THE TRAUMATIC IMPACT OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE: A Conceptualization

David Finkelhor, Ph.D., and Angela Browne, Ph.D.

Family Violence Research Program, University of New Hampshire, Durham

research are also considered. which these children may be vulnerable subsequently. Implications for of the psychological injury inflicted by abuse. These dynamics can be used to make assessments of victimized children and to anticipate problems to of child sexual abuse. Four traumagenic dynamics—traumatic sexualization, betrayal, stigmatization, and powerlessness—are identified as the core A framework is proposed for a more systematic understanding of the effects

abuse that can be used in both research ceptualization of the impact of sexual sexual abuse, 6 the paper suggests a conview of the literature on the effects of provide such a model. Based on a retrauma. This paper is an attempt to sexual abuse results in this kind of clear model that specifies how and why self-esteem. However, such observasexual dysfunction, depression, and low tions have not yet been organized into a ated with a history of abuse, such as problems that are thought to be associ-The literature on child sexual abuse is full of clinical observations about

being the victim of physical child abuse. the divorce of a child's parents or even ferent from such childhood traumas as one set of circumstances is what makes ualization, betrayal, powerlessness, traumagenic dynamics -- traumatic sexhe trauma of sexual abuse unique, difconjunction of these four dynamics in occur in other kinds of trauma. But the dynamics are generalized dynamics, not and stigmatization. These traumagenic causing factors, or what we will call necessarily unique to sexual abuse; they be analyzed in terms of four traumathat the experience of sexual abuse can The model proposed here postulates

Submitted to the Journal in February 1985. Preparation of this work was supported by grants from the National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect (90CA 0936101) and the National Institute of Mental

55(4), October 1985 FINKELHOR AND LROWNE

clinical work and in research. of sexual abuse. We will conclude by some of the commonly observed effects each of the four dynamics and then show illustrating how the model can be used in how each dynamic is associated with model and suggest some of its ramificamonly noted in victims of child sexua tions and uses. We will first describe abuse. This paper will describe the to control their lives. Children's atdistorts children's sense of their ability worth. The dynamic of powerlessness dren's sense of their own value and tempts to cope with the world through dynamic of stigmatization distorts chil affective capacities. For example, the children's self-concept, world view, and nitive and emotional orientation to the he behavioral problems that are comhese distortions may result in some of world, and create trauma by distorting These dynamics alter children's cog-

FOUR TRAUMAGENIC DYNAMICS

abuse. Traumatic sexualization can when certain parts of a child's anatomy opmentally appropriate needs. It occurs others to satisfy a variety of develso that a child learns to use sexual warded by an offender for sexual beoccur when a child is repeatedly rebehavior as a strategy for manipulating privileges, and gifts for sexual behavior the exchange of affection, attention, level of development. It occurs through havior that is inappropriate to his or her variety of ways in the course of the of sexual abuse. This can happen in a sonally dysfunctional fashion as a result opmentally inappropriate and interpercluding both sexual feelings and sexua process in which a child's sexuality (inattitudes) is shaped in a devel Traumatic sexualization refers to a

> with sexual activity. sions about sexual behavior and sexual become associated in the child's mind very trightening memories and events are fetishized and given distorted imfrom the offender. And it occurs when morality that are transmitted to the child through the misconceptions and confu-

associations to sexual activities. ceptions about their sexual self emerge from their experiences with inwith greater awareness. Children who sexualizing than those involving a child plications of the activities may be less level, understands few of the sexual imbecause of early age or developmental force is used. However, even with the concepts, and with unusual emotional havior, with confusions and misconappropriate repertoires of sexual behave been traumatically sexualized sex in the wake of such an experience. sexualization may occur as a result of use of force, a form of traumatic sexualizing than those in which brute participate are also likely to be more are probably more sexualizing than child's sexual response, for example, tion. Experiences in which the child, may also affect the degree of sexualiza-The degree of a child's understanding the fear that becomes associated with passive child to masturbate with. Experiences in which the child is enticed to those in which an offender simply uses a provoke. Experiences in which the ofkind of traumatic sexualization they fender makes an effort to evoke the framatically in terms of the amount and Sexual abuse experiences can vary

has caused them harm. This may occur on whom they were vitally dependent which children discover that someone Betrayal refers to the dynamic by

