste	Cluster 4: Continued			
Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
15. Pr	otect, restore and promote	e sustainable use of terrestrial €	15. Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and	srtification, and halt and
revers	reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	t biodiversity loss		
	15.6 Ensure fair and		IAEG-SDGs 15.6.1: Number of countries that have	Convention on Biological
	equitable sharing of the		adopted legislative, administrative and policy	Diversity Conference of
	benefits arising from the		frameworks to ensure fair and equitable sharing of	the Parties, Compliance
	utilization of genetic		benefits.	Committee of the Nagoya
	resources and promote		(from old IAEG-SDGs 15.6.1): Number of permits or	Protocol
	appropriate access to		their equivalents made available to the Access and	Commission on Genetic
	such resources		Benefit-sharing Clearing-House established under the	Resources for Food and
			Nagoya Protocol of the CBD and number of Standard	Agriculture
			Material Transfer Agreements, as communicated to	IINCTAD Bio Trade Congress
			the Governing Body of the International Treaty on	
			Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture	
			(ITPGRFA)	

0
~~~
2
3
2
-
t
2
0
ŭ
$\mathbf{O}$
4
N
<u> </u>
ā
Ť
s
Ë

Cluste	CIUSTEI 4. CONTINUED			
Goal	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of Addis	Potential indicators and sources	Potential review
	targets	Ababa Action Agenda	of information	mechanisms
	15.7 Take urgent action	92. We also recognize that illegal	IAEG-SDGs 15.7.1 (and 15.c.1):	<b>IUCN World Conservation Congress</b>
	to end poaching and	wildlife trade, illegal unreported	Proportion of traded wildlife that	CITES Conferences of the Parties CITES
	trafficking of protected	and unregulated fishing, illegal	was poached or illicitly trafficked	Review of Significant Trade. National
	species of flora and	logging and illegal mining are a		Wildlife Policy Reviews
	fauna and address both	challenge for many countries. Such		
	demand and supply of	activities can create substantial	Data from <u>CITES</u> and <u>TRAFFIC</u> , the	General Assembly of Interpol,
	illegal wildlife products	damage, including lost revenue	World Customs Organisation and	Interpol Working Group on Wildlife
		and corruption. We resolve	the UNODC	Crime .
	15 c Enhance alohal	to enhance global support for		
	support for afforts to	efforts to combat poaching and		WOLIA CUSTOTIS OLIGATIZATION
	combat poaching and	trafficking of protected species,	(סום ואבט-צטטט 15.7.1): <u>וטרא גפס</u> ו זַהַד והַמַהַעַ	UN Office of Drugs and Crime
	trafficking of protected	trafficking in hazardous waste,		WTO Committee on Trade and
	species including by	and trafficking in minerals,		Fuvironment
	increasing the reparity	including by strengthening	I ovole of trade in illegally	
	increasing une capacity	both national regulation and	evers of trade in firegally extracted patirial reconsces (DECD):	OECD Working Party on Biodiversity,
	to pursua sustainable	international cooperation, and		Water and Ecosystems
	livelihood opportunities	increasing the capacity of local	- Wildlife	Conference of the Parties to the Basel
		communities to pursue sustainable	- Timber	Convention
		livelihood opportunities. We	ï	
		will also enhance capacity	- FISN	Kimberley Process working Group on
		for monitoring, control and		Monitoring
		surveillance of fishing vessels so	Ratification and implementation	FAO Committee on Fisheries
		as to effectively prevent, deter	of EAD Arrowood on Dort State	Committone of rogional trado
		and eliminate illegal, unreported	U IAO Ağı cenilerir oli rol u state	communicees of regional chaue
		and unregulated fishing, including		agreentents including confinitionents on illegal trade in natural recources
		through institutional capacity		ווובצמו רו מחב זון וומרחו מו ו בסטמו כבס
		building.		

	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Dotoution indicators and courses of information	Potential review
GOGI	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
8. Pron	note sustained, inclusive, a	ind sustainable economic growth,	8. Promote sustained, inclusive, and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all	all
	8.a Increase Aid for	90. We will focus Aid	IAEG-SDGs 8.a.1 Aid for Trade commitments and	WTO Trade and
	Trade support for	for Trade on developing	disbursements	Development Committee
	developing countries,	countries, in particular		
	in particular least	least developed countries,		
	developed countries,	including through the	Enhanced Integrated Framework	Global Reviews of Aid for
	including through the	Enhanced Integrated		Trade
	Enhanced Integrated	Framework for Trade-Related		
	Framework for Trade-	Technical Assistance to	AIG TOR IRAGE DY INGIVIQUAL RECIPIENT (WIU/UEUD)	
	<b>Related Technical</b>	Least Developed Countries.		UECD Policy Dialogue on Aid
	Assistance to Least	We will strive to allocate	Aid for Trade by individual donor (WTO/OFCD)	TOF IFAGE
	Developed Countries	an increasing proportion of		
		Aid for Trade going to least		OECD Develonment
		developed countries, provided		Assistance Committee neer
		according to development		reviews
		cooperation effectiveness		
		principles. We also welcome		
		additional cooperation among		
		developing countries to this		
		end.		

