

**PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO
PRIMER SEMESTRE 2019**

Nombre del curso	Seminario Derecho y Sociedad (Law and society seminar) Agustín Barroilhet D. Guillermo Caballero G.
Naturaleza (obligatorio, optativo o electivo)	Electivo
Requisitos	-
Número de Créditos	10
I. Descripción del curso	Se trata de un curso de lecturas guiadas en derecho y sociedad, la mayoría en idioma inglés, que debieran ser conocidas por todos los académicos que quieran entrar publica con estándares norteamericanos. Los artículos considerados son de la era post realismo legal y la mayoría cuenta con más 1,000 citas según Google Scholar (algunos como “Why the haves come out ahead” de Marc Gallanter llegan a las 20 mil citas). Estas lecturas forman parte de la mayoría de las compilaciones de artículos en derecho y sociedad y son la base de una conversación interdisciplinaria.
II. Objetivo General	El objetivo del curso es permitir a los doctorandos perfeccionar visiones externas del derecho y comprender, por ejemplo, cuál es el límite institucional al cambio legal, por qué el derecho se hace más relevante en ambientes pluralistas, por qué los trasplantes legales descontextualizados fallan o son impredecibles, por qué la deliberación colectiva tiene a sobre representar intereses de grupos organizados, por qué los agentes son tan importantes como los mandatos que reciben, por qué siempre hay que ser escéptico del valor de carátula de la ley, y en general, como la ley influye a la sociedad y al mismo tiempo se retroalimenta de la misma, todas afirmaciones que son relativamente indisputadas en la academia legal. Se recomienda para todos los proyectos con componentes empíricos.
III. Objetivos específicos	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ayudar a los doctorandos a distinguir el derecho en los libros del derecho acción (Law in the books versus law in action). 2. Ayudar a los doctorandos a incorporar a su bagaje académico lecturas que aparecen en la mayoría de las conversaciones legales en la actualidad y que no pueden ser ignoradas en cualquier proyecto que se aleje del dogmatismo puro o aspire a ser mutlidisciplinario. 3. Ayudar a los doctorandos a tomar conocimiento de lecturas básicas en las fallas del proceso legislativo y del proceso judicial. 4. Concientizar a los doctorandos de las limitaciones de las de las instituciones del derecho y del alcance real de las

	<p>soliciones que se pueden proponer, considerando el contexto institucional, político, cultural, etc. donde serán aplicadas. No se trata de que tengan conocimientos acabados de otras disciplinas, como ciencia política o sociología, sino más bien que reconozcan que sus proyectos son una variable más de las muchas que incidirán en el problema que estudian.</p>
IV. Contenidos	<p>No. 1 - What is law? Formal vs. Informal No. 2 - Law in Closed Communities No. 3 - The legislative process and the Public Choice No. 4 - The judicial process and its paradoxes No. 5 - Judicial Institutions No. 6 - The purpose of Comparative Law No. 7 - Transplants and Development No. 8 - The Comparatist No. 9 - Wrap Up Session</p>
V. Régimen de asistencia	<p>90% de asistencia (8 de las 9 sesiones).</p>
VI. Controles y ponderación de los mismos	<p>No existen controles contemplados. Sólo reacciones escritas de una página al texto que deba discutirse esa semana, los que deberán ser entregados la semana anterior. La nota final del curso es el promedio de todas las reacciones.</p>
VII. Metodología	<p>Seminario con discusión abierta sobre interpretaciones de los textos y su conexión explícita con los proyectos de los doctorandos.</p>
VIII. Bibliografía	<p>Abe, Masaki. 2011. "The Internal Control of a Bureaucratic Judiciary." In <i>Law in Many Societies: A Reader</i>, edited by Lawrence M. Friedman, Rogelio Pérez-Perdomo, and Manuel Alejandro Gómez, 19–26. Stanford, California: Stanford Law Books.</p> <p>Ahmed, Azam. 2015. "Taliban Justice Gains Favor as Official Afghan Courts Fail." <i>The New York Times</i>, January 31, 2015. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/01/world/asia/taliban-justice-gains-favor-as-official-afghan-courts-fail.html.</p> <p>Baldwin, John, and Michael McConville. 1979. "Plea Bargaining and Plea Negotiation in England." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 13 (2): 287–307.</p> <p>Berkowitz, Daniel, Katharina Pistor, and Jean-Francois Richard. 2003. "Transplant Effect, The." <i>American Journal of Comparative Law</i> 51: 163.</p> <p>Bernstein, Lisa. 1992. "Opting out of the Legal System: Extralegal Contractual Relations in the Diamond Industry." <i>The Journal of Legal Studies</i> 21 (1): 115–57.</p> <p>Bodum USA, Inc. v. La Cafetiere, Inc. 2010, 621 F.3d 624. United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.</p> <p>Buchanan, James, and Gordon Tullock. 1995. "The Calculus of Consent (Excerpt)." In <i>Law & Society: Readings on the Social Study of Law</i>, edited by Stewart Macaulay, Lawrence</p>