FINKELHOL AND BROWNE

them—or who has a changed attitude toward them after disclosure of the abuse—may also contribute to the gard. Children can experience betrayal or whose affection was important to standards. They may also come to ynamics of betrayal. mable or unwilling to protect or believe nember whom they trusted but who was were not abusing them. A family not only at the hands of offenders, but them treated them with callous disrerealize that someone whom they loved come to the realization that a trusted of abuse or its aftermath, children may experience. For example, in the course in a variety of ways in a molestation lso on the part of family members who les or misrepresentations about moral person has manipulated them through

perience a greater sense of betrayal than blamed, or ostracized undoubtedly exsure. Children who are disbelieved, ously, the degree of betrayal is also reenced the contact as nurturing and lovthose who are supported. lated to a family's response to disclorealize what is really happening. Obviing and then is suddenly shocked to was suspicious of a father's activities trayed than one who initially experiwhomever the offender. A child who from the beginning may feel less beof betrayal may also be affected by how taken in the child feels by the offender, volving strangers. However, the degree potential for betrayal than those inperpetrated by family members or other rusted persons obviously involve more Sexual abuse experiences that are

to the process in which the child's will, rendering the victim powerless—refers desires, and sense of efficacy are concalled disempowerment, the dynamic of Powerlessness—or what might also be

(V)

them in the situation. conditions of dependency have trapped unable to make adults understand or believe what is happening, or realize how reinforced when children see their atincreased when children feel fear, are offender may impose as part of the abuse when a child's territory and body abuse process. Powerlessness is then whatever coercion and manipulation the space are repeatedly invaded against the tempts to halt the abuse frustrated. It is child's will. This is exacerbated by kind of powerlessness occurs in sexual to this dynamic. We theorize that a basic tinually contravened. Many aspects of the sexual abuse experience contribute

tively, or at least exert some control over its occurrence, they may feel less able to bring the abuse to an end effecerlessness. Obviously, a situation in which a child tells and is not believed disempowered. erlessness. However when children are will also create a greater degree of powdisclosure, can create a sense of powwhich a child feels trapped, if only by the realization of the consequences of necessary: any kind of situation in erlessness. But force and threat are not probably instill more of a sense of powually commands the child's participation by threatening serious harm will An authoritarian abuser who contin-

not. Some children, in the wake of a

blameworthy by offenders and some are

Some children are treated as bad and grees in different abusive situations. sult of the molestation.

Stigmatization occurs in various de-

(loose morals, "spoiled goods") as a renegative characteristics to the victim environment who now impute other ditionally stigmatized by people in their transpired. Children may be ad-

closure, people react with shock or

hysteria, or blame the child for what has

the activity, demean the victim, or fure.g., badness, shame, and guilt—that ings are communicated in many ways. the experiences and that then berefers to the negative connotationsabuser, who may blame the victim for self-image. These negative meanthey can come directly from the are communicated to the child around come incorporated into the child's Stigmatization, the final dynamic,

other children may have some of their

These four traumagenic dynamics,

out that such experiences occur to many different. By contrast, those who find

since it reinforces the sense of being abuse may increase the sense of stigma secret of having been a victim of sexua dition to the usual stigma. Keeping the erful religious and cultural taboos in adwhereas others have to deal with powthus experience little stigmatization, much awareness of social attitudes and Some children may be too young to have whereas others are heavily shamed. clearly that they are not at fault, sexual abuse experience, are told

and it is certainly reinforced if, after disactivity is considered deviant and taboo, child's prior knowledge or sense that the Stigmatization may thus grow out of the offender can also convey powerful mespersons in the family or community. the victim infers or hears from other zation is also reinforced by attitudes that sages of shame and guilt. But stigmatibehavior. Pressure for secrecy from the tively convey a sense of shame about the abuse. our understanding of the effect of sexua useful for organizing and categorizing best thought of as broad categories ences with a common theme. They are rather, as a clustering of injurious infludefined. Each dynamic can be seen, sources of trauma in child sexual abuse then, account in our view for the main They are not in any way pure or narrowly