Cluster 5: Multilateral trading system

leo.	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Dotantial indicators and cources of information	Potential review
0.00	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
10. Re	10. Reduce inequality within and among countries	l among countries		
	10.a Implement the	84. Members of the WTO	IAEG-SDGs 10.a.1: Proportion of tariff lines applied	WTO Committee on Trade
	principle of special and	will continue to implement	to imports from least developed countries and	and Development
	differential treatment	the provisions of special	developing countries with zero-tariff	
	for developing countries,	and differential treatment	(MDG Indicator) perhaps also disaggregated by other	
	in particular least	for developing countries, in	aroune e a Small Island Develoning States	WTO S&D Monitoring
	developed countries, in	particular least developed	פיטעדי, ביפי, שוומנו וזימוים בריביסףווים שנתנכי	Mechanism
	accordance with World	countries, in accordance		
	Trade Organization	with WTO agreements. We	WTO Annual Report, Secretariat updates on S&D	
	agreements	welcome the establishment	provisions in WTO agreements	
_		of the monitoring	)	
		mechanism to analyse and		
_		review all aspects of the		
		implementation of specific		
		special and differential		
		treatment provisions, as		
		agreed in Bali, with a view		
		to strengthening them and		
		making them more precise,		
		effective and operational as		
		well as facilitating integration		
		of developing and least-		
_		developed WTO members		
_		into the multilateral trading		
_		system.		

Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
16. Pro	mote peaceful and inclusi	ive societies for sustainable dev	16. Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and	effective, accountable and
Inclusi	inclusive institutions at all levels			
	16.8 Broaden and	103. We will take measures	WTO accessions as listed in the WTO Annual Report,	WTO General Council
	strengthen the	to improve and enhance	Director General's Annual Report on Accessions.	
	participation of	global economic governance		
	developing countries in	and to arrive at a stronger,		WTO Committee on Trade
	the institutions of global	more coherent and more	Degree of developing country participation in RTAs	and Development, Sub-
	governance	inclusive and representative	(UNCTAD, WTO)	Committee on LDCs
		international architecture		
		for sustainable development,		
		while respecting the	keports of IFIS, regional bodies involved in trade and	W IU COMMITTEE ON
		mandates of respective	investment.	Regional Trade Agreements
		organizations.		
				WTO Trade Policy Review
				Mechanism
				UNCTAD Trade and
				Development Board

	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of		Potential review
Goal	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	mechanisms
17. Str	engthen the means of impl	lementation and revitalize the	17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development	
	17.5 Adopt and	46. We resolve to adopt	IAEG-SDGs 17.5.1: Number of countries that adopt	UNCTAD Investment
	implement investment	and implement investment	and implement investment promotion regimes for	Policy Reviews (can be
	promotion regimes	promotion regimes for least	least developed countries	supplemented by other
	for least developed	developed countries		sources)
	countries		UNCTAD Investment Promotion Agency Observer	UNCTAD World Investment Forum
	17.7 Promote the	120. We will encourage the	Average applied tariffs and non-tariff barriers	<b>OECD</b> Trade Committee
	development,	development, dissemination	imposed on environmental goods (OECD, APEC)	<b>OECD</b> Green Investment
	transfer, dissemination	and diffusion and transfer	Progress in the implementation of Environmental	Financing Platforms
	and diffusion of environmentally	ut environmentatity sound technologies to developing	Goods Agreement (when in force)	OECD Joint Working Party
	sound technologies to	countries on favourable		on Trade and Environment
	developing countries	terms, including on		<b>OECD</b> Environmental Policy
	on favourable terms,	concessional and preferential		Reviews
	including on concessional and preferential terms.	terms, as mutually agreed.		<u>IEA Country Reviews</u>
	as mutually agreed	See generally 120-123		IEA Low-Carbon Energy Technology Platform
				WTO Committee on Trade
				and Environment
				WTO Trade Policy Review
				APEC Committee on Trade

Goal Tra				
QOAI	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