	<p>M. Friedman, and John A. Stookey, 1st ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.</p> <p>Calavita, Kitty. 2002. "Engaged Research, 'Goose Bumps,' and the Role of the Public Intellectual." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 36 (1): 5–20.</p> <p>Carothers, Thomas. 2009. "Rule of Law Temptations." <i>Fletcher Forum of World Affairs</i> 33: 49.</p> <p>Clark, David Scott, ed. 2012. <i>Comparative Law and Society</i>. Research Handbooks in Comparative Law. Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.</p> <p>Cotterrell, Roger, ed. 1994. <i>Law and Society</i>. International Library of Essays in Law and Legal Theory 13. New York, NY: New York University Press.</p> <p>Davis, Kevin E., and Michael J. Trebilcock. 2008. "Relationship between Law and Development: Optimists versus Skeptics, The." <i>American Journal of Comparative Law</i> 56: 923.</p> <p>Ewald, William. 1998. "Jurisprudential Approach to Comparative Law: A Field Guide to Rats, The." <i>American Journal of Comparative Law</i> 46: 701.</p> <p>Farber, Daniel A., and Anne Joseph O'Connell, eds. 2010. <i>Research Handbook on Public Choice and Public Law</i>. Research Handbooks in Law and Economics. Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.</p> <p>Feldman, Eric A. 2006. "Tuna Court: Law and Norms in the World's Premier Fish Market, The." <i>California Law Review</i> 94: 313.</p> <p>Fletcher, George P. 1998. "Comparative Law as a Subversive Discipline." <i>American Journal of Comparative Law</i> 46: 683.</p> <p>Friedman, Lawrence M. 1994. "Is There a Modern Legal Culture?" <i>Ratio Juris</i> 7 (2): 117–131.</p> <p>Galanter, Marc. 1966. "The Modernization of the Law." In <i>Modernization; the Dynamics of Growth</i>, by Myron Weiner, 153–65. New York: Basic Books.</p> <p>———. 1974. "Why the Haves Come out Ahead: Speculations on the Limits of Legal Change." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 9: 95.</p> <p>———. 1995. "Why the 'Haves' Come out Ahead: Speculations on the Limits of Legal Change (Abridged)." In <i>The Law & Society Reader</i>, edited by Richard L. Abel, 297. New York, NY: New York University Press.</p> <p>———. 1999. "Farther Along." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 33 (4): 1113–23.</p> <p>Gibson, James L., and Gregory A. Caldeira. 1996. "The Legal Cultures of Europe." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 30 (1): 55–85.</p> <p>Ginsburg, Tom. 2010. "Public Choice and Constitutional Design." In <i>Research Handbook on Public Choice and Public Law</i>, edited by Daniel A. Farber and Anne Joseph O'Connell, 261. Research Handbooks in Law and Economics. Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.</p>
--	--

- . 2011. "Lawrence M. Friedman's Comparative Law." In *Law, Society, and History: Themes in the Legal Sociology and Legal History of Lawrence M. Friedman*, edited by Robert W. Gordon and Morton J. Horwitz. Cambridge Studies in Law and Society. Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Glanert, Simone. 2008. "Speaking Language to Law: The Case of Europe." *Legal Studies* 28 (2): 161–71.
- Gordon, Robert W., and Morton J. Horwitz, eds. 2011. *Law, Society, and History: Themes in the Legal Sociology and Legal History of Lawrence M. Friedman*. Cambridge Studies in Law and Society. Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Greif, Avner. 2012. "The Maghribi Traders: A Reappraisal?" *Economic History Review* 65 (2): 445–69.
- Kagan, Robert. 2007. "Adversarial Legalism: The American Way of Law (Excerpt)." In *Law in Action: A Socio-Legal Reader*, by Stewart Macaulay, Lawrence M. Friedman, and Elizabeth Mertz, 125. New York, N.Y. : [St. Paul, Minn.]: Foundation Press; Thomson/West.
- Kennedy, David. 2003. "The Methods and the Politics." In *Comparative Legal Studies: Traditions and Transitions*, edited by Pierre Legrand and R. J. C. Munday, 345–433. Cambridge, U.K ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kritzer, Herbert M., and Susan S. Silbey, eds. 2003. *In Litigation: Do the "Haves" Still Come out Ahead?* Stanford, Calif: Stanford Law and Politics.
- Lasser, Mitchel s.-o.-L'E. 2003. "The Question of Understanding." In *Comparative Legal Studies: Traditions and Transitions*, edited by Pierre Legrand and R. J. C. Munday, 197–239. Cambridge, U.K ; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Legrand, Pierre. 1997. "Impossibility of Legal Transplants, The." *Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law* 4: 111.
- Lempert, Richard. 1999. "A Classic at 25: Reflections on Galanter's 'Haves' Article and Work It Has Inspired." *Law & Society Review* 33 (4): 1099–1112.
- Macaulay, Stewart. 1963. "Non-Contractual Relations in Business: A Preliminary Study." *American Sociological Review* 28 (1): 55–67.
- Macaulay, Stewart, Lawrence M. Friedman, and John A. Stookey, eds. 1995. *Law & Society: Readings on the Social Study of Law*. 1st ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Mallard, Grégoire, and Jérôme Sgard, eds. 2016. *Contractual Knowledge: One Hundred Years of Legal Experimentation in Global Markets*. Cambridge Studies in Law and Society. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Markovits, Inga. 2002. "Justice in Lüritz." *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 50 (4): 819–74.