TRAUMAGENIC DYNAMICS IN THE IMPACT OF SEXUAL ABUSE

courage deeper understanding of the ceptually frustrating and does not enof sexual abuse. Although a great many phenomenon. literature adds up to little more than a abuse,6 unfortunately the sum total of behavioral and emotional problems to reconsider the literature on the effects as an organizing framework, it is useful list of possible outcomes. This is conhave been related to a history of sexual With the four traumagenic dynamics

be associated with the four dynamics.* general affinities. In this section, we will several dynamics. But there are clear fects seem logically associated with one-to-one correspondence. Some efas though certain traumagenic dynamics or two of these dynamics. It would seem veniently categorized according to one comes, it will be noted, can be conserved outcomes. Most of the outare more readily associated with certain and theorize about many of the obbriefly describe the effects that seem to effects. Obviously, there is no simple however, offers a way both to organize The notion of traumagenic dynamics,

^{*}A tabular presentation of these traumagenic dynamics, roughly broken down into psychological impact and behavioral manifestations, is available from the authors.

FINKELHOR AND JROWNE

Traumatic Sexualization

abuse have a high risk for entering into studies suggesting that victims of sexual prostitution, 5, 19, 32 pirically.7.22,40 There are also several come adolescents_or_young_adults, although this has not been confirmed emcharacterizes victims when they bepulsive sexual behavior that sometimes marked about promiscuous and comgressive and victimize their peers or younger children. Clinicians have reyounger children, become sexually aglescent boys, but sometimes even contact. 1-3-12-21-22 Some children who have been victimized, especially adoin sexual intercourse or oral-genital wanting to engage school age playmates sexual behavior such as masturbation or sexual preoccupations and repetitive inappropriate to their age, such as display knowledge and interests that are compulsive sex play. Some children victims, clinicians have often noted sexualization. Among young child sexual abuse that seem readily connected to the dynamic of traumatic There are many observed effects of

appropriately sexualizing their children victims apparently find themselves insexualization, 11, 13, 17, 30 sault may also be related to traumatic sexual abuse victims to later sexual asquently demonstrated higher risk of and their bodies. 8, 12, 29, 34, 38 The frenegative attitudes toward their sexuality and orgasm, and vaginismus, as well as tion experience, difficulty with arousal sion to sex, flashbacks to the molestavictimized clients often have an avereffects. Clinicians have reported that of sexual abuse have been among the most researched and best established The sexual problems of adult victims and some

> abuse.14.18.21.34.36 All these observagenic dynamic of sexualization. tions seem connected to the traumain ways that lead to sexual or physical

sexual partners will be able to "tell." has been impaired, and whether later wonder whether their sexual desirability boys, for example, may wonder whether cially about sexual identity. Victimized abuse about the self and interpersonal they are homosexuals. Victimized girls relations. Confusion often arises espetions and conflicts_provoked_by_the is also very much a function of the quesbehavior that may go along with it, but it tion of the abuse and the conditioning of sociated simply with the sexual stimulaopment. Part of the preoccupation is aswith sexual matters at their stage of develwho might not otherwise be concerned awareness of sexual issues, which may as victims' self-reports, suggest the basic level, sexual abuse heightens by traumatic sexualization. At its most be particularly true among young children various psychological effects produced Such problems and behavior, as wel

from this confusion. of the apparent sexualization in the behavior of victimized children may stem give and obtain affection. 17, 20, 24 Some become their view of the normal way to abuser over a period of time, this may fusion concerns the role of sex in affechave traded sex for affection from the have said and done. One common conconceptions about sex and sexual relaciated with confusion about sexual tionate relationships. If child victims tions as a result of things offenders may norms and standards. Sexually victimized children typically have mis-Traumatic sexualization is also asso-