17.9 E intern for im effect capaci develc suppol to imp sustaii goals, North- coopel	17.9 Enhance international support for implementing effective and targeted capacity-building in developing countries to support national plans to implement all the sustainable development goals, including through North-South, South- South and triangular cooperation	115. We call for enhanced international support and establishment of multistakeholder partnerships for implementing effective and targeted capacity- building in developing countries, including least developed countries, landlocked developing countries, small island developing States, African countries, and countries in conflict and post-conflict situations, to support national plans to implement all the sustainable development goals.	Levels of Aid for Trade expenditure (North-South, South-South, and triangular) (WTO/OECD)WTO Trade and Development Committe Development Committe MTO Trade Facilitation Agreement and Standal and Trade Development FacilitiesLevels of support provided for implementation of the Trade Facilitation Agreement (possibly WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility data)WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement and Standal and Standal and Trade Development FacilitiesOECD Global Partnership for Effective Development Co- operation monitoring framework and Report operation trade CentreAPEC and other regions economic integration b Environment HubInternational Trade Centre frameworkUNEP Trade and Enhanced Integrated Framework	WTO Trade and Development Committee WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement and Standards and Trade Development Facilities APEC and other regional economic integration bodies UNEP Trade and Environment Hub Enhanced Integrated Framework

t
2
0
Ū
-
• •
S
<u> </u>
Ð
Ļ
S
_

	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	Dotential indicators and sources of information	Potential review
0.00	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda		mechanisms
	17.10 Promote a	[The AAAA in paras 79-83	IAEG-SDGs 17.10.1 Worldwide weighted tariff-	WTO Trade Policy Review
	universal, rules-	stresses the importance	average (from WTO-UNCTAD-ITC databases)	
	based, open, non-	of the multilateral trading		UNCTAD Trade and
	discriminatory and	system, including completion	Tariff and non-tariff barriers faced by developing	Development Commission
	equitable multilateral	of the Doha Development	country exporters ( <u>Market Access Map</u> -ITC)	
	trading system under	Agenda, the implementation		UECD Irade Committee
	the World Trade	of the Bali Package and	Data on non-tarift measures (UNC IAD, WIO, IIC,	
	Organization, including	implementation of the Trade	<u>WIIS - World Bank)</u>	WTO Trade Negotiations
	through the conclusion	Facilitation Agreement, and	Automatic frond building animal animal and	
	of negotiations under	combatting protectionism]	Average tarifis faced by developing countries and	
	it: Deba Deviations and ci		LDCs by key sectors ( <u>MDG indicator</u> covers developing	Committees per decision
			countries)	(e.g., Committee on
	Agenda			Agriculture for agricultural
			WTO Trade Monitoring Report, WTO World Trade	export subsidies, Trade in
			Report (periodically)	Services Council for LDC
			Drownor townards councilingion of the DDA (MITO Annual	services trade preferences)
			Report)	G20
			WTO Bali and Nairobi packages implementation (e.g.,	
			agriculture export subsidies, LDC services waiver)	
			Global bound vs applied tariff rates	
			Number of protectionist measures listed in OECD,	
			UNCTAD, WTO reports to the G20	

Goal       by sh de	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of		Dotontial roviour
Z S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	mechanisms
	17.11 Significantly	82. We will endeavour to	IAEG-SDGs 17.11.1: Developing countries' and least	WTO Committee
	increase the exports of	significantly increase world	developed countries' share of global exports	on Trade and
	developing countries, in particular with a view	with the sustainable	Developing country and LDCs' exports and imports (by partner group and key sectors), including services	Development, Sub- Committee on LDCs
	to doubting the least developed countries'	exports from developing	(UNCTAD, WTO, ITC)	WTO General Council
	share of global exports by 2020	countries, in particular from least developed countries,	Preferences utilisation by developing and least developed countries in their exports to developed countries	OECD Trade Committee
		with a view towards doubling	WTO Preferential Trade Agreements Transparency Mechanism	UNCTAD Trade
		their share of global exports by 2020 as stated in the	Preferential tariff margins for LDCs (UNCTAD)	and Development
		ction.	Average tariffs faced by developing countries and LDCs by key sectors ( <u>MDG indicator</u> covers developing countries)	WTO Trade and
			Simple average applied MFN and bound tariff rates (all products) ( <u>WTO</u> )	Development Committee
			WTO Trade Monitoring Report (standing section?)	WTO Sub-Committee
			UNCTAD Least Developed Countries Reports	on LDCs
			Trade restrictions in the services sector (possibly from updated World Bank <u>Services Trade Restrictions Database</u> )	Reviews of implementation of
			UN Secretary-General and agency reports on implementation of IPOA for LDCs, Vienna Programme	Programmes of Action for LDCs and LLDCs
			or action for LEUCS, SAMUA Fathway for SIUS and UN Monitoring Mechanism for commitments to Africa	OHRLLS), for SIDS and
			LDC IV Monitor (IPOA implementation)	tor Atrica

	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of	and the second se	Potential review