- Mashaw, Jerry. 2010. "Public Choice and Public Law: Critique and Rapprochement." In *Research Handbook on Public Choice and Public Law*, edited by Daniel A. Farber and Anne Joseph O'Connell, 19–25. Research Handbooks in Law and Economics. Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.
- Matsuda, Mari J. 1988. "Law and Culture in the District Court of Honolulu, 1844-1845: A Case Study of the Rise of Legal Consciousness." *American Journal of Legal History* 32: 16.
- Merry, Sally Engle. 2016. *The Seductions of Quantification: Measuring Human Rights, Gender Violence, and Sex Trafficking*. Chicago Series in Law and Society. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- "Proving Foreign Law-Expert Witnesses & Other Source-1.Pdf." n.d.
- Ramseyer, J. Mark. 2013a. "The Puzzling (In)Dependence of Courts." In *Law and Politics, Comparative and International Issues*, 4:41–66. Routledge.
- . 2013b. "The Puzzling (In)Dependence of Courts." In *Law and Politics: Critical Concepts in Political Science*, by Keith E Whittington, 4:41–66. Abingdon, Oxon [UK]; New York: Routledge.
- Rheinstein, Max. 1995. "Max Weber on Law in Economy and Society." In *Law & Society: Readings on the Social Study of Law*, by Stewart Macaulay, Lawrence M. Friedman, and John A. Stookey, 1st ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Rivera-Batiz, Francisco L., and Luis A. Rivera-Batiz. 2002. "Democracy, Participation, and Economic Development: An Introduction." *Review of Development Economics* 6 (2): 135–50.
- Schwartz, Richard D. 1953. "Social Factors in the Development of Legal Control: A Case Study of Two Israeli Settlements." *Yale Law Journal* 63: 471.
- . 1976. "Law in the Kibbutz: A Response to Professor Shapiro." *Law & Society Review* 10 (3): 439–42.
- Segal, Jennifer A, and Ivers, Gregg. 2006. *Inside the Judicial Process: A Contemporary Reader in Law, Politics, and the Courts*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Shapiro, Allan E. 1976. "Law in the Kibbutz: A Reappraisal." *Law & Society Review* 10 (3): 415–438.
- Shapiro, Martin. 2013a. "The Prototype of Courts." In *Law and Politics, Comparative and International Issues*, 4:5–40. Routledge..
- . 2013b. "The Prototype of Courts." In *Law and Politics: Critical Concepts in Political Science*, by Keith E Whittington, 4:5–40. Abingdon, Oxon [UK]; New York: Routledge.
- Sibley, Susan S. 2012. "J. Locke, Op. Cit.: Invocations of Law on Snowy Streets." In *Using Legal Culture*, edited by David Nelken, 120–52. JCL Studies in Comparative Law, no. 6. London: Wildy, Simmonds & Hill.

	<p>Tamanaha, Brian Z. 1995. "Lessons of Law-and-Development Studies, The." <i>American Journal of International Law</i> 89: 470.</p> <p><i>The Legal Studies Reader: A Conversation & Readings about Law</i>. 2004. Teaching Texts in Law and Politics, v. 9. New York: Peter Lang.</p> <p>Trubek, David M. 1990. "Back to the Future: The Short, Happy Life of the Law and Society Movement." <i>Florida State University Law Review</i> 18: 1.</p> <p>Vago, Steven. 2009. <i>Law and Society</i>. 9th ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall.</p> <p>Walsh, Anthony, and Craig Hemmens. 2016. <i>Law, Justice, and Society: A Sociolegal Introduction</i>. Fourth edition. New York: Oxford University Press.</p> <p>Watson, Alan. 2000. "Legal Transplants and European Private Law." <i>Electronic Journal of Comparative Law</i> 4.4. http://www.ejcl.org/44/art44-2.html.</p> <p>Yates, J.F., E. Veinott, and A. Patalano. 2003. "Hard Decisions, Bad Decisions: On Decision Quality and Decision Aiding." In <i>Emerging Perspectives on Judgment and Decision Research</i>, edited by Sandra L. Schneider and James Shanteau.</p>
--	---