Another impact that traumatic sex-

aversion to all sex and intimacy, and other negative emotions can contamiual dysfunctions reported by victims. very probably also account for the sexfeelings may become generalized as an nate later sexual experiences. These fear, anger, a sense of powerlessness, or ated in a child's memory with revulsion, ciated with sex. Sexual contact associtive connotations that come to be assoualization may have is in the nega-

Stigmatization

tempts. 4, 11, 17, 21, 34, 35 structive behavior and suicide atreach extremes in forms of self-deeffects of stigmatization may also activity, or in prostitution.3, 4-17 The society. Thus they may get involved in drug or alcohol abuse, in criminal tate to various stigmatized levels of tims often feel isolated, and may gravidynamic of stigmatization. Child vicnaturally grouped in relation to the Other effects of sexual abuse seem

being different based on the (incorrect) tization also results in a sense of belief that no one else has had such an merchandise"3.9, 18, 21, 34, 38 Stigmaabuse victims that they are "spoiled of the pattern, as the victim concludes abuse. Low self-esteem is another part reactions from others regarding the blamed and encountering negative since they are a response to being and shame seem logically associated as a result of their abuse. 2, 10, 11 The guilt from the negative attitudes toward with the dynamic of stigmatization, perience considerable guilt and shame ponents. Many sexual abuse victims exproblems has a number of related com-The psychological impact of these

> a person who had experience and that others would reject

Betrayal

victims even fall to recognize when their partners become sexually abusive sexually abused. 4. 11. 13. 17. 25. 30 Some plausibly related to both an overdepentoward their children. This seems women to relationships in which they remarked on the vulnerability of these studies of female incest_victims have ship. 34, 35 As mentioned before, several search for a redeeming relationdency and impaired judgment. are physically, psychologically, and about the trustworthiness of other may show up in impaired judgment abuse victims suffer from grave disenpeople4, 9, 21, 34, 36, 38 or in a desperate victims. 20, 23 This same need in adults and clinging seen in especially young manifested in the extreme dependency tense need to regain trust and security, bination with this there may be an inchantment and disillusionment. In comgrief reactions and depression over the that they have suffered, in the form of nected with the experience of betrayal victims seem reasonably to be conloss of a trusted figure. 1. 3. 7. 20. 21 Sexual A number of the effects noted in

the surfacing of mistrust and suspicion. abuse victims that also may represent noted marital problems among sexual is a barrier to successful heterosexual relationships or marriages. Studies have distrust is directed especially at men and intimate relationships. Sometimes this ifest itself in isolation and an aversion to abused girls. 4. 9. 26 Distrust may manhas also been observed among sexually characterized by hostility and anger-An opposite reaction to betrayal-

FINKELHOR AND BROWNE

common dynamic behind a number of the observed reactions to sexual abuse. retaliation. Thus, betrayal seems a this anger and may represent a desire for victimization are also an expression of times associated with a history of cial behavior and delinquency somecents, 1-8-10, 21, 27, 39, 41 Such anger may be a primitive way of trying to protect abuse victims, particularly adolesthe self against future betrayals. Antisosive and hostile posture of some sexual part of what may lie behind the aggres-The anger stemming from betrayal is