ואסט	targets	Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	mechanisms
	17.12 Realize timely	85. We call on developed	IAEG-SDGs 17.12.1: Average tariffs faced by	WTO Committee on Trade
	implementation of	country WTO members and	developing countries, least developed countries,	and Development, Sub-
	duty-free and quota-	developing	and small island developing States (perhaps	Committee on LDCs
	free market access	country WTO members	disaggregated by type of product)	
	on a lasting basis for	declaring themselves in a		WIU Committee on Kules of
	all least developed	position to do so to realize	WIO Database on Preferential Irade Agreements	Urigin
	countries, consistent	timely implementation	Preferential tariff margins for LDCs (UNCTAD)	
	with World Irade	of duty-free and quota-		
	Organization decisions,	free market access on a	Proportion of total developed country imports (by	
	including by ensuring	lasting basis for all products	value and excluding arms) from developing countries	
	that preferential rules	originating from all least	and least developed countries. admitted free of duty	
	of origin applicable	developed countries,	(MDG indicator)	
	to imports from least	consistent with WTO		
	developed countries	decisions. We call on them to	LDC IV Monitor (IPOA implementation)	
	are transparent and	also take steps to facilitate		
	simple, and contribute	market access for products		
	to facilitating market	of least developed countries,		
	access	including by developing		
		simple and transparent		
		rules of origin applicable to		
		imports from least developed		
		countries, in accordance		
		with the guidelines adopted		
		by WTO members at the Bali		
		ministerial conference in		
		2013.		

Cluble	נו מי במורא במופו בוורב	Cubrel o. Fully culletellet for sustaillable developilietic		
Goal	Trade-related SDG targets	Trade-related elements of Addis Ababa Action Agenda	Potential indicators and sources of information	Potential review mechanisms
5. Ach	ieve gender equality and $\epsilon$	5. Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls		
	5.5 Ensure women's	6. We reiterate the need	Measurement of women's participation in trade could	WTO Trade Policy Review
	full and effective	for gender mainstreaming,	begin with disaggregation of trade-related economic	Mechanism
	participation and	including targeted	data (e.g., employment in export-oriented sectors)	
	equal opportunities for	actions and investments	by gender.	WTO Committee on Trade
	leadership at all levels	in the formulation and		and Development
	of decision-making in	implementation of all		
	political, economic and	financial, economic,		
	public life.	environmental and social		
		policies.		
		90. Recognizing the critical		
		role of women as producers		
		and traders, we will address		
		their specific challenges		
		in order to facilitate women's		
		equal and active participation		
		in domestic, regional and		
		international trade.		
		_		

Cluster 6: Policy coherence for sustainable development

	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of Addis	Potential indicators and sources of	Potential review
GOGI	targets	Ababa Action Agenda	information	mechanisms
17. Str	engthen the means of imp	lementation and revitalize the Globa	17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development	
	17.13 Enhance global	9. At the same time, national	<b>CPIA trade rating - Trade. Assesses how well</b>	WTO Trade Policy Reviews
	macroeconomic stability,	development efforts need to	a country's policy framework fosters trade	(Members)
	including through policy	be supported by an enabling	in goods (World Bank) (data is mainly for	
	coordination and policy	international economic	International Development Association	IMF Article IV Consultations
	coherence	environment, including coherent	countries but could perhaps be extended)	
		and mutually supporting world		UNCIAU COMPETITION,
	17 11 Enhance	trade, monetary and financial	World Bank reports (Global Economic Prospects,	Investment Policy Peer
	17.14 Elillalice policy cohoropro	systems, and strengthened	<b>Global Monitoring Report with IMF</b> )	Reviews
	putty cuteretice for sustainable develonment	and enhanced global economic		OFCD Policy Coherence for
		governance.	<u>ΙΜΓ ΨΟΓΙΩ ΕΕΟΙΙΟΠΙΙΕ ΟΔΙΙΟΟΚ ΓΕΡΟΓΕΑΤΙΩ</u>	Development Focal Points
			<u>uatabases</u>	Meetings
		88. Recognizing that international	IMF Article IV Consultation Staff Reports	
		trade and investment offers		African Peer Review
		opportunities but also requires	UNCTAD Investment Policy Framework for	Mechanism (African Union)
		complementary actions at the	<u>Sustainable Development</u>	
		national level, we will strengthen	Regional UN economic commissions and	
		domestic enabling environments	economic integration organisations	
		and implement sound domestic	,	
		policies and reforms conducive to	UN Economic and Social Council Special high-	
		realizing the potential of trade for	level meetings with the World Bank, IMF, WTO,	
		inclusive growth and sustainable	and UNCTAD (annual)	
		development.		