Powerlessness

and anxieties may extend into adulthood 7. 8. 10. 14. 15. 21. 22. 26. 33. 35. 39 These fears among sexually abused children. 1. 2. somatic complaints related to anxiety have been repeatedly documented hypervigilance, clinging behavior, and fear and anxiety. Nightmares, phobias, abuse among children are connected to Many of the initial responses to sexual inability to control noxious events. ously fear and anxiety, which reflect the One reaction to powerlessness is obviof sexual abuse that seem plausibly related to the dynamic of powerlessness There is also a configuration of effects

away, and employment difficulties, which researchers have noted in victims flected in Jearning problems, running and adult victims. It may also be respair, depression, and even suicidal beof being revictimized. This sense of impotence may be associated with the dehavior often noted among adolescent it difficult to act without the expectation cacy and coping skills. Having been a victim on repeated occasions may make ness is to impair a person's sense of effi-A second major effect of powerless- rexperience.

are trying to manipulate them or do them seems readily related to the high risk of subsequent victimization (noted in premay feel powerless to thwart others who victims appear to suffer: these victims who feel unable to cope with their envivious sections) from which sexual abuse ronments. 1. 2. 7. 17. 22. 24. 26 Finally, it

ness that is integral to the sexual abuse to the traumatic dynamic of powerlessabuser. All these effects seem related these victims attribute to their own sense of power and domination that may be in large measure to regain the lenders, reenacting their own abuse, it some, if even in desparate ways, to com-When victims become bullies and ofpensate for the pain of powerlessness. desire to be tough, powerful, and fearhavior would seem to stem from this Some aggressive and delinquent beby male sex role socialization, 16, 28 power and control are made very salient for male victims, for whom issues of would seem particularly to be the case victims may have unusual and dysfunctional needs to control or dominate. This to powerlessness, some sexual abuse for a third cluster of effects. In reaction rience of powerlessness may account Attempts to compensate for the expe-1

spondence between dynamics and efness. There is no one-to-one correstigmatization, betrayal, or powerlessdepression can be seen as growing out of traumagenic dynamics; for example, plausibly connected to two or even three overdetermined. Some effects seem be clear, however, that the reactions are reactions seen among victims. It should connected to the common patterns of how the four traumagenic dynamics are The preceding should give a sense of

> suggested by the model are worthy of further clinical and empirical investigato powerlessness. Such hypotheses peutic approach than depression related and therefore calls for a different therapression has different manifestations fects. It may be that stigma-related de-

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT USING THE MODEL OF TRAUMAGENIC DYNAMICS

various shortcomings. sessment, but these classifications have classify abuse experiences to aid in as-There have been some attempts to sumptions about what causes trauma. basis of unsystematic and untested asevaluated abuse experiences on the abuse. Up to the present, clinicians have sessments of the possible effects of ous one is in making clinical asceptual model described here, an obvi-Of the many possible uses for the con-

categorized according to whether or not penetration occurred and whether force the child. Abuse is also commonly the family has more serious effects on whether it occurred inside or outside the abuse is commonly distinguished by family, on the belief that abuse inside abuse are "more traumatic." Thus, clinical judgment about what kinds of dichotomies which reflect collective been classified according to simple the child. More often, experiences have ceptualization provides little insight into "fixated" abuser. 16 However, this conwas at the hands of a "regressed" or the nature of the trauma experienced by fender: for example, whether the abuse looks at the characteristics of the of-One common classification scheme

tial for trauma has real limitations. Be-This approach to assessing the poten-

> an overly simplistic classification of exthe trauma is likely to manifest itself is fect is inferred, and nothing about how Nothing about the character of the eflargely untested, the approach results in yond the fact that its assumptions are periences as either more or less serious

uration of traumagenic dynamics most ence itself can be examined for their can anticipate what would be the most processes. On the basis of the configcontribution to each of the traumagenic ent. The characteristics of the experispecific injurious dynamics were preswas more or less serious, but rather what mensions. The question is not whether it abuse experience on four separate dilikely types of effects. present in an experience, the clinician concepts, the clinician can evaluate an trauma. With the assistance of these proposed here allows for a more complex assessment of the potential for The model of traumagenic dynamics