100	Trade-related SDG	Trade-related elements of Addis	Potential indicators and sources of	Potential review
_	targets	Ababa Action Agenda	information	mechanisms
		87. We recognize the significant	Degree of regional economic integration	UN Regional Commissions'
		potential of regional economic	(regional integration indicator by ADB, regional	Forums on Sustainable
		integration and interconnectivity	integration index by AfDB with AU Commission	Development
		to promote inclusive growth and	and UNECA)	
		sustainable development, and		Regional economic
		commit to strengthening regional	Number of "deep" or "expansive" regional	integration organisations'
		cooperation and regional trade	trade agreements and MTS consistency (OECD)	Trade committees (e.g.,
		agreements. We will strengthen		APEC Ministers Responsible
		coherence and consistency among	Consistency of investment agreements with MTS, sustainable development objectives	for Trade meetings)
		butater at and regionate trade and investment agreements and to	(UNCTAD World Investment Report)	WTO Trade Policy Review
		ensure that they are compatible	UNCTAD Investment Policy Monitor. IIA and ISDS	(and monitoring report,
		with WTO rules.	navigators.	
				WTO Committee on Regional
			OECD Environment and RTAs reports	Trade Agreements
			WTO Transparency Mechanism and Regional	UNCTAD Investment Policy
			<b>Trade Agreements Information System</b>	Reviews. World Investment
			WTO CRTA Secretariat reports (Degree of	Forum
			consistency with sustainable development	
			policies could be examined in WTO CRTA	UNCTAD Trade and
			Secretariat reports)	Development Board
				OECD Trade Committee.
				Joint Working Party on Trade
				and Environment

Goaltargets17.15 Respect eachcountry's policy spaand leadership toestablish and implepolicies for povertyeradication andsustainable develop	17.15 Respect each country's policy space and leadership to	παυε-Γειατέυ ετέπεπτε Οι Αυσιδ Δhaha Δction Δσεριda		
17.15 Res country's and leade establish policies fo eradicatio sustainab <sup>1</sup>	vect each policy space rship to		information	mechanisms
country's and leade establish policies fo eradicatic sustainabl	policy space rship to	91. The goal of protecting and	UNCTAD International Investment Agreement	UNCTAD Trade and
and leade establish policies fo eradicatio sustainabl	ship to	encouraging investment should	work	Development Commission
establish a policies fc eradicatic sustainabl		not affect our ability to pursue	HILTAD World Investment Deces	INCTAD Incontended Police
policies fo eradicatic sustainabl	establish and implement	public policy objectives. We will		
eradicatic sustainabl	r poverty	endeavour to craft trade and	UNCTAD Investment Policy Monitor	Keviews
sustainabl	n and	investment agreements with		WTO Trade and Development
	sustainable development	appropriate safeguards so as not		Committee
		to constrain domestic policies and		
		regulation in the public interest.		WTO CRTA
		We will implement such agreements		
		in a transparent manner.		
		9. We will respect each		
		country's policy space and		
		leadership to implement policies		
		for poverty eradication and		
		sustainable development, while		
		remaining consistent with		
		relevant international rules and		
		commitments.		

ICTSD's Programme on the Environment and Natural Resources aims to promote the sustainable use of the environment and natural resources within the international trade system

- Aid for Trade in the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development: Post-2015 Development Agenda Briefing Series. Policy Brief. By ICTSD, 2014.
- Fisheries, Trade and Sustainable Development: Post-2015 Development Agenda Briefing Series. Policy Brief. By ICTSD, 2014.
- International Trade and Sustainable Development: Post-2015 Development Agenda Briefing Series. Policy Brief. By ICTSD, 2014.
- Climate Change, Trade and Sustainable Energy: Post-2015 Development Agenda Briefing Series. Policy Brief. By ICTSD, 2014.

## About the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development, www.ictsd.org

Founded in 1996, the International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development (ICTSD) is an independent think-and-do-tank based in Geneva, Switzerland and with operations throughout the world, including out-posted staff in Brazil, Mexico, Chile, Senegal, Canada, Russia, and China. By enabling stakeholders in trade policy through information, networking, dialogue, well-targeted research and capacity-building, ICTSD aims to influence the international trade system so that it advances the goal of sustainable development. ICTSD co-implements all of its programme through partners and a global network of hundreds of scholars, researchers, NGOs, policymakers and think-tanks around the world.