offender, the way in which the offender relationship between the victim and the sessment of this dynamic. Similarly, others blamed the child subsequent to of the child, the number of people who the disclosure would all add to the asknew about it, and the degree to which stigmatizing was the experience? Facassessment of the degree of sexualizaon, and the degree to which the child with regard to betrayal, facts about the tors such as how long it went on, the age tion. Next a clinician would ask: How participated, all might contribute to an intercourse occurred, how long it went about the experience, such as whether ualizing was this experience? Facts asking first: How traumatically sexthrough the model dynamic by dynamic, Thus, a clinician might proceed

FINKELHOR ANI ROWNE

which powerlessness was a major vant to a determination of the degree to terminated would be particularly relecumstances under which the abuse was to which coercion was brought to bear, the duration of the abuse, and the cirabout the presence of force, the degree taken into account. Finally, the facts from other family members would all be victim to get assistance and support successful and unsuccessful-of the involved the victim, and the attempts-

sense of a stigmatized and devalued self. victims, or other activities to repair the victim could get support from other ment with a survivors group, where the interventions might include involveat reducing this sense of stigma. Such terventions might be aimed specifically trauma in the area of stigmatization, inexample, assessment suggested greatest mulating intervention strategies. If, for dynamics would also be useful for forassessment based on the traumagenic sequent difficulties to be expected. An the victim and about some of the subsome of the predominant concerns of should be able to draw inferences about traumagenic dynamics, a clinician the experience according to the four Once an assessment is made about

TRAUMAGENESIS BEFORE AND AFTER ABUSE

subsequent to the abuse. Abuse will standing trauma must take into account pending on their prior adjustment and on have different effects on children dethe child's experiences both prior to and that any assessment approach to undervictims, it is important to emphasize sumed to be the main traumatic agent in Although the sexual abuse itself is as-

> easily adapted to this need. fual framework being proposed here is how others respond to it. The concep-

sense of trust firmly established. substantially less for a child who had a the sexual abuse experience might be already been strongly potentiated. alty of significant others was in doubt, However, the betrayal dynamic from then the dynamic of betrayal may have the child had experienced an unstable such a context, the disempowering asfamily configuration, in which the loyhave only a minor or transient effect. If pects of a sexual abuse experience may personal efficacy and powerfulness. In have acquired a well developed sense of fairly healthy family environment, may important responsibilities, living in a curred. However, an eldest child with powering dynamic before the abuse ocalready been suffering from a disemphysical or emotional abuse may have ple, a child who was a previous victim of child's family life and personality characteristics prior to the abuse. For examderstood particularly in relation to a each phase. In the pre-abuse phase, the to the abuse. They can be assessed in traumagenic dynamics need to be unhistory prior to and a future subsequent not apply solely to the abuse event. They are ongoing processes that have a The four traumagenic_dynamics_do

> ness. But, if the child has a sense of havcrease the child's sense of powerlessattention, this can also greatly insubjected to a great deal of unwanted

ing been able to end the abuse and obtain

accompanying abuse may occur after For example, much of the stigmatization when it occurs, and 2) the social and the family reaction to disclosure, if and events have particular importance: 1) events subsequent to the sexual abuse. dynamics can also be assessed in the institutional response to the disclosure. Two main categories of subsequent The operation of the traumagenic

> experiences subsequent to sexual a long time. The dynamic of powerlessness is also greatly affected by a child's having been abused remains a focus for by friends or blamed by family and if serious stigmatization if later rejected by the molestation itself may undergo child who was relatively unstigmatized ters family and societal reactions. A the experience itself, as a child encounmethodological rigor. any theory, and often suffer from lack of sexual abuse, but they are not based on cific pathology that may result from contrast, are more sensitive to the speual abuse. The ad hoc measures, by tions, but these are not necessarily the pathologies related most closely to sex-

needed to further research on sexual groups. Such instruments are badly might be tailored for different age professionals. Forms of the instruments another for completion by parents or direct administration to the children and struments might be developed, one for of the four dynamics. Two separate incan be the basis for developing instruinstruments would be geared to tap each ments specifically designed to assess the impact of sexual abuse. Sections of the This model of traumagenic dynamics

the story on repeated occasions, and tify, forced to leave home, forced to tell authorities become involved in the exabuse. If, for instance, a great many

perience and the child is forced to tes-

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH

situation must be included in relation to contributions of the pre- and post-abuse

the four traumagenic dynamics.

resulted from the experience itself. mitigate any sense of powerlessness that support and protection, this may greatly

Thus, in assessing the experience, the

assess a variety of pathological condineuroticism or self-acceptance that can broad inventories have subscales like investigator-invented measures. The abuse has been conducted using either until now, research on child sexual opment of assessment instruments. Up used as a conceptual guide in the develscribed in this paper have implications for both research and intervention. logical Inventory³¹ or else ad hoc, the MMPI37 or the California Psychobroad psychological inventories like Perhaps most importantly, they can be The four traumagenic dynamics de-

CONCLUSION

mitigating the effects of these experiunderstanding of sexual abuse and step in the direction of advancing our ences on its victims. tualization of these links may serve as a widely_noted. Developing a concepabuse and the sequelae that have been dynamics, which are seen as the four links between the experience of sexual standing of the effects of sexual abuse. It has introduced four traumagenic îramework for a more systematic under-This paper has tried to suggest a

REFERENCES

- 1. ADAMS-TUCKER, C. 1981. A sociological overview of 28 abused children. Child Abuse Neg. 5:361-367,
- 2. ANDERSON, S., BACH, C. AND GRIFFITH, S.

el .

541

3. BENWARD, J. AND DENSEN-GERBER, J. 1975. havior: an exploratory study. Presented to the American Academy of Forensic Science, Incest as a causative factor in anti-social be-

4. BRIERE, J. 1984. The effects of childhood sexon Sexual Victimization of Children, Washual abuse on later psychological functioning: defining a "post-sexual-abuse syndrome." Presented to the Third National Conference

5. BROWN, M. Adolescence 14:665-675. 1979. Teenage prostitution.

6. BROWNE, A. AND FINKELHOR, D. 1984. The Conference of Family Violence Researchers, research. Presented at the Second National impact of child sexual abuse: a review of the

7. BROWNING, D. AND BOATMAN, B. 1977. In cest: children at risk. Amer. J. Psychiat

8. BURGESS, A. AND HOLMSTROM, L. 1978. Ac-Sexual assault of children and adolescents. A. Burgess et al. Lexington Books, Lexington, cessory to sex: pressure, sex, and secrecy. In

9. COURTOIS, C. 1979. The incest experience and its aftermath. Victimology 4:337-347.

10. DE FRANCIS, V. 1969. Protecting the Child American Humane Association, Denver. Victim of Sex Crimes Committed by Adults.

11. DE YOUNG, M. 1982. The Sexual Victimization of Children. McFarland & Company, Jeffer-

12. FINCH, S. 1967. Sexual activity of children with other children and adults. Clin. Pediat.

13. FROMUTH, M. 1983. The long term psychologisity, Auburn, Ala. lished doctoral dissertation, Auburn Univercal impact of childhood sexual abuse. Unpub-

14. GELINAS, D. 1983. The persisting negative effects of incest. Psychiatry 46:312-332.

15. GOODWIN, J. 1982. Sexual Abuse: Incest Victims and Their Families. John Wright— PSG, Inc., Boston.

16. GROTH, N. 1979. Men Who Rape. Plenum, New York.

18. HERMAN, J. AND HIRSCHMAN, L. 17. HERMAN, J. 1981. Father-Daughter Incest. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass. 1977.

19. James, J. and meyerding, J. 1977. Early sexual experiences and prostitution. Amer. J. Psychiat. 134:1381-1385.

Father-daughter incest. Signs 2:735-756.

20. JONES, C. AND BENTOVIM, A. Sexual Abuse of Children: Fleeting Trauma or Lasting Disaster. Unpublished manuscript. The Hospital for Sick Children, London.

21. JUSTICE, B. AND JUSTICE, R. 1979. The Broker

relations between father and daughter. Amer The family constellation and overt incestuous

23. LUSTIG, N. ET AL. 1966. Incest: a family group survival pattern. Arch. Gen. Psychiat. 14:

San Francisco.

135:1103-1104.

26. PETERS, J. 1976. Children who are victims of ers. Amer. J. Psychother. 30:398-421. sexual assault and the psychology of offend-

J. Greer, eds. VanNostrand Reinhold, New Victims of Sexual Aggression, I. Stewart and vention with boy victims of sexual abuse. In

29. abuse of children. J. Amer. Acad. Child ROSENFELD, A. ET AL. 1979. Incest and sexual

31. SEIDER, A. AND CALHOUN, K. 1984, Childhood Neglect.

3 SILBERT, M. AND PINES, A. 1981. Sexual child searchers, Durham, N.H. adult adjustment. Presented at the Second National Conference for Family Violence Resexual abuse: factors related to differential

Abuse Neg. 5:407-411. abuse as an antecedent to prostitution. Child

33. SLOANE, P. AND KARPINSKI, E. 1942. Effects of psychiat. 12:666-673. incest on the participants. Amer. J. Ortho-

P. Mrazek and C. Kempe, eds. Pergamon Press, Oxford

commodation syndrome. Child Abuse Neg 7:177-193.

36. SUMMIT, R. AND KRYSO, J. 1978. Sexual abuse of children: a clinical spectrum. Amer. J. Or. thopsychiat. 48:237-251

22. KAUFMAN, I., PECK, A. AND TAGIURI, C. 1954 Taboo. Human Sciences Press, New York,

J. Orthopsychiat. 24:266-279.

24. MEISELMAN, K. 1978. Incest. Jossey-Bass

25. MILLER, J. ET AL. 1978. Recidivism among sexual assault victims. Amer. J. Psychiat.

27. REICH, J. AND GUTIERRES, S. 1979. Escape, aggression incidence in sexually abused juvenile delinquents. Crim. Just. Behav. 6:239-

28. ROGERS, C. AND TERRY, T. 1984. Clinical inter-

Psychiat. 16:327-339.

30. RUSSELL, D. 1983. Intrafamily child sexual abuse: a San Francisco survey. Final Report to the National Center on Child Abuse and

بي 4 STEELE, B. AND ALEXANDER, H. 1981. Longterm effects of sexual abuse in childhood. In Sexually Abused Children and their Families,

35. SUMMIT, R. 1983. The child sexual abuse ac

FINKELHOR AND HOWNE

37. TSAI, M., FELDMAN-SUMMERS, S. AND EDGAR, M. 1979. Childhood molestation: variables re-

lated to differential impact of psychosexual

functioning in adult women. J. Abnorm.

Project. Final report for the Office of Juvenile Exploited Children: Service and Research

40. WEISS, M. ET AL. 1955. A study of girl sex Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

TUFT'S NEW ENGLAND MEDICAL CENTER (Di-

vision of Child Psychiatry). 1984. Sexually

38. TSAI, M. AND WAGNER, N. 1978. Therapy

Psychol. 88:407-417.

groups for women sexually molested as children. Arch. Sex. Behav. 7:417-429.

41. WISCONSIN FEMALE JUVENILE OFFENDER STUDY, 1982. Sex Abuse among Juvenile Of fenders and Runaways. Summary report, Madison, Wisc. victims. Psychol. Quart. 29:1-27 Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U. S.